```
Page 1
 1
 2
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 3
            SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     ----X
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 5
                     Plaintiff,
 6
                VS.
                              Case No. 1:13-CV-06326 (TPG)
 7
                              ECF CASE
    PREVEZON HOLDINGS LTD.,
     et al.,
 8
 9
                     Defendants.
10
11
12
13
               VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION
14
                          OF
15
                 WILLIAM F. BROWDER
16
             Wednesday, April 15, 2015
               30 Rockefeller Plaza
17
18
                 New York, New York
19
20
21
    Reported by:
    AYLETTE GONZALEZ, RPR, CLR, CCR
    JOB NO. 91742
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 2
 1
 2
                 DATE: April 15, 2015
 3
                 TIME: 9:30 a.m.
 4
 5
 6
        Videotaped Deposition of WILLIAM F.
     BROWDER, held at the offices of BAKER BOTTS,
 7
     LLP, 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New
 8
     York 10112, pursuant to NOTICE, before
 9
     AYLETTE GONZALEZ, a Registered Professional
10
11
     Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter,
12
     Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public
13
     of the States of New York and New Jersey.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
 1
 2
    APPEARANCES:
 3
    UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 5
    Counsel for Plaintiff
            One St. Andrew's Plaza
 6
            New York, New York 10007
 7
 8
    BY:
           PAUL MONTELEONI, ESQ.
 9
    BY: ANDREW ADAMS, ESQ.
10
11
12
    BAKER & HOSTETLER
13
14
   Counsel for Defendants
15
            1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
            Washington, District of Columbia 20036
16
17
    BY:
            MARK CYMROT, ESQ.
18
    BY:
        PAUL LEVINE, ESQ.
19
    BY: MORITZ ABRAMOVITZ, ESQ.
20
21
22
23
24
25
    ///
```

```
Page 4
 1
 2
    APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 3
    BAKER BOTTS
 5
    Counsel for Defendants
            30 Rockefeller Plaza
 6
 7
            New York, New York 10112
 8
    BY:
           SETH TAUBE, ESQ.
 9
    BY:
        JOYCE YOUNG, ESQ.
10
11
12
    KOBRE & KIM
13
14
    Counsel for the Witness
15
            800 Third Avenue
           New York, New York 10022
16
17
    BY: MICHAEL KIM, ESQ.
18
    BY:
        LINDSEY WEISS, ESQ.
19
20
21
22
   ALSO PRESENT:
23
       LEM LATTIMER, Videographer
24
25
```

```
Page 5
 1
 2.
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the
 3
     Tape No. 1 for the videotaped
     deposition of William Browder in the
 5
     matter of United States of America vs.
6
     Prevezon Holdings Ltd., et al.
          We are now going on the record.
 7
 8
     The time is 9:35 a.m.
 9
          Will counsel please state their
     appearances for the record.
10
11
          MR. KIM:
                    This is Michael Kim and
12
     Lindsay Weiss of Kobre & Kim for the
13
     witness, William Browder.
          MR. MONTELEONI: Paul Monteleoni
14
     and Andrew Adams for the Southern
15
16
     District of New York U.S. Attorney's
17
    Office.
          MR. TAUBE: Seth Taube and
18
19
     Joyce Young, Baker Botts, for
20
     Defendants.
21
          MR. CYMROT: Mark Cymrot and
22
     Paul Levine for Defendants.
23
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the Court
24
     Reporter please swear the witness in.
25
           ******
```

```
Page 6
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
     WILLIAM F. BROWDER,
         called as a witness, having been
 3
         first duly sworn by a Notary Public
 5
         of the State of New York, was
 6
         examined and testified as follows:
 7
     EXAMINATION BY
 8
     MR. CYMROT:
              Mr. Browder, my name is
 9
    Mark Cymrot. I represent the Defendants in
10
11
    this action.
12
                You're here pursuant to Subpoena?
13
                I'm sorry, is that a question?
               Yes. Are you here pursuant to a
14
           Q.
     Subpoena?
15
16
          Α.
              Yes.
17
           O. And a court order?
18
           A. I think so.
19
           Q. Let me show you what I'll mark as
20
    Browder Exhibit 1.
               MR. KIM: Sorry, Mark, can I do
21
22
           the confidentiality?
23
               MR. CYMROT: Oh, I'm sorry, yes, I
24
           skipped that. Yes.
25
               MR. KIM: All right. Sorry about
```

```
Page 7
 1
        WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
     that.
          So this is Michael Kim.
 3
                                   So we
     still do not have a Confidentiality
 4
 5
     Agreement or Order from the court.
 6
     I'm not faulting anybody, that's just
 7
     objectively the situation we're in.
 8
          It would be our preference to
 9
     obviously have this deposition only
10
     when covered by a court ordered
11
     Confidentiality Order. But given that
     we're under Subpoena, we will proceed
12
13
     in the manner that -- that Plaintiff
     wishes.
14
15
          And as I understand it, and I
     discussed this with Mr. Cymrot before
16
17
     the deposition began, there are other
     people listening in who, I believe,
18
19
     has identified three names, and I'll
20
     ask him to just state what his
     understanding is for the record.
21
22
     We -- we, on Mr. Browder's part, were
23
     made to understand that certain client
24
     representatives would be attending.
25
          I did not understand the word
```

Page 8 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. "attending" to mean unnamed persons or persons I can't verify who's listening 3 4 in or listening in. That's fine. 5 Given we're under Subpoena, we're 6 willing to proceed based on the 7 understanding that the contents of 8 this Subpoena are covered by the same 9 arrangements that was in the 10 March 20th letter from us, which was 11 subsequently agreed to by Defendants, with the exception that the client 12 13 representatives who will be identified as listening in would be allowed to 14 15 access this deposition on the undertaking by all that the contents 16 17 of the deposition will not be passed on to any other persons pending 18 19 further order of the Court. 20 And I think after the deposition 21 we understand we'll try to get the 22 Confidentiality Agreement finalized and submitted to Judge Griesa as soon 23 as we're able to. 24

MR. CYMROT: Right. I would just

25

Page 9 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. say that we've gotten this far in our 3 discussions as the point where the judge has before him on the Government 5 confidentiality proposal the same 6 issues, so they'll be resolved when he 7 resolves them. 8 In terms of people looking in, 9 there are other attorneys and others associated with our law firm; 10 11 Gabriella Volshteyn as client representative, Nataliya Vaselnitskaya 12 13 and Denis Katsyv, the client representatives. And those are the 14 15 ones I'm aware of. But anybody looking in will be 16 17 subject to the same restrictions, that it cannot be used for any other 18 purpose other than this lawsuit as we 19 20 set forth in that letter. 21 MR. KIM: Just one question. 22 you able to verify who's actually listening and who's not to a degree of 23

MR. CYMROT: I am not sitting

24

25

certainty.

```
Page 10
             WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
          here, no.
 3
               MR. KIM: Okay. So we object to
          proceeding, but given we're under
 5
          Subpoena, we'll just note the
          objection and proceed as we just
 6
 7
          discussed. So thank you. Sorry to
 8
          interrupt. Proceed.
 9
               MR. CYMROT: Okay. Exhibit 1.
               All right. So I'm marking for
10
11
          identification as Browder Exhibit 1 a
12
          letter dated December 4, 2012 to the
13
          New York County District Attorney's
14
          office, I believe Mr. Browder signed
15
          this, and attachments.
               Let's get the Court Reporter to
16
17
          initial it, I'm sorry.
               Why don't you use this copy which
18
19
          has tabs.
20
               (Browder Exhibit 1, document Bates
21
          stamped PREV_000003127_001 through
22
          '166 was marked for identification, as
          of this date.)
23
     BY MR. CYMROT:
24
25
               All right. Mr. Browder, can you
          Q.
```

Page 11 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. tell us what that letter is? 3 Α. Can I correct a statement that you made, is that --5 I guess you can. 6 Α. This is not a letter that I signed. 7 Q. Who signed it? 8 Α. This was signed by Brown Rudnick, 9 LLP. Do you recognize that as a letter 10 you authorized? 11 12 This was a letter that I'd Α. authorized, yes, on -- yeah. 13 14 Q. Okay. 15 MR. CYMROT: And let me mark as 16 Exhibit 2 the Verified Claimant, the original. The original. 17 BY MR. CYMROT: 18 19 Q. So how did -- while we're waiting 20 for that, how did that letter come about, Mr. Browder? 21 22 This letter came about based on 23 a -- an investigation that we did into the proceeds of the Magnitsky crime. 24 25 Q. And who is "we"?

Page 12 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. My -- my legal team and myself. Α. 3 Q. And who's your legal team or who was your legal team at the time? 4 5 My legal team started out with 6 John Moscow from BakerHostetler and included 7 Neil Micklethwaite from Brown Rudnick, 8 Jonathan Weiner from APCO, John Ashcroft from 9 Ashcroft Associates, various Russian lawyers. 10 Brown Rudnick? Ο. Brown Rudnick; Neil Micklethwaite I 11 12 mentioned. 13 Okay. And who at Hermitage was 0. working on this? 14 15 Α. Pretty much Vadim Kleiner, Ivan Cherkasov. 16 17 Q. And yourself? 18 A. And my- -- well, yeah. 19 Q. You were working on it, right? 20 A. Yeah. 21 Q. So you sent this letter to the U.S. 22 Attorney, and what happened next, or it actually went to the State District Attorney, 23 24 correct? 25 A. So John Moscow introduced me to

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Adam Kaufmann, who was head of investigations
- 3 division of the New York District Attorney's
- 4 office. I walked it into his office on the
- 5 4th of December 2012 or maybe possibly one or
- 6 two days later, and then they took up the
- 7 case.
- 8 Q. John Moscow wasn't representing you
- 9 at this time?
- 10 A. No, he wasn't, but he did introduce
- 11 me to Adam Kaufmann earlier.
- 12 O. When was that?
- 13 A. I can't recall exactly, but one or
- 14 two -- I met Adam Kaufmann through John Moscow
- 15 at the Cambridge crime conference in
- 16 Cambridge, England.
- 17 O. And when was that?
- 18 A. I can't recall exactly.
- 19 Q. And John Moscow represented you
- 20 for, what, six months in 2007 and '8, was it?
- 21 A. And sort of informally afterwards,
- 22 after we -- after he got called on to another
- 23 case and didn't have time for us.
- Q. Well, all right, we don't need to
- 25 get into the subject, but isn't it true that

Page 14 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 you discharged John Moscow and BakerHostetler? I wouldn't -- I wouldn't -- no. 3 You stopped relying upon 5 BakerHostetler's advice, correct? 6 BakerHostetler -- John Moscow 7 stopped returning our phone calls because he 8 got busy on another case. And you stopped relying upon his 9 10 advice? 11 Α. No, not true. 12 Q. Never, to this day? 13 Well, when he became adverse to us Α. in this case, obviously his advice was no 14 15 longer objective. I see. And when was the last time 16 before -- what would it be, December, 17 November of 2013 that you spoke to 18 John Moscow? 19 20 A. I don't recall. 21 Q. Years before that? 22 I think it was at the Cambridge 23 crime conference that I last spoke to him. 24 O. Which was when? 25 A. I -- I don't remember which --

```
Page 15
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2
     which year it was.
           Q.
                2012, 2011?
 3
           A. I'd have to --
 5
           O. No idea?
 6
           A. I would have to look at the -- at
 7
     the Cambridge crime conference schedule and
 8
     see, see when he was there and Adam Kaufmann
 9
     was there.
10
              So at the time of this letter,
    December 4, 2012, you walked into the New York
11
     County District Attorney's office; is that
12
    what I understand?
13
                That's correct.
14
           Α.
               With whom?
15
           Q.
16
           A. On my own.
17
               I see. And you presented this
           0.
     letter?
18
19
             Correct.
           Α.
20
           Q. And what was that -- what happened
21
     after that?
22
               At that point they accepted the
23
     letter.
24
           O. And was there a conversation?
25
           A. And then perhaps a few weeks later
```

Page 16 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. I was informed that the -- they were taking up the case. 3 4 Q. In the New York County District 5 Attorney's office? 6 Α. That's correct. 7 All right. And what happened in 8 terms of the case after that? They -- I'm trying -- I can't 9 remember the name of the investigator --10 11 started to do some work on it and then eventually decided that it was a real case. 12 13 Q. And when did it get to the U.S. Attorney's Office? 14 15 A. After that. 16 0. When after that? 17 I don't recall. Α. How much work was done in the 18 19 D.A.'s office in New York? 20 Α. I don't work in the D.A.'s office. 21 Q. How much work did they tell you 22 they did? 23 They don't -- I'm not a member of Α. their staff, they don't -- they don't tell me 24 what they're doing or not doing. 25

```
Page 17
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                After the first conversation, did
           Q.
     you have additional conversations with the
 3
     investigator?
 5
           Α.
                I did.
 6
           0.
               How many?
              I can't recall.
 7
           Α.
 8
           Q.
               Did you record them?
 9
           Α.
               No.
10
               Did you take notes?
           Q.
11
           Α.
               No.
12
           Q. So what were they about?
13
           A. Just status reports.
                What did he tell you about the
14
           Q.
15
     status?
16
                They were working on it.
           Α.
17
                Nothing more?
           0.
18
           Α.
                That it was a real case.
19
               How long did the conversations
           Q.
20
     last?
             I don't recall.
21
           Α.
22
               No idea; hour, two hours, three
23
     hours?
24
               No.
           Α.
25
           Q. Less than that?
```

```
Page 18
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Less than that.
           Ο.
              Fifteen minutes?
 3
                Perhaps. I don't recall.
 4
           Α.
 5
                How many of these conversations did
 6
     you have?
 7
           Α.
                I don't remember exactly.
 8
           Q. All right. When did it go to the
     U.S. Attorney's Office, approximately?
 9
                After the -- sometime after we
10
11
     submitted this, but I -- but I can't remember
     the dates.
12
13
                I see. How long before it was
14
     filed in federal court?
                It was filed in federal court I
15
           Α.
16
     think a year later or some- -- maybe in the
17
     fall of 2013.
                It was filed in, I believe,
18
     November or December of 2013, so it's about a
19
20
     year later; so how long before the Complaint
21
     was filed did you first have your conversation
22
     with the U.S. Attorney's Office?
23
              Ouite a bit.
           Α.
24
           O. Months?
25
           A. Yes.
```

Page 19 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Nine months? Q. 3 Α. Perhaps. So it was about three months in the 4 5 D.A.'s office and then nine months in the U.S. 6 Attorney's Office? 7 A. I don't know the timing. 8 Q. All right. Who did you talk to in the U.S. Attorney's Office? 9 10 I spoke to Sharon Levin, 11 Paul Monteleoni. 12 Did you speak to Todd Hyman, the 13 investigator? He might have been present, but my 14 first contact was with Sharon Levin. 15 16 All right. And what were your 0. conversations with Sharon Levin? 17 That they had taken over the case 18 19 from the New York D.A.'s office. 20 Q. Anything else? 21 A. That they were proceeding with the 22 case. 23 Did they tell you that they were investigating? 24 25 They weren't sharing with me their Α.

Page 20 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. internal conversations. Did they ask you for more 3 Q. information? 4 5 Not at that meeting. 6 Ο. The first meeting? 7 At the first meeting they just --8 it was just a very simple meeting. So you were there alone? I don't remember if anyone was 10 11 there with me or not. 12 I see. And there were three people on the side of the United States? 13 14 There were more than three people, but I don't know who else was there. 15 16 O. I see. Did there come a time when 17 they --Actually let me correct that. 18 19 Somebody from the New York D.A.'s office was 20 there as well. 21 Q. Okay. And who was that? 22 That -- I don't remember the name 23 of the person. 24 Q. Investigator or a lawyer? 25 A. I don't know.

```
Page 21
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Did they give you cards?
           Q.
                I don't remember.
 3
           Α.
 4
           Q.
                How many meetings between the first
 5
     meeting and the filing of the Complaint did
     you have with the U.S. Attorney's Office?
 6
 7
           Α.
                I can't say for certain, but I
 8
     think there was maybe one more in-person
 9
     meeting.
10
                Other people from Hermitage or your
11
     lawyers meet with the U.S. Attorney's Office
     before the case was filed?
12
13
           Α.
                No.
              So it was just you?
14
           Q.
           Α.
15
                Yes.
16
           Q. Did there come a time when they
     asked you for more information other than
17
     what's in this letter?
18
19
           Α.
               Yeah.
20
           Ο.
              When was that?
21
           Α.
                Spring or summer of 2013, I can't
22
     remember exactly.
23
                What did they ask you?
24
                They asked -- well, they didn't --
           Α.
25
     didn't ask me personally; they asked people on
```

Page 22 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. my team for more information. Ο. Who did they ask? 3 Α. Vadim Kleiner. 5 How did they get to meet people on 6 your team if they weren't at the meetings? I maybe introduced them on the 7 Α. 8 phone, I don't re- -- I don't recall. 9 So they asked Mr. Kleiner for more information? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 What more information? Ο. 13 A. I wasn't part of those conversations. 14 15 Q. Did their files at Hermitage about what was given the U.S. Attorney's Office? 16 17 Surely there are files. Α. 18 But you haven't given them to us in 19 response to the Subpoena; is that right? 20 Α. I don't know. Who's responsible for that? 21 Q. 22 There's a whole team of people 23 responsible for that. 24 Well, you're ultimately responsible 0. for your Subpoena to you, isn't it? 25

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. Subpoena is to me.
- 3 Q. Are you supervising the production
- 4 of the documents?
- 5 A. I've got a whole team of people
- 6 who's working on that, and they report to me
- 7 from time to time.
- 8 Q. I see. And have they reported to
- 9 you that they provided us with files that you
- 10 gave the U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 11 A. They've reported to me lots of
- 12 stuff, but I -- you know, I don't know the
- 13 details.
- 14 Q. I see. So you have no idea what
- 15 you gave the U.S. Attorney's Office --
- 16 Hermitage gave the U.S. Attorney's Office in
- 17 response to their request; is that right?
- 18 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- MR. CYMROT: Yeah, I'll restate
- 20 it.
- 21 O. You have no idea what Mr. Kleiner
- gave to the U.S. Attorney's Office in response
- 23 to their request; is that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. And he didn't tell you at the time?

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. He told me he was in conversations,
- 3 but he didn't tell me specifically what he was
- 4 providing.
- 5 Q. Did he tell you what the substance
- 6 of the conversations was?
- 7 A. Just backing up different parts of
- 8 the Complaint.
- 9 Q. What parts of the Complaint?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Did you see a draft of the
- 12 Complaint before it was filed?
- 13 A. I think we might have, but I can't
- 14 remember for sure.
- 15 Q. Did you tell the U.S. Attorney's
- 16 Office that the allegations in the Complaint
- 17 were accurate as far as you knew?
- 18 A. I was never asked or never told.
- 19 Q. Did you ever see anything that you
- 20 saw in -- you've read the Complaint, correct?
- 21 A. I have.
- 22 Q. And did you see anything that --
- 23 that you felt was inaccurate?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. So as far as you're concerned,

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 25 of 386 Page 25 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 everything in the original Complaint was accurate? 3 A. It seemed to me that it was 5 accurate. I should point out that some -there -- there are parts of the Complaint that 6 I wasn't familiar with that I wouldn't -- not 7 8 know about. 9 But the parts that you were familiar with, as far as you're concerned is 10 11 accurate? 12 The parts I was familiar with Α. 13 are -- I was thinking is accurate. 14 For instance, Mr. Magnitsky is an 15 attorney; you think that's accurate? 16 He was my attorney. Α. 17 He was your attorney? 0. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Acting as --Q. 20 Acting in court representing me. Α. 21 Q. I see. And he had a law degree in 22 Russia?

- 23 A. I'm not aware that he did.
- 24 O. I see. And -- and he had -- he
- 25 went to law school?

Page 26 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. No. 3 Q. He didn't go to law school, he didn't have a law degree, but he was your 5 lawyer? 6 And he represented me in court. 7 Q. I see. 8 I should say represented Hermitage Fund Companies in court. 9 10 Okay. And he did other work; he 11 gave you advice on taxes? 12 Α. Correct. 13 And he was given a power of attorney to do certain things for certain of 14 15 your companies, correct? I believe so. 16 Α. 17 All right. So he acted not just as an attorney, he acted in other capacities? 18 19 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 20 Ο. Do you know who drafted the 21 Complaint? 22 I would imagine that the U.S. 23 attorney drafted the Complaint. 24 Q. And you had no idea what investigation the U.S. attorney did beyond the 25

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 information that you and Mr. Kleiner gave to
- 3 the U.S. attorney?
- A. I don't work in the U.S. Attorney's
- 5 Office.
- 6 Q. Well, you're aware that Mr. Hyman
- 7 says that's the investigation that was done,
- 8 took documents from your company, talked to
- 9 you and Mr. Kleiner, so this case arises from
- 10 your information; that's news to you?
- 11 A. I don't understand the question.
- 12 O. You didn't understand when the
- 13 Complaint was being filed that it was based
- 14 upon information that you and Mr. Kleiner
- 15 provided to the U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 O. You understood that?
- 18 A. Yeah.
- 19 Q. All right. Let me show you the
- 20 Complaint we're talking about that I'll mark
- 21 as Browder Exhibit 2. It's a Verified
- 22 Complaint. It was filed on
- 23 September 10, 2013.
- 24 (Browder Exhibit 2, Verified
- Complaint, Filed on 9/10/13 was marked

```
Page 28
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           for identification, as of this date.)
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 3
                So Exhibit 2 is the Complaint we've
 4
     been talking about, correct?
 5
 6
           Α.
                This?
 7
           Q.
               Yes.
 8
           A. Yes.
 9
                And that's the Complaint that you
     read and saw nothing inaccurate in it; is that
10
11
     right?
12
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
               You could answer.
13
           Ο.
14
           Α.
                Sorry?
15
                MR. KIM: When I say objection to
16
           form, that doesn't mean don't answer;
17
           it's just a legal objection I'm
18
           stating to the form of the question.
19
                THE WITNESS: Right.
20
           Α.
                If -- if we go back to what I said
21
     before, the parts of the Complaint that I'm
22
     familiar with are accurate.
23
                And we're talking about that
24
     Complaint, Exhibit 2, right?
25
           Α.
                Correct.
```

```
Page 29
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
                Going back to Exhibit 1, which is
           Q.
     the letter to the D.A.'s office, all right?
 3
                If you take a look at Tab 8.
 4
 5
           Α.
                Tab A?
 6
           0.
                Eight.
 7
           Α.
                Eight.
 8
           Q.
                Can you tell us what these
 9
     documents are, the cover says "Bank
     Transactions"?
10
11
           Α.
               Yeah.
12
              By the way, if you find some
     illegible, that's because that's the way it
13
     was produced to us.
14
                So let's start with '3128096. Can
15
     you tell me what that is?
16
17
                Where is this?
           Α.
                It's the first document.
                                           If you
18
19
     look at the lower right-hand corner, it has
20
     numbers.
              I don't know.
21
           Α.
22
               Well, who put these documents
     together?
23
24
           Α.
                My team.
25
           Q. Who on your team?
```

```
Page 30
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                My lawyers, Vadim Kleiner.
           Α.
              And which lawyers?
 3
           Ο.
               My -- I've got a team of Russian
 4
           Α.
 5
               I think Neil nickel weight was
 6
     involved, his people on his team.
 7
           Q.
               Okay. So you have no idea what
 8
     this is, it says "Refund decision"; you have
     no idea what it is?
 9
10
           Α.
                No.
11
           0.
                And page '97, '097, "Refund
12
     decision, " you have no idea what that is?
13
           Α.
                No.
14
                '98, '99, going all the way through
15
     '102, you have no idea what they are?
           Α.
16
                No.
               So when it comes to a document
17
18
     that's in Russian -- do you read Russian?
19
           Α.
                No.
20
                Who do you rely upon if the
     translation is in Russian?
21
22
                I have a team of people who are
23
     Russian nationals.
24
           Q. Working in Hermitage?
25
           A. Yep.
```

```
Page 31
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                And where are they, in London?
           Q.
 3
           Α.
               Yep.
                Okay. So --
           Q.
                I should say working in Hermitage
 5
     or external counsel from Russia.
 6
 7
           Q.
                Right. So you have no idea what
 8
     this is?
 9
           Α.
               No.
               Is it an official Russian document?
10
           Q.
11
           A. I don't know.
12
                Do you know how you obtained it?
           Q.
                No.
13
           Α.
           Q. No idea?
14
           Α.
15
               No.
16
           Q. Do you know whether it's fake?
17
               I would assume it's real.
           Α.
                But you have any -- any idea
18
19
     whether it's fake?
20
                I don't think my team would have
     put together fake documents.
21
22
               But you don't know?
23
                I trust my team to put together
     real documents.
24
25
           Q. Do you know where they got them?
```

```
Page 32
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
 3
           Q. Do you know whether they stole
     them?
 4
 5
              I don't think my team -- no. No,
     they didn't steal them.
6
 7
               Do you know whether they bribed
8
     somebody to get them?
               They didn't bribe anybody to get
 9
10
     them.
11
                MR. KIM: Sorry. Objection to
12
           form. What's the "them" we're talking
13
           about.
14
                MR. CYMROT: '103, '104, 105 are
15
           examples.
16
           Q. Do you have any idea?
17
              '104; nobody bribed anybody, we
18
     haven't bribed anybody.
19
           Q. Well, Mr. Kleiner says he has
20
     contacts within Moscow -- this is in your
21
    books, he has contacts within Moscow and then
22
    he shows up with some documents, right?
23
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
           O. Central Bank documents.
24
25
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. How did he get them?
- 3 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 4 Q. How did he get them?
- 5 MR. MONTELEONI: Could I have a
- 6 moment to discuss -- discuss with
- 7 counsel whether or not there's a
- 8 privilege objection?
- 9 MR. CYMROT: On whose behalf.
- 10 MR. MONTELEONI: On behalf of the
- 11 U.S.
- 12 MR. KIM: All right. I think we
- 13 should step out because I'm wearing a
- 14 microphone.
- MR. CYMROT: And you're keeping
- 16 track of the time, please.
- 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 18 10:01 a.m. We are coming off the
- 19 record.
- 20 (Whereupon, an off-the-record
- 21 discussion was held.)
- 22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
- 23 10:03 a.m. We are back on the record.
- 24 MR. KIM: Okay. So apologies for
- 25 the break. Just on certain topics we

```
Page 34
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           want to be prudent and just check if
           any party had a privilege objection.
 3
 4
           We understand that there is not, so
 5
           you can proceed.
 6
                MR. CYMROT: Is that right.
 7
                MR. MONTELEONI: That's correct.
 8
      BY MR. CYMROT:
                Okay. So the question is, where
 9
     did Mr. Kleiner get, for instance, Documents
10
     '104 and '105?
11
              I don't know.
12
           Α.
13
             Did he tell you at the time?
           Ο.
14
           Α.
               No.
15
           Q.
                Was there a reason he wouldn't tell
     you at the time?
16
              He has lots of sources. I don't
17
     ask him.
18
19
               He has lots of sources in Moscow
20
     that give him information?
21
           Α.
               Correct.
22
                Is it legal under Russian law for
     him to have, for instance, the information on
23
     pages '104 and '105?
24
25
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
```

Page 35 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. I'm not a lawyer, I don't know the Α. 3 answer to that question. Would it concern you if it were 4 legal? 5 6 We try to obey the law in all situations. 7 8 Q. Would it concern you if it weren't legal? 9 10 Would it -- would it -- I'm sorry? 11 Q. Would it concern you if it weren't legal to have, for instance, the documents 12 that are on pages '104 and '105? 13 14 Would it concern me? I don't Α. 15 understand. What are you saying? 16 Would it bother you? Would you -bother you if you had documents that were 17 18 illegal to have under Russian law? 19 A. Sure. 20 Q. It would bother you? 21 A. Um-hum. You wouldn't pass that along to the 22 23 United States then? 24 A. If I was aware that it was

violating Russian law I wouldn't.

25

```
Page 36
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                But you didn't ask Mr. Kleiner?
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                No.
                So you were sort of closing your
 5
     eyes to where he got the information; is that
6
     what you're saying?
 7
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
 8
           Α.
                No.
             So then why didn't you ask him?
 9
10
           A. I didn't.
11
           Q. You gave -- you gave this
     information and similar information to law
12
13
     enforcement authorities in Switzerland, in
    Latvia, in Russia and other locations and you
14
15
    didn't check to see whether you had obtained
     the information legally --
16
17
           Α.
                No.
18
           Q.
             -- is that your testimony?
19
           Α.
               No.
20
           Q. Is that a yes, I didn't hear you?
                MR. KIM: Objection to form of the
21
22
           question.
23
               Could you ask the question again?
               You didn't check whether it was
24
     legal, correct?
25
```

```
Page 37
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                I didn't check if it was legal.
                All right. Let's go to page '111
 3
     of the same exhibit.
 5
                What is this document?
6
           Α.
               I don't know.
              You have no idea?
 7
           Q.
 8
           Α.
               No.
 9
           Ο.
              You gave it to the U.S. attorney?
10
           A. Yes.
11
           Ο.
               Did you explain it to the U.S.
     attorney?
12
13
           Α.
                No.
                What did you tell the U.S. attorney
14
     about this document?
15
16
           A. Nothing.
17
           O. Just delivered the letter and told
     them nothing?
18
19
           Α.
              Correct.
20
               And you have no idea who created
    this document?
21
22
           Α.
                No.
23
           Q. Now these documents that follow,
     this document and the ones that follow
24
     supposedly traced money from the Russian
25
```

```
Page 38
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
     Treasury into various accounts; you know that,
 3
     right?
           Α.
               Yes.
 5
              You don't know how the tracing was
 6
     done?
 7
           Α.
               No.
           O. Who did it?
 8
           A. Vadim Kleiner and the team of
 9
10
     lawyers that worked with him.
11
           Q. And they never told you how they
     did it?
12
               No.
13
           Α.
14
           Q. And they never told what you this
     document was?
15
16
           Α.
                No.
17
               And they never told you how this
18
     document works?
19
           Α.
                No.
20
               Did they tell you what these
     account numbers are, say, in the fourth column
21
22
     to the left, from the left?
23
           Α.
               No.
24
           Q. No idea?
25
           A. No idea.
```

Page 39

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. So you yourself have no idea
- 3 whether it's accurate that money was traced?
- 4 A. I relied on the professionalism of
- 5 my team who had been very professional over a
- 6 long period of time in tracing money.
- 7 Q. They've "been very professional
- 8 over a long period of time in tracing money."
- 9 Before 2007?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What kind of money tracing did they
- 12 do before 2007?
- 13 A. My firm was a shareholder activist
- 14 in Russia, and so we were looking at
- 15 situations involving fraud, big Russian
- 16 companies we invested in, Gazprom, et cetera.
- 17 And so the team that I worked with were
- 18 involved in those investigations, those
- 19 tracings and various actions after those, that
- 20 information was gathered.
- 21 Q. You do know it would be illegal in
- 22 Russia for you to be in possession of bank
- 23 account information for accounts other than
- 24 your own?
- MR. KIM: Objection to form.

```
Page 40
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Do you know that?
           Q.
                No, I didn't know that.
 3
                You have no idea that bank
           0.
 5
     information was secret?
 6
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
                I had no idea.
 7
           Α.
 8
                So anybody can go to Hermitage's
 9
     bank in Russia and ask for copies of the
     account statements?
10
11
           Α.
                I'm sorry?
12
               Did you believe that anybody off
13
     the street could walk into your bank in
     Russia, say I want the bank statements for
14
15
     Hermitage?
16
                What, is there a question?
           Α.
17
                Yes.
           Ο.
18
           A. What's the question?
19
               Do you believe that's true?
           Q.
20
           Α.
               No.
21
           Q.
                So there must be some law that says
     it's not true, right, that bank information is
22
     not open to the public?
23
24
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
25
                I quess it's a practice that bank
           Α.
```

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 41 of 386 Page 41 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. information's not open to the public. You have no idea whether it's a 3 0. legal practice or based upon a law or not? 5 I'm not a lawyer. 6 0. So you have no idea about any law? 7 Α. I've got ideas about certain laws. 8 0. And whether your information, your 9 bank information is secret within Russia, you 10 have no idea about that law? 11 Α. I don't know which countries have 12 bank secrecy and which ones don't. 13 So as far as you knew, that anybody could walk up to a bank in Russia and get 14 account information? 15 16 Α. No. 17 So then how did Mr. Kleiner and your team get what purports to be or what has 18 19 been represented to us to be bank information on, for instance, page '111 of Exhibit 1? 20 I don't know. 21 Α. 22 And you have no idea whether it's

I have no idea.

legal or not?

Α.

23

24

25 Do you know whether this document Q.

Page 42 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 was created by a bank? I don't know. 3 Was it created by somebody on your 5 team? 6 I don't know. 7 Do you know what information it was 8 based upon? I don't know. 9 Α. 10 Q. Do you know whether they had 11 account statements? A. I don't know. 12 13 Q. Do you know whether they knew the daily balance in those accounts that are 14 represented here? 15 I don't know. 16 Α. 17 So you have no idea whether -- you have no idea how the money was traced? 18 19 I have -- I have a vague idea, but 20 not -- not a detailed idea. 21 Q. All right. So what's your vague 22 idea? 23 That the team gathered information from various sources and were able to connect 24 25 the dots to trace the money.

```
Page 43
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                "Connect the dots."
           Q.
 3
                Does it take any amount of
 4
     expertise to connect the dots?
 5
                MR. KIM: Objection to the form.
 6
                I think it takes analysis to
 7
     connect the dots.
                What's Mr. Kleiner's education?
 8
           0.
               Ph.D.
 9
           Α.
           Q. In what?
10
           A. Economics.
11
12
           Q. Is he the one who connected the
13
     dots?
                He and a team of other people
14
           Α.
15
     connected the dots.
                Who specifically did he rely upon
16
           0.
     to connect the dots?
17
18
              Various lawyers.
           Α.
19
              It was all lawyers?
           Q.
20
               Lawyers and people who had
           Α.
     experience in this type of -- lawyers with
21
22
     experience in this type of issue.
23
                He didn't have any bankers,
     accountants, anybody of those -- that
24
25
     background?
```

Page 44 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. I don't know the background of Α. 3 lawyers before they were lawyers. I see. And you can't tell me a 4 5 list of people who worked on connecting the 6 dots? 7 Α. They're lawyers and Vadim --8 0. No, the names, names, do you 9 have names? 10 Α. Names of lawyers? 11 The names of the people who 12 connected the dots. 13 Α. Sure. We have Vladimir Pastukhov, he's a lawyer; Edward Hardinov, a lawyer; 14 Jonathan Weiner, lawyer; Neil Micklethwaite, 15 16 lawyer; Olga Bischof, a lawyer; Martin 17 Gillett, a lawyer; John Ashcroft, lawyer. And then we also worked with various investigative 18 19 reporters. 20 They connect the dots for you, 21 reporters connected these dots? 22 In some cases reporters connected 23 some dots. 24 I see. And you relied upon 25 reporters to put together the information

Page 45 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 that's in Exhibit 1, particularly say 3 page '111? MR. KIM: Objection to form. 4 5 Not on that page, no. I don't even 6 know what that page says. 7 Well, it's right in front of you. 8 A. I know, but it doesn't mean 9 anything to me. 10 Did it mean anything to the U.S. 11 Attorney's Office, as far as you know? I don't know. 12 Α. 13 So what reporters did you rely upon 0. to connect the dots? 14 Bill Alpert at Barron's in 15 Α. 16 New York. 17 Anybody else? 0. 18 Roman Onin, Novilla Gazzetta. I shouldn't say I relied on them; they worked 19 20 with our team. 21 Q. Anybody else? 22 The Organized Crime and Corruption 23 Reporting Project. 24 Q. Which you supported with a substantial amount of money, correct? 25

```
Page 46
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
 3
           Q.
                You never contributed any money to
     the OCCRP?
 4
 5
           Α.
                Zero.
 6
           Ο.
               Zero money?
 7
           Α.
                Zero.
 8
           Q. All right. What other reporters
 9
     did you rely upon to connect the dots?
10
                Those were the principal reporters.
11
     If there were others, I don't know their
12
     names.
13
               Anybody else help you connect the
           0.
14
     dots?
15
           Α.
                Yeah. Yeah, we also subpoenaed,
     with the help of your colleague, John Moscow,
16
     New York banks, Citibank and JPMorgan in -- I
17
     think it was 2009, 2010 -- 2009.
18
19
                Actually it was somebody else who
           Q.
     actually filed that pleading, correct?
20
21
                Someone else filed the pleading,
22
     but BakerHostetler came up with the idea and
     helped us prepare the original filings with
23
     the Court.
24
25
                Okay. That was relating to a prior
           Q.
```

Page 47 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. fraud, correct? No, it was relating to the overall 3 Α. fraud. 4 5 The overall fraud includes 6 230 million, but by your definition 11 point 7 something million dollars? 8 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 9 A billion dollars, I'm sorry, or a billion roubles? What does it include? When 10 you say "the overall fraud," what are you 11 12 referring to? At the time it was the criminal 13 14 enterprise that -- that perpetrated the \$230 million fraud and other frauds. 15 16 All right. So going back to this, 0. anybody else help you connect the dots? 17 So the -- yes, the Swiss -- Swiss 18 19 Attorney General. 20 I see. Gave you information? 21 A. Correct. 22 I see. Pursuant to a Subpoena --23 I'm sorry. Pursuant to a proceeding that you initiated in Switzerland, correct? 24

25

Α.

Correct.

```
Page 48
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
                And you gave that information to
           Q.
     the U.S. Attorney's Office?
 3
           Α.
 4
                Yes.
 5
                All right. Was it legal for you to
     give it to the U.S. Attorney's Office?
 6
 7
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
 8
           Α.
                I'm not a lawyer, but we asked for
 9
     permission from the -- from the prosecutor at
10
     the time and she gave it to us.
11
           0.
                The prosecutor in Switzerland?
12
           Α.
               Correct.
13
                And when was that?
           0.
           A. Prior to filing this document.
14
15
           Q.
               Prior to --
           A. Prior to December of --
16
17
               -- December of 2012?
           Ο.
18
           Α.
              Correct.
19
                So is there information in this
           0.
20
     document from Switzerland?
                I would assume so.
21
           Α.
22
           Ο.
              But you don't know?
23
           Α.
                No.
24
                I see. And you say "she"; who are
     you referring to?
25
```

```
Page 49
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
              Ms. Bino.
             How do you spell that?
 3
           Q.
           Α.
               B-I-N-O.
 5
               All right. And what was her
    position?
6
 7
           Α.
                She was a prosecutor.
 8
           Q. In what capacity, what was her
     title?
 9
10
               Federal prosecutor. I don't know
11
    her title.
           Q. In what canton?
12
13
           A. We met with her in Losone, so
     whatever canton Losone is in.
14
15
           Q. So the Swiss proceeding was a
    proceeding that you initiated, correct?
16
17
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
18
                Correct.
19
               And were you ever told that
20
     information in that proceeding was to be
    maintained secret?
21
22
                We asked her for permission; she
23
     gave it to us.
24
           Q. Were you ever told that information
     in that proceeding was by law secret?
25
```

Page 50 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Subsequently when the new Α. prosecutor took over it became secret. 3 It became secret? 4 Ο. 5 In other words, she gave us 6 permission. 7 Q. Written permission? I don't know whether it was written 8 Α. or oral. 9 10 So you --Q. 11 Α. We asked --12 But if it was written, there would Q. 13 be a document that you should have produced in response to the Subpoena, right? 14 15 MR. KIM: Objection to the form. If -- I don't know. 16 Α. 17 And you've never seen a letter 0. giving you permission? 18 19 I don't know whether there was a 20 letter or not. And you were never told that Swiss 21 22 law requires information in that proceeding to 23 be secret? 24 Α. No. 25 Q. And you have a lawyer in

```
Page 51
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
     Switzerland?
           Α.
                We do.
 3
                Who's your lawyer in Switzerland?
 5
                It's Lenz -- Lenz & Staehelin.
 6
               And you said there was a new
 7
     prosecutor, it became secret; who was the new
 8
     prosecutor?
 9
           Α.
                Lamal.
                So as for as you know, you can't
10
11
     identify within Exhibit 1 any documents that
     came from the Swiss proceeding; was that
12
     right?
13
14
              I don't know what's in the exhibit.
15
           Q.
               Well, take a look.
16
           Α.
                Okay.
17
                MR. KIM: For the record, are you
18
           talking about Exhibit 1 to Exhibit 1
19
           or the entire deposition Exhibit 1.
20
                MR. CYMROT: The entire deposition
           Exhibit 1.
21
22
                MR. KIM: The entire document.
23
                MR. CYMROT: Yes.
24
      BY MR. CYMROT:
25
           Q. Do you know what you obtained from
```

```
Page 52
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
     Switzerland?
 3
                MR. KIM: I believe there's a
           question pending.
 4
 5
                MR. CYMROT: I'll withdraw it.
 6
               Do you know what you obtained from
     Switzerland?
 7
 8
           Α.
               No, not exactly.
 9
                So you wouldn't know if it were in
10
     here anyway, right?
11
           Α.
                No.
12
           Q. So why waste time?
13
                MR. KIM: It's your time to waste.
14
                MR. CYMROT: Don't want to waste
15
           it.
16
                MR. KIM: I don't blame you.
17
      BY MR. CYMROT:
18
                All right. So let's look at -- I
19
     think you have a lot of lines and a lot of
20
     numbers, but they're all meaningless to you,
     right?
21
22
               I don't know what's in these
23
     documents.
24
           Q. All right. Let's take a look at
     page '113, just a short sentence, top of the
25
```

```
Page 53
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
    page.
 3
           Α.
                Sure.
                All right. So it has an account
 5
     number from the Russian Treasury, correct?
6
           Α.
                Yep.
 7
                Do you know where that account
 8
     number came from?
 9
           Α.
               No.
10
           Q. Do you know if it's legal to have
11
    it?
12
           A. No, I don't know if it's legal to
13
    have it.
14
           Q. And then there's an account number
     for Rilend with Universal Savings Bank; do you
15
    know where that account number came from?
16
17
           Α.
                No.
18
               All right. Let's go to, for
19
     instance, '121, same exhibit, Exhibit 1.
20
                Do you know what this document is?
21
           Α.
                No.
22
           Q.
               Do you know where it was obtained?
23
           Α.
               No.
24
           Q. Do you know whose hand that is?
25
           A. No.
```

```
Page 54
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Do you know whether it's legal for
           Q.
 3
     you to have it?
           A. I don't know.
 4
 5
           Ο.
               And you didn't ask?
 6
           Α.
               No.
 7
           Q.
             Did Mr. Kleiner get this document?
 8
           A. I don't know.
                So let's take a look at the
 9
     Verified Complaint. Let's take a look at
10
11
     paragraph 24.
12
                Is it -- 24, you've read that?
13
                Just give me a chance to read it.
           Α.
14
                Yes.
15
           Q.
                Okay. So it refers to a search of
     Hermitage's offices on June 4, 2007, correct?
16
17
           Α.
              Correct.
18
                Is it your view that Russia had
19
     absolutely no basis for investigating
20
     Hermitage at that time?
21
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
22
           Α.
                Yes, my view.
23
                And no basis for investigating you?
           Q.
24
               Yes.
           Α.
25
           Q. And no basis for investigating
```

Page 55 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 Mr. Magnitsky? 3 Α. Yes. And that it was solely a political 5 investigation then? 6 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 7 No, I think it was a criminal and 8 political. Criminal in what sense? 9 10 It was a design to perpetrate a 11 fraud. 12 Q. In other words, this search, the 13 sole reason for this search in your view was to perpetrate the \$230 million fraud that's 14 described in the Complaint? 15 I said that it's political and 16 17 fraudulent. Okay. So the fraud is the 18 19 \$230 million fraud? 20 Α. Correct. And that the investigators went in 21 22 with the intent of aiding that fraud; is that your view? 23 24 Α. Yes.

And what's the political angle of

25

Q.

Page 56 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. it? 3 I think that I was considered to be Α. an enemy of the Russian state. And on the 4 5 back of that there was sort of a cart blanche 6 for various arms of the FSB, the Interior 7 Ministry and criminal elements working with them to victimize me. 8 9 All right. So your companies, while operating in Russia, always paid all the 10 11 taxes that were due; is that --12 Α. That's correct. 13 Ο. That's correct? And even when a Court found that 14 15 there were additional taxes due, you always paid those taxes? 16 17 Α. Yes. And you, Hermitage, set up a 18 19 company called Saturn in a region called 20 Kalmykia, correct? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And what was the business of 23 Saturn? 24 A. Investments. 25 Q. What does that mean?

```
Page 57
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Saturn invested.
                Invested in what?
 3
           0.
 4
           Α.
                Stock market companies.
 5
                In other words, Hermitage Fund --
 6
     let me ask it this way: Where is Kalmykia?
 7
           Α.
                Kalmykia is a region in southern
 8
     Russia.
                Remote from Moscow?
 9
           Ο.
10
               Correct.
           Α.
11
           0.
                And what was the usefulness of
12
     investing funds in Kalmykia?
                After -- after the Soviet Union
13
14
     broke up, in order to promote regions of
15
     Russia, a law was passed which allowed regions
     to have their own tax regimes similar to what
16
17
     happens with Delaware or Puerto Rico. And
     Kalmykia and about somewhere between ten or 15
18
19
     other regions set up their own tax incentives
20
     to attract companies to come there.
21
                And so you set up Saturn in
22
     Kalmykia to invest in Russian stock market
23
     companies, right?
24
                I didn't set it up; Hermitage Fund
25
     set it up.
```

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 58 of 386 Page 58 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Well, you were the general Q. director, right? 3 4 No, I was the investment advisor. 5 You were never the general 6 director? 7 Α. I was not the general director --8 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 9 I was not the general director of the Hermitage Fund. 10 11 No, you were the general director 12 of Saturn? A. I was in 2001. 13 Q. And for how long? 14 Α. I don't know. 15 16 So you were the general director of 17 Saturn when it was set up, correct? I'm not sure if I was the general 18 19 director of Saturn when it was set up. I was 20 the general director of Saturn in 2001. 21 I see. As general director, you 22 were personally responsible for filing the tax

24 A. That's correct.

returns, correct?

23

Q. And personally responsible to make

Page 59 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 sure they were accurate? 3 That's correct. Α. 4 And what Saturn was doing, it was 5 taking stocks that you were investing from 6 Moscow and holding those stocks, correct? 7 Α. Saturn was an investment company 8 holding shares in Russian stock market-traded 9 companies. 10 Did it have capital of its own? Q. 11 Α. I don't understand the question. 12 Q. Did it have capital to buy stock? 13 You need money to buy stock, right? 14 Correct. Α. 15 Q. The money came from Hermitage Fund, 16 correct? 17 Α. Correct. 18 Q. And --19 Yeah, it came -- it came from -- it Α. 20 came indirectly from the Hermitage Fund. Through a number of shell 21 Q. 22 companies, right? 23 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 24 No, through one Cyprus-based Α. 25 company.

```
Page 60
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                So you created a company in Cyprus?
           Q.
                I didn't create it.
 3
           Α.
           Q.
                Hermitage created it?
 5
           Α.
                Hermitage Fund created a company in
6
     Cyprus.
 7
                And you're the investment advisor
 8
     of Hermitage Fund?
                No. I'm the investment advisor to
 9
10
     HSBC Management Guernsey. HSBC Management
11
     Guernsey was the investment manager of the
    Hermitage Fund.
12
13
                So you had no idea that Hermitage
14
     Fund was setting up a company in Cyprus?
15
           Α.
                No, I knew that.
16
              Of course you did, right?
           0.
17
           Α.
               I knew that.
18
           Q. Yeah, you knew that?
19
           Α.
               I knew that.
20
           Q. You approved the structure?
21
                I wasn't the one to approve it or
22
    disapprove it. The manager was the
     decision-maker.
23
24
              But you made the decision where --
     let's put it this way: You agreed with the
25
```

Page 61 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. decision? A. I didn't object to the decision. 3 Q. You knew it was happening? 5 A. I was aware of it. 6 And Cyprus, according to you, is a 7 jurisdiction that often used for money laundering; that's your view, isn't it? 8 9 Α. No. O. You've never said that? 10 11 A. I think many jurisdictions are used 12 for money laundering. 13 Q. Cyprus being one? Cyprus being one of many. 14 15 Q. But you -- you set up a corporation there, right? 16 17 Just about every Russian company set up -- every Russian investor invested 18 19 through Cyprus. 20 And not every Russian investor who 21 invests through Cyprus is doing things 22 illegally, right? 23 There are many legitimate investors 24 in Cyprus. 25 Q. All right. So Cyprus -- this

Page 62

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Cyprus company, which was, what, Kone or
- 3 Glendora?
- 4 A. I don't remember the names of the
- 5 Cyprus companies.
- 6 Q. Okay. Was holding Saturn?
- 7 A. A Cyprus company was holding -- was
- 8 the -- was the shareholder in Saturn.
- 9 Q. Right. And the funds for Saturn's
- 10 investment came indirectly from the Hermitage
- 11 Fund?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. Cyprus -- Saturn didn't create any
- 14 funds of its own?
- 15 A. It did through the appreciation of
- 16 shares.
- 17 Q. But in the initial purchase of
- 18 shares, it needed to get money from the
- 19 Hermitage Fund?
- 20 A. So the Hermitage Fund funded Saturn
- 21 Investments.
- 22 Q. Right. And then Saturn purchased
- 23 stock or Hermitage Fund purchased stock and
- 24 transferred it to Saturn?
- 25 A. Saturn purchased stock.

Page 63 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. All right. And held it and if Q. 3 there was an appreciation, it would go to the benefit of Saturn? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. Fine. And then the taxes due on 7 that were paid by Saturn? 8 Α. Correct. 9 And you were the general director? In 2001. 10 Α. 11 Ο. And you had to sign the tax 12 returns? I did. 13 Α. Fine. And the tax regimes in --14 15 that were set up in Kalmykia required the hiring of basically Afghan war veterans who 16 had disabilities, right? 17 18 Α. No. 19 What did it -- what did it require? Q. 20 Could you repeat the question? 21 Q. Yeah. What were the tax regimes 22 you were relying upon? 23 There were -- there were two tax 24 incentives in Kalmykia. There were two tax

incentives that we relied on or that Hermitage

25

Page 64

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Fund relied on. The first was the Kalmykian
- 3 tax regime, which allowed the companies to pay
- 4 lesser tax than in other regions, and the
- 5 handicapped tax benefit which allowed a
- 6 reduction in the federal tax rate.
- 7 Q. In other words, one was that a
- 8 majority of your employees in Kalmykia had to
- 9 be physically challenged persons?
- 10 A. Handicapped tax regime required
- 11 that more than 50 percent of the employees
- 12 qualify under certain rules of definition of
- 13 handicapped individuals.
- 14 Q. Right. And the other tax regime
- 15 was that you had to -- Saturn had to invest
- 16 in -- in the Kalmykian region, correct?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. What was the other tax regime?
- 19 A. That you had to be registered, had
- 20 to subscribe for a public offering, and then
- 21 you had to make a -- a regular payment to the
- 22 Kalmykian authorities.
- Q. For the purpose of investing in the
- 24 region?
- 25 A. No.

Page 65 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. You just made a regular payment? Q. 3 Α. You make a regular payment on an It could have been a quarterly 4 annual basis. 5 basis, I don't remember. For what basis, for what purpose? 6 7 To maintain your tax status. 8 Q. So you represented on the Saturn 9 tax returns that a majority of your Kalmykian 10 employees were physically challenged persons? 11 MR. KIM: Objection to the form. 12 Ο. Correct? 13 MR. KIM: Is that an exhibit or 14 you're asking him just to recall. 15 MR. CYMROT: I'm just asking him 16 to recall. 17 Α. Yes. 18 MR. CYMROT: He recalled. 19 MR. KIM: Good recall. 20 MR. CYMROT: Good. 21 BY MR. CYMROT: 22 And you listed those people as expert analysts division, working in the 23 24 expert analyst division; do you remember that? 25 Α. No.

```
Page 66
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                What did you list them as?
           Q.
                I didn't list them as anything.
 3
           Α.
                Well, who did the listing then?
 4
           Ο.
 5
                We contracted out the management of
 6
     these companies to Firestone Duncan, an
 7
     American law and accounting firm.
 8
           0.
             And you gave power of attorney to
 9
     Sergei Magnitsky to file the tax returns
10
     right?
11
           Α.
                No.
12
           Q. Who did you give a power of
13
     attorney to?
14
                Firestone -- I don't know who I
           Α.
15
     gave it to you, but Sergei Magnitsky wasn't
16
     working on -- on this at that time.
17
           Q. I see. He was working at Firestone
18
     Duncan, right?
19
           A. He was, but not on this situation
20
     until 2002.
21
           O. I see. So in 2001 he wasn't
22
     working on it, but in 2002 he was?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
                And he was the one that filed the
25
     tax returns?
```

```
Page 67
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
                Who filed the tax returns?
 3
           Q.
                Some other employees of Firestone
           Α.
 5
     Duncan.
 6
                When you say he was working on it,
 7
     what was he doing it?
 8
           Α.
                When -- there was a challenge in
 9
     the court over -- over the tax payments, so he
     represented us in court.
10
11
           0.
                And he never had a power of
     attorney from you to do the tax returns?
12
                Not in 2001, not that I'm aware of.
13
           Α.
14
              How about in 2002?
           Q.
               I don't think so, but I --
15
           Α.
16
           0. 2003?
17
           Α.
               I don't know.
18
           Q. How about 1999?
19
           Α.
               I don't know.
20
                Who came up with the idea that you
21
     could use this tax regime?
22
                This was a common market practice.
     There was more than 15,000 companies
23
     registered in Kalmykia doing this, and this
24
25
     was -- we were advised by Arthur Andersen,
```

Page 68 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 Firestone Duncan, Ernst & Young and various 3 other firms that this was a proper way of organizing our affairs. 5 But the courts in Kalmykia didn't agree with you, correct? 6 7 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 8 Α. There was -- there was -- they did and they didn't. 9 10 MR. CYMROT: So let's have Tab 38. 11 All right. I'm marking as Browder 12 Exhibit 3 a Decision No. 205, February 26, 2003; Case 13 No. A22-1022/02/6/105. 14 I'm handing you the English, and I 15 Q. think the Russian is attached on the back. 16 17 (Browder Exhibit 3, document Bates 18 stamped BrowderDepo0001471 through 19 '477 was marked for identification, as 20 of this date.) 21 BY MR. CYMROT: 22 So have you seen this decision 23 before? 24 Α. No.

Were you informed of it?

25

Q.

```
Page 69
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
 3
           Q.
                Do you see on the second page it
 4
     says in the middle that -- it names three
     employees were engaged with the position of
 5
 6
     expert analyst division; do you see that?
 7
                MR. KIM: Where on the page?
 8
           Α.
                Where is that?
 9
                It's -- one, two, three, four,
     five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, 11, --
10
11
     12 lines down.
12
              Yes, I see that.
           Α.
13
               You didn't use them as expert
           0.
     analysts, did you?
14
15
           Α.
                I wasn't managing the employees.
16
               Who was managing the employees?
           0.
17
               Firestone Duncan.
           Α.
18
                And who at Firestone Duncan was
19
     managing the employees?
20
           Α.
                I don't know.
21
           Q. You have no idea who was -- you
22
     were the general director and you have no idea
     who was managing these employees?
23
           A. That's correct.
24
25
           Q. And it wasn't Mr. Magnitsky?
```

```
Page 70
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                It wasn't Mr. Magnitsky.
           Α.
 3
           Q.
                I see. And it said that -- if you
 4
     go further down, that these three employees
 5
     were disabled, they were working at other
 6
     locations as physical laborers. Do you see
 7
     that?
 8
           Α.
                Where does it say that?
 9
                If you go down to "In such
     circumstance, " last full paragraph, I think.
10
11
                "The arbitration court finds that
12
     all economic activities of 000" -- which is
13
     referring to Saturn -- "was carried out at its
     actual address. And the above-specified
14
15
     persons" -- and it names four of them --
     bearing in mind their education and
16
     qualification."
17
                I'm sorry, I'm having a hard time
18
19
     finding where you started, if you can just
20
     point it out to me on this document.
21
           Q.
               Right here.
22
           Α.
                Okay.
23
                "Bukayev has no education or
     qualifications. Badykov is a worker.
24
     Byatkiyev is a machine engineer. It had
25
```

Page 71 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 nothing to do with Saturn and were only used 3 by the Claimant to get the income tax relief." Do you see that? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ο. And that's what the Court found, 7 right? 8 Α. Yep. 9 And then if you go to the last page, it's decided that there's additional tax 10 Taxing authority had fined the company 11 basically 903,000 roubles. There were arrears 12 of 4.6 million roubles. There's penalty 13 interest of 487,000 roubles, an additional 14 15 payment of 252,000 roubles. And in the end, the Court wiped out the fine and the 16 17 additional payment, but said the arrears and penalty interest were due. 18 19 Do you see that in the last 20 paragraph? Α. 21 Yes. 22 All right. Did you pay the taxes 23 that were due? I believe -- and I -- I'm -- this 24 Α. 25 is long, long time ago, that there was an

```
Page 72
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
     appeal.
 3
           Q.
                All right. Let's go to the appeal.
                (Browder Exhibit 4, document Bates
 4
 5
           stamped BrowderDepo0001478 through
 6
           '484 was marked for identification, as
           of this date.)
 7
 8
                MR. CYMROT: All right. I'm
 9
           marking as Exhibit 4 a decision,
10
           May 5, 2003, of the Arbitration Court
11
           of the Republic of Kalmykia.
      BY MR. CYMROT:
12
13
                Have you ever seen this before?
           Ο.
14
           Α.
               No.
               You were informed of the result?
15
           Q.
16
           Α.
               No.
17
                Well, if you look to the end, the
     result is that the decision -- the prior court
18
19
     decision of February 26, 2003 was upheld; do
20
     you see that?
21
           Α.
                Yes.
22
           Q.
                Did you pay this?
23
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
24
           Q. Did Saturn pay this?
25
           A. Not that I'm aware of.
```

Page 73 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Q. You don't think these judges -there are three judges here, you don't think 3 they were corrupt, do you? 5 I don't know anything about these 6 judges. 7 You don't have any information to 8 suggest they were politically motivated, do 9 you? 10 A. I don't know anything about these 11 judges. 12 Q. Isn't it true you bankrupted Saturn 13 rather than pay the taxes? 14 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 15 Α. No. 16 Saturn was put into bankruptcy, wasn't it? 17 18 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 19 These taxes paid? Q. 20 There was an audit done by the Α. 21 federal tax service in 2003 which gave Saturn 22 a clean audit. Q. On May 5, 2003, the Arbitration 23 Court of Kalmykia said there were additional 24

taxes due. Does that override an order, an

25

```
Page 74
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
     audit?
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
           Q. That's your view?
 5
           Α.
              Yes.
 6
               Okay. And let's look at the other
 7
     company that you've created in Kalmykia. By
 8
     the way, did Saturn have income? Must have
     had income if it had taxes due?
 9
10
                I would imagine so.
11
           Ο.
               Was the income removed from Russia?
12
           A. I don't know. I would imagine so.
13
                And what do you have to do, what
     did you have to do or what did Saturn have to
14
     do to remove its income from Russia?
15
              I don't know.
16
           Α.
17
               Did it have to make representations
     to the taxing authority?
18
              I don't know.
19
           Α.
20
           Q. Did it have to make representations
     to the Central Bank?
21
22
                I don't know.
23
                By the way, the audit that you
     refer to, the federal audit in 2003 of Saturn,
24
     do you have a copy of that?
25
```

```
Page 75
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Not with me.
 3
                But you should have produced it to
     us as part of the Subpoena?
 5
                MR. KIM: Objection to the form.
 6
                You didn't produce it to us as part
 7
     of the Subpoena. Will you produce it to us?
 8
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
 9
                If it's part of the Subpoena, I'm
     obliged to then of course.
10
11
                And we can invite you back to ask
     you questions about it because it should have
12
13
     been produced before today.
14
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
15
           Actually I'm not sure what I'm
16
           objecting to because it's not a
17
           question.
18
                MR. CYMROT: You're objecting to
19
           the fact that we're inviting him
20
           back --
21
                MR. KIM: Okay.
                MR. CYMROT: -- I'm sure. But we
22
23
           are.
24
      BY MR. CYMROT:
25
                So, you set up another company,
           Q.
```

```
Page 76
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
     Dalnaya Step, D-A-L-N-A-Y-A, Step, correct?
 3
           Α.
                No.
           0.
               Who set it up?
 5
           A. Hermitage Fund.
 6
           Ο.
               And that was through a Cyprus
 7
     company?
 8
           Α.
              Correct.
                So the Cyprus company owned Dalnaya
 9
     Step, correct?
10
                It owned some share capital in
11
           Α.
12
     Dalnaya Step.
13
                And what was the business of
14
     Dalnaya Step?
15
           Α.
                Investments.
           Q. Again, from Hermitage Fund -- funds
16
     to buy the investments came from the Hermitage
17
18
     Fund, directly or indirectly?
19
           Α.
                Indirectly.
20
                And Dalnaya Step purchased Russian
     stock basically, right?
21
22
           Α.
                Yes.
23
                And then when there was income
     there were taxes due, correct?
24
25
           Α.
                Correct.
```

```
Page 77
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                And you were the general director
           Q.
 3
     of Dalnaya Step?
           Α.
                In 2001.
 4
 5
                MR. CYMROT: Let's look at '121.
6
                And Mr. Ivan Cherkasov -- I didn't
 7
     pronounce that correctly. How do you
 8
    pronounce his name?
                That's how you -- you pronounced it
 9
     correctly.
10
           Q. Oh, okay. He was the general
11
12
    director also at -- at a certain period of
    time?
13
14
           A. I'm not sure.
15
                MR. CYMROT: I'm marking as
16
           Exhibit 5 a Spark report for Dalnaya
17
           Step, LLC.
18
                (Browder Exhibit 5, Spark Report
19
           for Dalnaya Step, LLC was marked for
20
           identification, as of this date.)
      BY MR. CYMROT:
21
22
                All right. Does that refresh your
     recollection that you were the general
23
     director in 2002?
24
25
           A. Yes.
```

```
Page 78
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                And Mr. Cherkasov was in 2004?
           Q.
 3
                MR. KIM: Can we stop for a
 4
           moment? We don't have a copy yet.
 5
           Thank you.
 6
           0.
              Is that true?
 7
           Α.
              Is this -- I don't know. I mean,
     I --
 8
               You don't know what that --
 9
           0.
                I don't know what a Spark report
10
11
     is, but I was general director if -- if I --
     without confirmation from Ivan, he could be,
12
13
     could be not.
                All right. And as general
14
15
     director, you had personal responsibility for
16
     the tax returns, correct?
17
                That is correct.
           Α.
                Let me go back to Saturn a minute,
18
19
     because the court found that the employees who
20
     were listed as those with handicaps in fact
21
     did not work for the company or were not
22
     capable of doing the work that the tax returns
23
     said they were capable of doing. You remember
24
     that quote I gave you?
25
           Α.
                Yes.
```

```
Page 79
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                So who signed the tax returns that
           Q.
     made those representations?
 3
           Α.
                I did.
 4
 5
                And those were incorrect
 6
     representations --
 7
           Α.
                No.
 8
           Q. -- according to the court?
 9
                No, but the -- as I mentioned
     before, the ultimate decision was with the tax
10
11
     authority's who gave a clean audit.
12
                That tax court found there were
           Ο.
13
     incorrect representations on those tax
     returns, weren't there?
14
                And then the subsequent Plaintiff,
15
     the tax authorities did an audit and found
16
17
     everything to be correct.
                So you say, but you haven't given
18
19
     it to us. According to the court, there were
20
     incorrect representations. That's right, and
     that's where we leave it in terms of the
21
22
     documents we have here today, right?
23
                I'm sorry. What -- what's the
24
     question?
25
                The question is that the court
           Q.
```

Page 80 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 found there were improper representations 3 about the employees of Saturn on the tax returns? 4 5 Α. Right. You showed me those 6 documents. 7 Q. Yes. And do you have any 8 information that would suggest those employees 9 were capable of being analysts for Saturn? I -- I have an audit from the tax 10 11 authorities of Kalmykia giving a clean audit -- giving a clean bill of health to both 12 13 companies in late 2003. After the court decided otherwise? 14 Q. 15 Α. That's correct. 16 Q. All right. And you're going to 17 produce that to us? 18 Α. Sure. 19 MR. KIM objection to form. 20 Okay. So let's go Dalnaya --0. 21 Dalnaya Step. There was a decision 22 December 9, 2005, which I marked as --23 MR. CYMROT: -- what, Exhibit 4? 24 THE REPORTER: 5. 25 MR. CYMROT: 5? No, that's --

```
Page 81
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
           have I marked it yet? I haven't
           marked it yet. Let's give you that.
 3
                (Browder Exhibit 6, document Bates
 4
 5
           stamped BrowderDepo0001518 through
 6
           '521 was marked for identification, as
           of this date.)
 7
 8
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 9
                Have you seen this decision before?
     It's December 9, 2005, Arbitration Court,
10
11
     Republic of Kalmykia, and it's Case No.
12
     A22-1398-05-13-172.
13
                Actually, read this stuff later.
                What's the question?
14
           Α.
15
           Q.
                Have you seen this before?
16
           Α.
                No.
17
               Were you informed of it?
           0.
           Α.
                No.
18
19
                So this is the first time you're
           Q.
20
     aware that the Arbitration Court of Kalmykia
21
     found unpaid taxes in the amount of 5155 --
22
     I'm sorry -- 551 million roubles?
23
                What's the question?
24
           Q. Is this the first time that you've
25
     learned that the Kalmykian arbitration court
```

Page 82 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 found unpaid taxes for Dalnaya Step of 551 million roubles? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 That's almost, what, \$20 million? 6 Α. I don't have my calculator, so... 7 Q. Take my word for it. I checked the 8 exchange rate and used my calculator. It's 9 19 million and something. 10 All right. And isn't it true that 11 after this decision Hermitage bankrupted 12 Dalnaya Step? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Okay. Let's look at Tab 63. 15 (Browder Exhibit 7, document Bates 16 stamped BrowderDepo0001522 through 17 '530 was marked for identification, as of this date.) 18 19 BY MR. CYMROT: 20 Have you ever seen this opinion before? 21 22 This is Arbitration Court of the 23 Republic of Kalmykia, June 9, 2007. It's 24 entitled "Judgment Case No. 25 A22-941-06-15-133." It is a bankruptcy

```
Page 83
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2
     judgment for Dalnaya Step.
 3
                Do you see that?
 4
                I'm sorry. Where -- where are you
 5
     reading from?
6
                I'm just reading from the title
 7
     "Decree in Bankruptcy Proceedings." It says
 8
     that the end order and the judge, Dalnaya
 9
     Step, shall be considered an absent debtor.
10
     Absent debtor Dalnaya Step shall be declared
11
     insolvent. A trustee appointed.
     information is to be published. The federal
12
13
     tax service shall be compensated for -- shall
14
     compensate the trustee.
15
                You see that? Three judges.
16
              Where is that?
           Α.
17
               The last two pages.
           0.
18
           A. Yes.
19
           Q. All right. So Dalnaya Step is put
20
     into bankruptcy. Judgment is entered. You
21
     see that?
               That's news to you?
22
           Α.
                No.
23
           Q. Didn't know that?
24
           Α.
               No.
25
                All right. Did you know that the
           Q.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 tax authority was the only creditor of Dalnaya
- 3 Step?
- 4 A. I wasn't aware of this judgment, so
- 5 I didn't know that.
- 6 Q. And were you aware -- how did this
- 7 get a -- how did this -- let me ask you
- 8 another question.
- 9 Are you aware of an appeal of this
- 10 judgment?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Well, let's show you that.
- 13 MR. CYMROT: 64.
- 14 (Browder Exhibit 8, document Bates
- stamped BrowderDepo0001531 through
- 16 '535 was marked for identification, as
- of this date.)
- 18 BY MR. CYMROT:
- 19 Q. All right. I put in front of you
- 20 Exhibit 8, which is a decree, October 22,
- 21 2007. Same case number for Dalnaya Step.
- 22 Report of the bankruptcy trustee is affirmed.
- 23 Bankruptcy -- bankruptcy proceeding against
- 24 absent debtor Dalnaya Step shall be concluded.
- 25 It goes on to describe various

Page 85 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 steps there. 3 But on the first page, last two sentences, the court found that the UFNS of 5 Russia for the Republic of Kalmykia was the 6 only creditor. 7 Do you see that? 8 Α. I do. 9 So in other words there were taxes Is that the way you would understand 10 11 that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And you were totally unaware of these events? You weren't aware of this 14 15 decree, aware of the appeal, aware of the fact that Dalnaya Step was placed in bankruptcy, 16 and that taxes were owed? 17 Totally unaware. 18 19 Q. How would that happen within 20 Hermitage? 21 MR. KIM objection to form. 22 I don't understand your question. 23 How could it happen that one of your companies is placed in bankruptcy and has 24 taxes due and you don't even know about it? 25

Page 86 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. MR. KIM objection to form. 3 This wasn't one of our companies at Α. that point. 4 5 Whose company was it? 6 We had transferred this company to 7 be liquidated in 2004. 8 Q. Without paying the taxes, 9 apparently. 10 No. We paid the taxes and had it 11 confirmed. 12 Q. Confirmed by what? 13 By the person we transferred the 14 companies to. Q. Who did you transfer the companies 15 16 to? 17 A. We transferred it a firm called 18 VMRG [sic]. 19 O. What is VMRG? 20 A. Visao Risk Management Group. 21 Visao? How do you spell that? Q. 22 Α. V-I-S-A-O. 23 Q. And who runs that group? A. It's run by a man named Jakir 24 25 Shaashoua.

```
Page 87
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
                Where is he?
 2.
           Q.
                I don't know.
 3
           Α.
                Well, where is his company located?
           Q.
 5
           Α.
               Moscow.
 6
           0.
                And how did you transfer the
 7
     company?
 8
           Α.
                We registered his -- his corporate
 9
     name or his personal name or something.
10
                Was there a compensation paid?
11
           Α.
                I don't know the details.
12
     wasn't my responsibility.
13
                Whose responsibility was it?
           Ο.
14
                The manager of the fund HS -- HSBC.
           Α.
                HSBC Guernsey?
15
           Q.
16
           Α.
              Yes.
17
                And it was transferred, you said,
           0.
     to be liquidated?
18
19
           Α.
              Correct.
20
                And I think I asked you: Was there
21
     compensation paid?
22
                I don't know the details.
23
                In any case -- do you know a man --
     man named Ariel Bus- -- Busaka?
24
25
           Α.
                No.
```

```
Page 88
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
                Busada?
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                No.
                Isn't that a name you use in Red
 5
     Notice?
 6
           Α.
               Yes.
 7
                So you know who that is?
 8
           Α.
               Yes.
              So who is it?
 9
           Ο.
           A. That's Jakir Shaashoua.
10
           Q. How do you spell his name,
11
12
     Shaashoua?
                I don't know.
13
           Α.
                So as of October of 2007, there
14
15
     were taxes due -- just a second.
16
                When did you make the transfer?
17
                MR. KIM objection to form.
18
                I didn't make any transfer.
           Α.
19
           Q. To Mr. Shaashoua?
20
                I -- I didn't make any transfer.
           Α.
21
           Q.
                When did Hermitage transfer Dalnaya
22
     Step to Mr. Shaashoua?
                Sometime in 2004.
23
           Α.
24
           O. Okay. In 2000- -- thereafter do
25
     you know whether Dalnaya Step had any
```

```
Page 89
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
     business?
 3
           Α.
                I don't know.
 4
                Why do you use a pseudonym for
 5
     Mr. Shaashoua in your book?
 6
                I used pseudonyms for a lot of
 7
     people.
 8
           0.
                Why did you use a pseudonym for
     him?
 9
              I don't recall.
10
           Α.
11
           Q. Is he in danger in some way?
           A. I don't know.
12
13
                Do you know what -- for -- for what
           Q.
     tax years this $20 million is due?
14
           Α.
15
                No.
                Do you know whether it's when you
16
     hold -- held the company?
17
18
                I'm sorry.
           Α.
19
                Do you know whether it was due for
20
     time periods when you owned or Hermitage Fund
21
     owned the company?
22
                I don't know.
23
                Well, if you look at the decision
     in No. 6.
24
25
           A. In Exhibit 6?
```

```
Page 90
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Yes, Exhibit 6.
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
                It says that, as of May 3, 2005, it
 4
 5
     is suggested that Dalnaya Step voluntarily pay
 6
     the taxes sanctioned in the amount of
 7
     91 million roubles.
 8
                So that would have been for a prior
 9
     tax year, wouldn't it?
10
                I'm not an accountant. I don't
11
     know.
              You don't have a decision in 2005
12
           Ο.
13
     for the tax year 2005, do you, to file the tax
     for 2005 at the end of 2005?
14
                So we're talking about prior tax
15
     years when Hermitage Fund owned this
16
17
     company --
18
                MR. KIM objection to form.
19
                -- there were taxes found to be due
           0.
     by this Court. And that's news to you?
20
21
           Α.
                Yes.
22
                And the company ultimately is
23
     bankrupt without paying the taxes. That's
     what happened isn't it?
24
25
           A. I don't know.
```

Page 91 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. So at the time that the search Ο. 3 warrant was executed in June of 2007, the situation was that the courts had found that 4 you had taken advantage of the tax regime in 5 6 Kalmykia, had taxes due, they were unpaid, the 7 company was bankrupt. 8 You say that's not a grounds to 9 conduct an investigation? 10 MR. KIM: Objection --11 So you're --Α. 12 MR. KIM: -- to form. 13 -- you're -- you're -- break it down into smaller pieces. I don't -- I don't 14 15 know what you're -- you're trying to say here. 16 What I'm trying to say is you've Q. said that the investigative authorities had 17 absolutely no basis for conducting an 18 19 investigation of Hermitage Fund in 2007. 20 MR. KIM: Objection to the form. 21 Ο. And what these decisions show is 22 there were false statements on tax returns, there were taxes due, they went unpaid, and 23 the company was placed in bankruptcy. 24 25 MR. KIM: Objection to the form

```
Page 92
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           and the characterization of the
           documents.
 3
                Don't you agree that that would be
 5
     a basis for the investigative authorities to
 6
     investigate whether there had been any
 7
     wrongdoing?
 8
                In -- in 2006, after I was expelled
 9
     from the country, we wrote to the Interior
     Ministry and Federal Border Service of Russia
10
11
     to inquire about whether there was any
     criminal investigations open into -- into me
12
13
     in relation to any activities in the
     Federation of Russia in order to determine
14
15
     whether my expulsion from Russia was due to
     any criminal investigations. And we were
16
     informed that there were no criminal
17
     investigations inside the Russian Federation.
18
19
              At that time.
           0.
20
           Α.
               In 2006.
21
           Q.
                And you're sure they would have
22
     told you?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
               You have that letter?
           0.
25
                I have that letter.
           Α.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. You haven't given us that letter.
- 3 I'll tell you, you haven't given us
- 4 that letter. So we have to take your word for
- 5 it? Is that the idea?
- 6 A. Well, in -- in due course I'm sure
- 7 that, when we get to producing, I think we
- 8 produced about half a million documents for
- 9 you so far, so I can't tell you what we
- 10 will -- we have and haven't produced yet.
- 11 Q. So if the facts are as the way I
- 12 described them, would you dispute that the
- 13 investigative -- the Ministry of Interior had
- 14 grounds to investigate Hermitage Fund for tax
- 15 evasion?
- 16 A. Yes. Because -- because the facts
- 17 how you've -- how you've represented them
- 18 aren't correct. There was no -- no
- 19 investigation open into me in 2006, and
- 20 subsequent to that -- and we've learned this
- 21 recently, that some -- that the
- 22 investigation -- there had been an
- 23 investigation in 2004 that was closed into --
- that had looked into Dalnaya Step and had been
- 25 closed due to lack of crime. So --

```
Page 94
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
              You have that document?
           Ο.
 3
           Α.
              Yes.
           Q. You're going to give us that
 5
     document?
 6
           Α.
              Of course.
 7
           Q. By the way, Saturn became
     Parfenion?
 8
               I don't know.
10
                MR. CYMROT: Let's take about a
11
           15-minute break.
12
                MR. KIM: Sure.
13
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
14
           11:10 a.m. We're coming off the
15
           record.
16
                (Whereupon, at this time, a short
17
           break was taken.)
18
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
19
           11:24 a.m. We are back on the record.
20
     BY MR. CYMROT:
21
           Q. Okay. So, Mr. Browder, the
22
     investigation that led to the search in 2007
     started before 2007, didn't it?
23
24
           A. Not that I'm aware of.
25
           Q. You weren't aware there was a -- an
```

Page 95 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. investigation of Hermitage for tax fraud in 3 2004 and 2005? I became aware of that recently. 5 You weren't aware of it at the 6 time? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. But -- so the Ministry of Interior 9 was investigating Hermitage for tax fraud from 2004 and finally searched its offices with a 10 11 search warrant in 2007, correct? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. What happened? The Interior Ministry was 14 investigating Hermitage in 2004; closed the 15 case in 2005. 16 17 Who told you that? I got information in 2000- -- some 18 19 recent year. 20 O. From whom? A. I can't remember where it came 21 22 from. 23 So just this amorphous information that it was closed? 24 25 Α. Yes.

Page 96 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. But you don't know whether it's Q. true for not. It's just what somebody told 3 you? 5 No, no. I'm pretty sure it's true. Α. 6 Was it a person in a position to 7 know? 8 Α. I can't remember. 9 So we have this anonymous person who says the investigation was closed, but 10 11 then there's a search warrant in 2007, and 12 that we know. So we know that there was an 13 investigation in 2004 and 2005 and a search warrant in 2000- -- search warrant executed in 14 15 2007, and then we have this amorphous "but I was told it was close." 16 17 That's all we know right now; is that right? 18 19 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 20 Α. No. What more do we know? 21 Q. 22 We know that it was closed in 2005. 23 From this source -- unidentified source says it was closed in 2005? 24 25 Α. Yes.

```
Page 97
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                No documents?
           Q.
                There might be.
 3
           Α.
 4
           Ο.
                But you don't have any?
 5
           Α.
                I don't remember.
 6
           Ο.
                Okay. Was Mr. Shaashoua who bought
 7
     Dalnaya Step?
 8
           Α.
                Yes -- no. His firm did, I think.
 9
           0.
                All right. Well --
10
                Maybe him. I don't remember.
           Α.
11
           0.
                Could you speak up a little?
12
                I don't know exactly who bought it.
           Α.
     I think it was him or his firm.
13
                All right. And when did you first
14
           Q.
     meet him?
15
16
           Α.
                1998.
17
                And did you do business with him in
           Ο.
     any other ways?
18
19
           Α.
                He provided security for Republic
20
     National Bank, who was the business partner of
21
     my investment advisory firm.
22
                Mr. Safra. So that -- you met him
23
     through Mr. Safra?
24
           A. Correct.
25
           Q. Edmond Safra?
```

```
Page 98
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Correct.
             Okay. So he provided security
 3
     services, you say?
 5
                Security and risk management
6
     services.
 7
           Q.
               All right.
 8
           A. And -- and various legal services
 9
     as well.
              And you knew him from 2000- -- from
10
11
     1998 until when?
                I quess till after I was kicked out
12
     of Russia in 2005.
13
              You lost touch with him?
14
           Q.
15
           Α.
               Yes, now I have.
16
              When did you lose touch with him?
           Q.
17
               I don't -- I don't remember.
           Α.
               And so did he do any due diligence
18
19
    to buy Dalnaya Step?
20
                I wasn't involved in the si- -- in
21
     the situation.
22
                Sale of a company would generally
     generate a tax liability, wouldn't it?
23
           A. Correct.
24
25
           Q. Do you know whether that tax
```

```
Page 99
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
     liability was ever paid?
 3
           Α.
                He confirmed it was.
                Who confirmed it was?
           Ο.
 5
           Α.
               Shaashoua.
 6
           0.
               In writing?
 7
           Α.
             I think so.
 8
           Ο.
               You've seen the writing?
 9
                I've not personally, but I'm -- I'm
     sure someone on my team has.
10
11
              You have the writing?
12
              I would assume so. I don't know.
13
                All right. Well, we'll -- we'd
           Q.
     like that writing also.
14
                So in 2005, you were quite a
15
     supporter of Vladimir Putin's, right?
16
17
           Α.
               Correct.
18
                And so at the time the
19
     investigation began, it wasn't politically
20
     motivated, because you were a big supporter of
21
     the government at that point?
22
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
23
              Isn't that true?
           0.
24
                No.
           Α.
25
                2004 you weren't a big supporter of
           Q.
```

Page 100 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 the government? In 2004 I was a big supporter of 3 the government. 5 And the investigation started at 6 that time? 7 Α. Yeah. 8 Q. So you wouldn't say the investigation started for political reasons, 9 10 right? 11 A. I would say it did. Q. At -- in 2004? 12 13 Α. Yes. Q. Even though you're a big supporter 14 of the government? 15 16 Α. Yes. What were the political reasons in 17 18 2004? 19 We were a big critics of corruption 20 at Gazprom, one of the most important government-connected companies in the country. 21 22 And they were criticizing -- the government was criticizing you for buying 23 internal shares of Gazprom when you were a 24

25

foreign company, right?

```
Page 101
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
 3
           Q.
                That never happened?
           Α.
                It did, but not then.
 5
           0.
                I see. When did that happen?
 6
           Α.
                It happened in 2013.
                All right. Well, we'll get back
 7
           Q.
 8
     into that.
 9
                MR. CYMROT: So we need, let's
10
           see, Tab 61.
11
                I'm going to mark as Exhibit 9 a
12
           PowerPoint, "Seven Big Myths About
           Russia."
13
14
                 (Browder Exhibit 9, document Bates
15
           stamped BrowderDepo0001485 through
           '517 was marked for identification, as
16
17
           of this date.)
18
      BY MR. CYMROT:
19
           Q. Do you recognize this document?
                Just for the record, it says "By
20
     William Browder, Chief Executive Officer,
21
22
     Hermitage Capital Management, April 2005."
23
                Okay. So do you recognize that
24
     document?
25
                I do.
           Α.
```

```
Page 102
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                You put it together, right?
           Q.
                Yes.
 3
           Α.
                And I don't want to go through all
 4
 5
     of it, but basically the theme here is that
 6
     Mr. Putin's critics are wrong about you,
 7
     right?
 8
           A. I think the -- no.
 9
               Okay. You describe it. What's the
10
     theme?
11
           Α.
                The theme is that the Russian
     market is overly discounting the negative
12
     sentiment about Putin.
13
                Okay. So that the market itself is
14
     more valuable because Putin's doing a good
15
16
     job?
17
           Α.
                No.
                All right. Explain it to me then.
18
19
     Why is the market discounting --
20
           Α.
               The market is --
21
           Q. -- overly discounting?
22
                The market is overly discounting,
     as you just said, the Russian stocks because
23
     they're too pessimistic about Putin's
24
     governing for Russia.
25
```

Page 103 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 I see. And so people ought to Q. invest in Russia. That's kind of the point of 3 this, right? 5 The point of this was that the 6 market prices should be higher. 7 I see. So that your stocks will be 8 worth more. Stocks that Hermitage Funds own will be worth more? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And you'll make more money? 12 A. Correct. 13 Okay. Did you know Mr. Kasyanov? Q. Vaguely. He was a prime minister 14 Α. of Russia. 15 Right. Did you have personal 16 0. contact with him? 17 Recently I have. 18 Α. 19 When was that? Q. 20 Α. Three months ago. 21 Q. Where was that? A. In London. 22 23 O. And what was the occasion? 24 A. It was the fifth anniversary of Sergei Magnitsky's death. 25

```
Page 104
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                And there was an event?
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
                And he was there?
           Ο.
 5
           Α.
               Yes.
 6
           0.
                And you and he spoke?
                We spoke on the same panel. We --
 7
           Α.
 8
     he joined for dinner afterwards.
                You had dinner with him?
 9
                I had dinner with him and 30
10
11
     people.
12
                Did you speak to him directly?
           Q.
13
           Α.
                Yes.
14
                What did you talk about?
           Q.
                We talked about the Putin regime.
15
           Α.
     Talked about Ukraine. Talked about Sergei
16
17
     Magnitsky.
18
                And did you and he agree on these
19
     subjects?
20
           Α.
                Yes.
           Q. You did.
21
22
                Did you know him back when he was
     prime minister?
23
24
           Α.
                No.
25
                Never met him?
           Q.
```

```
Page 105
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                I think I shook his hand once.
 3
                Did you meet Vladimir Putin at any
     point in time?
 5
           Α.
                Never.
 6
           Q. Now, when were you denied entry
 7
     into Russia?
 8
           Α.
              November 13, 2005.
 9
              (Whereupon, an off-the-record
10
      discussion was held.)
              All right. And when were you
11
12
     convicted?
13
           A. Sometime in July 2013.
14
           Q. How about July 11, 2011? No?
                Is there an appeal you're referring
15
16
    to?
17
           A. (Shaking.)
                All right. Let me pull it out.
18
19
                (Browder Exhibit 10, document
20
           Bates stamped BrowderDepo0001408
           through '470 was marked for
21
22
           identification, as of this date.)
23
      BY MR. CYMROT:
24
           Q. You're right; '13.
25
                So this is an English translation
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 along with the Russian in the back, a judgment
- 3 in the name of the Russian Federation. And if
- 4 you go to the end of it, it convicts you of
- 5 tax evasion, correct?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you have said many times that
- 8 Mr. Magnitsky was convicted posthumously.
- 9 You've said that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And on the first page it appears
- 12 that it's dismissed against Mr. Magnitsky,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Under paragraph 4 of Article 24 of
- 16 the Code of Criminal Procedure of the Russian
- 17 Federation.
- Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. So he wasn't convicted
- 21 posthumously, right? You were wrong about
- 22 that?
- 23 A. No. I don't -- I don't read it as
- 24 such.
- Q. Let's see. Well, let's look at the

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 sentence which is 28 of 30.
- 3 A. Where is that?
- 4 Q. Page 28 of 30. If you look at the
- 5 top, there are page numbers on the top.
- I'd give you a Bates number, but
- 7 mine is not Bates-numbered. Just look at the
- 8 top of the page there.
- 9 All right. It says "Sentenced."
- 10 So on Bates No. 1434, the sentence only refers
- 11 to you, correct?
- 12 A. I see my name here.
- 13 Q. "William Felix Browder found guilty
- of committing two crimes" and -- and then it
- 15 goes on, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And there's nothing about
- 18 Mr. Magnitsky being convicted of anything,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. I'm not a Russian criminal lawyer,
- 21 so I couldn't make a judgment about this --
- 22 about this conviction.
- Q. Well, it appears from these two
- 24 entries that you were wrong. That he was
- 25 never convicted posthumously, right?

```
Page 108
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
 3
           Q.
                You -- your sticking to your
 4
     position even though the document says
 5
     otherwise?
 6
           Α.
                Yes.
 7
                Because you're not a Russian
 8
     lawyer?
                That's correct.
 9
10
                All right. But you have Russian
11
     lawyers working for you, right?
12
           Α.
                I do.
13
                And they've reviewed this
     conviction, and they've said there's somewhere
14
     someplace in this conviction that it refers to
15
     Mr. Magnitsky as being convicted of something?
16
17
                MR. KIM: Objection. I think
18
           communications with his lawyers are
19
           topics that we should not answer.
20
                MR. CYMROT: All right.
21
           Q.
                So do you have any basis for saying
22
     that Mr. Magnitsky is convicted of anything?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
           O. What's that?
24
25
           A. Advice from my lawyers.
```

Page 109 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Okay. You know, he just claimed Q. 3 privilege for you. 4 I'm reading the document. It says 5 "Case is dismissed. The individual decision to dismiss the crime case on the basis of 6 paragraph 4 of Article 24." 7 8 Do you see that? 9 It's at the beginning. Then at the 10 end, "Sentenced. Only Felix -- William Felix 11 Browder." 12 You're sticking by your position no 13 matter what, right? 14 Is there a --Α. 15 MR. KIM: Objection to form. My analysis is different than 16 Α. 17 yours. Do you have anything in the 18 19 document that you can point me to that 20 suggests he's convicted? 21 Α. I'm not a lawyer. 22 Well, you read English, right? 23 I do read English, but I'm not a 24 specialist on Russian law and Russian proc- --25 criminal procedure.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 110 of 386 Page 110 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. So based upon what you've read Q. 3 here, you might question what your lawyers told you then? 4 5 Without a full analysis, I wouldn't 6 be able to make a judgment on this document. 7 Q. I see. But you would agree this is 8 the conviction? This is your conviction? 9 Α. Apparently so. Right. And it says what it says, Q.

10

11 so we'll let the judge or jury decide whether

Mr. Magnitsky was ever convicted of anything, 12

13 right?

14 I'm sorry? Α.

15 Q. We'll allow the judge and jury to

decide whether Mr. Con- -- Mr. Magnitsky was 16

17 convicted of anything or whether you got it

18 wrong.

19 MR. KIM: Objection to form.

20 Α. What -- what -- so is there a

21 question?

22 0. Perhaps you got -- perhaps you got

23 it wrong. Can you consider that?

24 I don't think I got it wrong. Α.

25 Q. You think you ever get anything

```
Page 111
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
     wrong?
 3
                I get lots of things wrong.
 4
           Q.
                Okay. Good. It seems like you
 5
     might have gotten this wrong.
 6
                When you told people
     Mr. Magnitsky's a lawyer, did you also tell
 7
 8
     them he never went to law school and never had
     a law license?
 9
10
                I'm sorry. I . . .
           Α.
11
                When you tell -- how many times
12
     have you said, "Mr. Magnitsky is a lawyer"?
13
                I don't know.
           Α.
14
           Q.
              50? 100? 200?
15
           A. I don't know.
           Q. Many, many times, right?
16
17
                Yes.
           Α.
18
                Have you ever told anybody that he
19
     didn't go to law school and didn't have a law
20
     degree?
21
           Α.
               No.
22
                Did you tell them that he was
23
     working for you for many years and he was
24
     working capa- -- in capacities other than
25
     legal?
```

```
Page 112
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
                Yes.
 2.
           Α.
 3
                Did you tell these gentlemen over
     here that?
 4
 5
           Α.
                Yes.
 6
                MR. CYMROT: And I was pointing
 7
           Mr. Monteleoni.
 8
           0.
                All right. Let's go to the
     Complaint.
 9
10
                Which one? Our Complaint to the
11
     govern -- to the government or the
12
     government's Complaint?
13
                The government's Complaint, which I
     think is Exhibit 2, right?
14
           Α.
15
                Yes.
16
                All right. All right. Take a look
17
     at paragraph 25.
18
                So I'll read it. Paragraph 25,
19
     Exhibit 2, the Complaint:
20
                "Among the items seized in the
     search of Hermitage and Firestone Duncan's
21
22
     offices were the corporate stamps, the
23
     official charters, the original tax
24
     certificates, and the original registration
25
     certificates, which are defined as corporate
```

Page 113 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. documents and seals, for Rilend, Parfenion, 3 and that Makhaon." You see that? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 And then paragraph 26 says, "Unbeknownst to Hermitage or HSBC Guernsey, 7 8 members of the organization used the seized 9 corporate documents and seals to fraudulently 10 reregister ownership of Rilend, Parfenion, and 11 Ma- -- Makhaon with the Russian corporate 12 registry." Right? 13 Did I read that right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. And then on pa- -- on paragraph 29, it says, "On information and belief, the 16 members of the organization have had stolen 17 the corporate identities of Hermitage 18 19 companies, used the seized corporate documents 20 and seals to forge backdated contracts with 21 sham commercial counterparts for use in sham 22 lawsuits against the Hermitage companies." 23 Right? 24 Α. Yes. 25 So, in other words, this is what Q.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 you told the U.S. Attorney's Office, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And as you describe it, then, the
- 5 raid -- through the raid, the Ministry of
- 6 Interior got the seals, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. The June 2007 raid, the Ministry of
- 9 the Interior got the seals?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And then those seals were the same
- 12 seals used to transfer the three Hermitage
- 13 companies, Parfenion, Rilend, and Makhaon,
- 14 right?
- 15 A. No. Go back to the -- if you go
- 16 back to the -- what you read, let's just read
- 17 it again.
- 18 "25. Among the items seized in the
- 19 search for Hermitage and Firestone's offices
- 20 were the corporate stamps, the original
- 21 charters, the original tax certificates, the
- 22 original registration certificates, quote,
- 23 corporate documents and seals for Rilend,
- 24 Parfenion, and Makhaon." That's -- that's
- 25 what I said.

Page 115 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Right. Q. 3 Α. And those documents, all those documents -- some or all of those documents 4 were used in the fraud. 5 6 0. And one of the things that you've 7 said is the seals were used in the fraud, 8 right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 The seals that were seized by the 11 Ministry of Interior were used in the fraud. You said it repeatedly, right? 12 13 I've said the stamps, seals, and certificates were used in the frauds. 14 15 Q. But you said the seals were used in the fraud, correct? 16 17 A. Correct. But you've known for many years 18 19 that there are -- that there is an analysis 20 that shows the same seals were not used in the 21 fraud. Isn't that true? 22 Α. No. 23 Q. You're not aware of that? 24 Α. No. 25 Q. Never heard that?

Page 116 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 Vaguely. Never the criminals using Α. 3 that argument. 4 Q. Really? What criminals? 5 Members of the Interior Ministry. 6 Have used that argument? Ο. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Mr. Kleiner never informed you that 9 there was a forensic analysis that showed the 10 same seals were not used? 11 Α. No. 12 MR. CYMROT: I need to talk to you 13 out -- outside. 14 MR. KIM: Okay. Right now? MR. CYMROT: We need a --15 16 MR. KIM: We need a break? 17 MR. CYMROT: Yes. Right now. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is --19 the time is 11:47 a.m. We are off the 20 record. 21 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 22 discussion was held.) 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 24 11:53 a.m. We are back on the record. 25 BY MR. CYMROT:

Page 117 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. Mr. Browder, let me first ask you Q. 3 that Mr. Kleiner never told you that he was aware of a forensic analysis showing that the 5 same seals were not used. 6 Α. Correct. 7 Q. Is that your testimony? 8 A. Correct. I have materials that are unsealed 9 10 that I want to examine you about that I can't, and I'm going to seek to have the Court unseal 11 those. And I'll proceed with my examination 12 13 at this point. 14 MR. KIM: Okay. At least our 15 position is that the witness has 16 already testified to what he knows, 17 and so such documents would not be relevant. And if you want to ask 18 19 other questions about the witness' 20 knowledge, you're welcome to. 21 MR. CYMROT: Well, it may refresh his recollection or other things. In 22 23 any case, we'll argue about it when the witness is not here and we're not 24 25 wasting his time.

```
Page 118
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                MR. KIM: Yes.
 3
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 4
           Q.
                All right. So, Mr. Browder, it is
 5
     the seals and these other corporate documents,
     according to this Complaint, that ties the
 6
 7
     Ministry of Interior into the scheme to
 8
     defraud. Isn't that true?
 9
           Α.
               Correct.
10
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
11
                And it's the only thing that ties
           0.
     the Ministry of Interior into the scheme to
12
     steal $230 million?
13
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
14
15
           Α.
               Incorrect.
16
              What else is it?
           0.
17
                Many other things that are listed
           Α.
     in the Complaint.
18
19
                Well, show me something, because
           Q.
20
     it's the only thing I can find.
21
           Α.
                Okay. Why don't we look -- first
22
     of all, I'm not a lawyer here, but let me --
23
     let me try to make the government --
24
           Q. Well, it's your story?
25
                Well, let me -- no, it's the
           Α.
```

Page 119 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 government's story. 3 0. You -- oh, it's the government's story and you don't support it? 4 5 The government is making the No. 6 case. 7 Q. I know, but the government --8 Α. I'm -- I'm -- I'm just here to --9 vou know. Let -- let me --10 No, let's stick with that point for 11 a minute, Mr. Browder. This is the government's case, and I thought you told me 12 13 that you read this and everything that you knew about was accurate? 14 15 Α. I told you that everything that I was familiar with in this Complaint was 16 17 accurate. And the \$230 million theft from the 18 19 Russian tref- -- treasury, that's a story that 20 came from you, and you've told to several law 21 enforcement agencies, correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 24 And you started a proceeding in Ο. Switzerland based upon that story, right? 25

```
Page 120
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
 3
           Α.
                Correct.
 4
           Q.
                And the seals were part of your
 5
     story from the very beginning, right?
 6
           Α.
                The stamps, seals --
 7
           Q.
                And other --
 8
           Α.
               -- certificates --
 9
           0.
                -- other --
10
                -- and original items of
11
     incorporation and also other documents seized
     from during the raid were part of the fraud.
12
13
                I don't know how the -- exactly how
     the -- the tactics were used to execute the
14
     fraud, but I know many of these documents were
15
     used in the fraud.
16
17
              All right. And you're saying
     they're the same documents and that's what
18
19
     ties the criminal investigation of you into
20
     the $230 million fraud, correct?
21
           Α.
                Yes.
22
                And so if it wasn't the same
     documents, then the criminal investigation of
23
     you is not tied into the $230 million fraud,
24
25
     correct?
```

```
Page 121
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                MR. KIM: Objection to the form.
                I -- I don't understand the
 3
           Α.
 4
     question.
 5
                Very simple. What ties the two
 6
     events together, the $230 million fraud and
 7
     the criminal investigation of you, are these
 8
     corporate documents and seals that are alleged
 9
     here to be the same used -- taken from
10
     Hermitage in the search and used in the
11
     $230 million fraud, correct?
                MR. KIM: Objection to the form.
12
13
                I -- I'm -- I'm still having a
     tr- -- hard time following you.
14
15
           Q.
              I just read you three paragraphs --
16
           Α.
                Right.
17
               -- that say the corporate documents
     and seals were seized by the Ministry of
18
19
     Interior in the search in June of 2007, and
20
     then those same documents and seals were used
21
     to commitment the $230 million fraud, right?
22
           Α.
                We -- yes.
23
           Q.
                We just went through that.
24
           Α.
                Yes.
25
                Okay. And that is the link between
           Q.
```

```
1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
```

- 2 the Ministry of Interior and the investigation
- 3 of you and the \$230 million fraud.
- 4 If the \$230 million fraud were done
- 5 with other documents, there is no tie between
- 6 the \$230 million fraud and the criminal
- 7 investigation of you; isn't that correct?
- 8 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 9 A. No, no.
- 10 Q. Why not?
- 11 A. Your mischaracterizing the whole --
- 12 your simplifying and mischaracterizing the --
- 13 the whole story.
- Q. Well, I'm just going on the facts
- in the Complaint. The facts in the Complaint
- 16 are as we read them.
- 17 A. You read them selectively without
- 18 reading other factual --
- 19 MR. KIM: I don't think there's a
- 20 question pending. I'm starting to
- 21 watch a debate. So maybe you can ask
- 22 a question.
- 23 Q. Is there any other tie other than
- 24 those documents between the \$230 million fraud
- 25 and the Ministry of Interior?

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. Yes. Give me a moment to find the
- 3 relevant paragraph.
- 4 Q. Go ahead.
- 5 A. Paragraph 22: "On information and
- 6 belief" --
- 7 Should I read it out loud?
- 8 O. Go ahead.
- 9 A. "-- the \$230 million fraud scheme
- 10 began on or about 28 April 2007 when key
- 11 members of the organization flew to Larnaca,
- 12 Cyprus, to plan the crime. On that date,
- 13 Artem Kuznetsov -- who was one of the Interior
- 14 Ministry officers, and he was investigating
- 15 us -- "and a lieutenant colonel in the Russian
- 16 Interior Ministry flew with Dmitry Klyuev, a
- 17 convicted fraudster, the owner of universe --
- 18 Universal Savings Bank and, on information and
- 19 belief, the mastermind of the organization,
- 20 from Moscow to Larnaca on a private jet.
- 21 "On information and belief, they
- 22 were met in Larnaca two days later by Pavel
- 23 Karpov, then a major in Russia's Interior
- 24 Ministry, as well as two lawyers, Andrey
- 25 Pavlov and his wife, Yulia Mayorova, all of

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 whom flew together from Moscow on Aeroflot
- 3 SU 487.
- 4 "Pavlov had known Klyuev since 2001
- 5 and provided him with legal services from time
- 6 to time.
- 7 "On May 5th and May 6th, 2007,
- 8 Interior Ministry officers Kuznetsov and
- 9 Karpov and the lawyers Pavlov and Mayorova
- 10 returned to Moscow.
- "On May 8th, 2007, the convicted
- 12 fraudster Klyuev was met in Larnaca by Olga
- 13 Stepanova, the head of Moscow Tax Office
- 14 No. 28, and her then-husband Vladlen Stepanov
- 15 flew to Larnaca together on Aeroflot SU 237.
- "Subsequently, Klyuev, Stepanova,
- 17 and Stepanov returned to Moscow.
- 18 "Approximately one month later, on
- 19 or about June 4th, Kuznetsov led approximately
- 20 25 officers in a search of Hermitage's office
- 21 in Moscow. The officers removed Hermitage's
- 22 computer server, virtually all of its
- 23 computers, and dozens of boxes of confidential
- 24 documents and records.
- 25 "Later that day, Kuznetsov joined

```
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
     approximately 25 officers in the search of the
     offices of Firestone Duncan, a law firm that
 3
     advises Hermitage -- HSBC Guernsey and
 5
     Hermitage."
 6
                Let me skip to other relevant parts
 7
     of this whole thing.
 8
                Paragraph 31:
 9
                "The forged contracts contained
10
     multiple suspicious features. The contracts
11
     between Lotus+ and the Hermitage companies
12
     purported to require prior Lotus+, a company
13
     with a total capital at the time of U.S. $300
     to pay Hermitage companies approximately U.S.
14
15
     500 million to buy securities.
16
                "Additionally, the forged contracts
17
     included extensive confidential information
     about the Hermitage companies, including bank
18
     account information, information on assets and
19
20
     holdings, custodian banks, and addresses of
21
     registration and incorporation of the
22
     Hermitage companies.
23
                "Such information was confidential,
24
     but was contained in the records that had been
25
     seized from the Hermitage and Firestone Duncan
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 on or about June 4, 2007.
- 3 "Moreover, although referencing
- 4 confidential information, the contracts
- 5 contained various mistakes and inaccuracies,
- 6 including referencing bank accounts that had
- 7 not been opened and using addresses that were
- 8 incorrect at the relevant time."
- 9 I could study this more carefully
- 10 to find other links.
- 11 Q. All right. Well, let's go with
- 12 what you said.
- So, first of all, the investigation
- of you started in 2004 not in 2007, right?
- 15 A. The investigation started in 2004
- 16 and closed in 2005.
- 17 Q. According to some anonymous,
- 18 unnamed person, right?
- 19 A. Wrong. Accorded -- according to my
- 20 knowledge.
- 21 Q. And your knowledge comes from an
- anonymous, unnamed person who you won't tell
- 23 us who it is because you can't remember,
- 24 right?
- That's what you told us before,

Page 127 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 right? I don't recall who -- who told me 3 that. 4 5 So this an anonymous, unnamed person who told you it was closed in 2005 6 7 can't be checked, can't be proven. Right? 8 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 9 The investigation started in 2004. You acknowledge that. So let's go to 10 11 Mr. Kuznetsov -- Kuznetsov and Mr. Pavlov. 12 They are investigators in the Ministry of Interior, correct? 13 14 Α. Incorrect. 15 Q. What are they? Kuznetsov is. Pavlov is a 16 Α. 17 criminal. I'm sorry. Karpov. I misspoke. 18 19 Pavlov is a lawyer, right? 20 He was a member of the criminal 21 enterprise. 22 Exactly. I misspoke. Mr. Karpov. 23 They're both investigators, right? One is a field operative and the 24 Α.

other is a investigator.

25

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 128 of 386 Page 128 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Right. As you've told us, there Q. 3 are a lot of Cyprus corporations, right, that -- for people investing in Russia? 5 Correct. Α. 6 They have good reason to be in 7 Cyprus, correct? 8 Α. Um-hum. 9 So -- that was a yes? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. All right. So what witness is going to testify to the fact that 12 13 Mr. Kuznetsov went to Cyprus with Mr. Klyuev? What witness is going to testify? 14 Α. Yes. What witness? 15 Q. I'm -- I'm not sure. 16 Α. 17 All right. So how do you know 0. Mr. Kuznetsov went with Mr. Klyuev? 18 19 I've seen copy's of travel records Α. 20 where they were on the same flight. And where did you get those travel 21 Q.

- 22 records?
- 23 A. We got them from an anonymous
- 24 source in Moscow.
- Q. And who's your anonymous source?

```
Page 129
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                I don't know.
           Ο.
              You don't know?
 3
           Α.
                No.
 5
                It's not you're not going to tell
     us; you don't know?
 6
 7
           Α.
                I don't know.
 8
                This is another mysterious, unnamed
 9
     person, right? Another mysterious, unnamed
     person gives you documents?
10
11
                I -- I would describe this person
     as a whistle-blower who gave us documents.
12
13
                I see. But that's just a label.
           Ο.
     We don't know the name, we don't know the
14
15
     address, we don't know who it is, and we don't
     know where he got documents and we don't
16
17
     whether the documents are real, right?
18
           Α.
                I don't know.
19
             Any of that?
           Q.
20
           Α.
             I don't know.
21
           Q.
               But you relied upon it?
22
           Α.
                My team did.
23
                And you ultimately went to the U.S.
     Attorney's Office and said, "This happened"?
24
25
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
```

Page 130 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Right? Q. 3 Α. I provided this Complaint to the U.S. Attorney's Office. 4 5 With this information, where you 6 don't know the name of the person who gave you 7 the information, you don't know where he got 8 the information, you don't know if -- whether it's real, and you don't know whether in --9 10 these eventually happened, right? 11 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 12 I trusted my team, who did Α. Um-hum. 13 the analysis of the information and concluded that it was credible. 14 15 Q. And do you know how they concluded it was credible? 16 17 Α. No. So what we have is a story about 18 19 people going to Cyprus where Interior Ministry officers would logically go to conduct an 20 investigation, different kinds of 21 22 investigations, correct? 23 Α. No. 24 0. Why not? 25 We have an Interior Ministry Α.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 officer going to Cyprus on the private jet
- 3 of -- of a -- a convicted fraudster who he was
- 4 involved in convicting -- or who he -- who he
- 5 was investigating then and he was involved in
- 6 convicting in a previous criminal case.
- 7 Q. But that connection comes from an
- 8 anonymous source, from documents that you
- 9 don't know what they are, you don't know
- 10 whether they're real, and you don't know
- 11 whether somebody was setting you up, right?
- MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 13 A. I trusted my team to -- to -- to
- 14 verify the credibility of those documents.
- 15 Q. And you haven't given us those
- 16 documents, right?
- 17 A. I think I --
- 18 Q. Once again.
- 19 A. No, no. Those documents I think I
- 20 have. They're in public domain. Those
- 21 documents are on the Russian Untouchables
- 22 website, and I think you have them.
- Q. And that's your website?
- 24 A. You -- you have the -- you have the
- 25 documents from our website, yes.

Page 132 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Q. Okay. So we have, then, a meeting, 3 you say, of a tax official. 4 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 5 the "you say." 6 MR. CYMROT: Im sorry. Correct. 7 Q. The Complaint says that on May 8, 8 2007, Mr. Klyuev met with Ms. Olga 9 Stepanov- -- nova -- Stepanova. 10 And how do you know that? 11 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 12 How do I know that? Α. 13 Yes. How do you know that? You Ο. told the U.S. attorney this, right? 14 Α. 15 No. 16 Who told the U.S. -- U.S. attorney 17 this? 18 We provided information about the 19 travel records of these individuals to the 20 U.S. attorney. 21 From an anonymous source of unknown 22 authenticity, right? 23 From a source that my team believed to be credible. 24 25 Q. Um-hum. And you have no idea who

Page 133 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. the source was? 3 Α. No. 4 Have you introduced this source to 5 the U.S. Attorney's Office? 6 I'm not aware if they have or have 7 not. 8 0. Um-hum. So what we have that we 9 can put our hands on are the seals and the 10 other documents that we used in the fraud, 11 right? 12 MR. KIM: Objection to form. You don't know what was said in 13 Ο. 14 these meetings, right? 15 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 16 These alleged meetings? 0. 17 Α. No. 18 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 19 You have no idea what was said in 0. 20 these alleged meetings? 21 Α. No. 22 MR. KIM: Objection to form. You don't know if it had to do with 23 an investigation of Mr. Klyuev, right? 24 25 I'm -- I'm sorry. What's the Α.

Page 134 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 question? 3 0. Do you know whether these alleged meetings involved an investigation of 4 5 Mr. Klyuev? 6 Α. I wasn't at the meetings. 7 You don't know from a -- another 8 anonymous source? MR. KIM: Objection to form. 9 10 There is -- there are no anonymous 11 sources about the actual contents of the meetings. 12 13 So you don't know if it involved 14 the \$230 million fraud? Α. I don't know. 15 16 The only thing we know that definitely involved the \$230 million fraud 17 18 were the corporate documents and seals? 19 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 20 Α. No. There was also the -- I just 21 read it to you. Should I read it again? 22 Well, you said --23 A. I can read it again. It's no 24 problem. 25 Q. I think we all remember.

```
Page 135
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
           Α.
                Okay.
              You like reading it?
 3
           Q.
           Α.
                I don't -- I don't care.
 4
 5
                I mean, if it makes you feel
     better, you can read it, but I don't think
 6
 7
     your -- anybody's forgotten what you read.
 8
           Α.
                Okay.
 9
                That there was some information,
10
     but it was incorrect information, right?
11
           Α.
                No.
12
              That's what you read.
           Q.
13
           Α.
                No, I didn't.
              You said there were bank accounts
14
           Q.
15
     that weren't opened and things like that,
16
     right?
                I'd be --
17
           Α.
18
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
19
                I'd be happy to read it again if --
           Α.
20
     if you don't un- -- understand what was
     writ- -- written there.
21
22
                I understand it, believe me.
23
                By the way, how do you know they
     even met in -- in Larnaca?
24
25
                We can go back to that.
```

Page 136 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 How do you -- how do you know 3 Mr. Kuznetsov and Mr. Klyuev or Mr. Pavlov and 4 Mr. -- and Yulia Mayorova met in Larnaca? 5 What we do know is that Klyuev and 6 Kuznetsov traveled on the same flight. 7 Q. That's all you know? 8 A. To -- to Larnaca. 9 Right. All you know is these people flew on the same flight? 10 11 On the same private jet that 12 belonged to --13 No, not as to Mr. Pavlov and the They flew on an Aeroflot flight. 14 others. 15 Α. Right. 16 They happened to be on the same 17 plane. 18 Α. Could be. 19 MR. KIM: Mark, if there's no 20 question pending, I'd like to just take two minutes to advise Mr. Browder 21 22 about something. 23 MR. CYMROT: Yes. 24 MR. KIM: There's no question 25 pending.

```
Page 137
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2.
                MR. CYMROT: Yes.
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 3
 4
           12:11 p.m. We are off the record.
 5
                (Whereupon, at this time, a short
6
           break was taken.)
 7
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 8
           12:13 p.m. We are back on the record.
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 9
                All right. Mr. Browder, according
10
     to paragraph 22, Mr. Kuznetsov -- Kuznetsov
11
     and Mr. Klyuev flew on a private jet. That's
12
13
     what it says, right?
14
           Α.
                Correct.
15
           Q.
                Did you ever say they travelled on
     an Aeroflot flight?
16
17
                THE REPORTER: Excuse me?
                Did you ever say they flew on an
18
19
    Aeroflot flight?
20
           Α.
              I don't remember.
21
           Q. It's possible you said that?
22
           A. I don't know.
23
           Q. So going back to your
     investigation, are you saying there was no
24
     investigation of you in 2006?
25
```

Page 138 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 Well, we received a document from Α. 3 the Russian authorities saying that there are no criminal investigations in 2006. 5 Now, let me show you testimony of Mr. Magnitsky in -- in 2006. 6 7 MR. CYMROT: What number are we up 8 to? What number are we on? 9 (Browder Exhibit 11, document Bates stamped BrowderDepo0000685 10 11 through '690 was marked for 12 identification, as of this date.) BY MR. CYMROT: 13 So this is a record of evidence, 14 October 18, 2006. It's an examination of 15 16 Mr. Magnitsky. 17 Is that what it appears to be? 18 I don't know. Α. 19 Well, take your time to look at it. Q. 20 Α. Okay. 21 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 22 discussion was held.) BY MR. CYMROT: 23 24 Okay. So let me help you. You see the top, October 18, 2006? 25

```
Page 139
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Um-hum.
 3
           0.
                You see it's -- there's a witness,
 4
     Mr. Magnitsky?
 5
           Α.
                Yes.
 6
                Do you see on the next page he's
 7
     being asked about Saturn Investments?
 8
           Α.
                Yes.
                And about you and Mr. Shaashoua?
 9
           0.
10
                Do you see that?
11
           Α.
                Um-hum.
12
                Same subject matter of -- that we
13
     went over from the Kalmykian civil court,
14
     right?
                I'm not sure if it's the same or
15
16
     not.
17
                Well, it's about Saturn, and he's
           0.
     asking about Mr. S- -- Shaashoua, and he's
18
19
     asking about power of attorney dated
20
     February 10, 2005, and about him being the
     general director of Saturn Investments at that
21
22
     point.
23
                Do you see that?
24
                Um-hum.
           Α.
25
           Q. Yes?
```

```
Page 140
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Yes.
 3
           Q.
                Okay. So there was an
     investigation in 2006 going on of the same
 4
 5
     events that we went over with the civil case,
 6
     right?
 7
                I'm not sure if they're the same
 8
     events.
 9
                All right. There was an
10
     investigation of how Hermitage Fund operated
11
     Saturn, and the investigation was going fourth
     in 2006, correct?
12
13
           Α.
                I'm not sure that's what this says.
14
                What does it say in your view?
           Q.
15
           Α.
                I'm not a lawyer.
16
                Well, you can read it, though.
           Q.
17
                I'm not a Russian lawyer, and I
18
     don't know the significance of this document.
19
           Q. It's only three pages. It's in
20
     English.
21
                "Investigator For Particularly
22
     Important Cases of Tax Crimes Investigation
23
     Department."
24
                That's what it says, right?
25
                Um-hum.
           Α.
```

```
Page 141
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                It's questioning Mr. Magnitsky?
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                Correct.
           Ο.
                Did you know about that at the
 5
     time?
 6
           Α.
                No.
               You had no idea about this in this
 7
           Q.
 8
     2006?
 9
           Α.
                No.
10
                So maybe you were just wrong that
11
     the investigation didn't exist in 2006?
12
                Or maybe the other information that
           Α.
13
     I got is correct.
                But somehow they were still
14
     examining Mr. Magnitsky in 2006?
15
                You think the two are consistent?
16
17
           Α.
                I don't understand the question.
                They were -- they were questioning
18
19
     about a closed investigation?
                They weren't questioning me.
20
           Α.
21
                As far as you know, Mr. Magnitsky
22
     was being questioned on an investigation of
     Saturn in 2006, right?
23
           A. I don't know that.
24
25
                Well, that's what this document
           Q.
```

Page 142 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 This is -shows you. I don't know -- I don't -- I don't 3 know anything about --4 5 -- what it purports to be. 6 I don't know anything about the 7 providence of this document or the 8 significance of this document, and I have not 9 taken any advice on what this means; so it 10 would be inappropriate --11 So you're not going to venture an 12 opinion on it? I would -- it would be 13 14 inappropriate as a layman to venture an 15 opinion on what this says. 16 And you're sticking to your view 0. that the investigation closed in 2005 even 17 18 though we have this document? 19 Α. Yes. Okay. By the way, if you look in 20 21 the middle of the page, the investigator's 22 question: 23 "Where do you currently work? 24 post? 25 "ANSWER: At the moment I'm working

Page 143 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. on a labor contract at CJSC Firestone Duncan as an auditor." 3 4 That's his answer, right? Um-hum. 5 Α. 6 0. Yes? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. You still say he's a lawyer? 9 Α. Yes. Have you ever seen Mr. Magnitsky's 10 Q. 11 signature? 12 I probably have, but I... Α. 13 Well, let me show you the last page, two -- three signatures and then one 14 different one at the bottom. 15 That look like Mr. Magnitsky's 16 signatures. signature? 17 18 I don't know. 19 How many times have you seen 20 Mr. Magnitsky's signature? 21 Α. Zero. 22 It says here, paragraph 25, second "In denying requests from Hermitage 23 to return the corporate documents and seals, 24 25 the Russian Interior Ministry subsequently

Page 144 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. confirmed that these documents and seals, which were seized in the search lead by 3 Kuznetsov, remained in the custody of his 4 5 colleague Karpov." 6 Do you see that? 7 Α. T do. 8 Isn't it true, Mr. Browder, that 9 Mr. Karpov offered to return the documents and seals and Mr. Kleiner and Mr. Chinesco 10 11 [phonetic] -- Kuznetsov told him to keep them 12 until the end of the investigation? A. I don't know. 13 You've never heard that? 14 Q. Vaguely, but I don't know the 15 Α. details. 16 Vaguely? What have you heard 17 0. vaguely? 18 19 I don't -- I mean, I've -- I --20 I vaguely recall some conversation of that 21 nature, but I don't know the details. 22 With whom? Mr. Kleiner? 23 I don't even know with whom, at

25 Q. So that somebody told you that

what point, when.

24

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Mr. Karpov offered to return the seals and
- 3 that your colleagues turned them down?
- 4 A. Perhaps, but...
- 5 Q. And this is a man who you're saying
- 6 used those seals to commit a massive fraud?
- 7 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 8 A. Yes, I'm saying that.
- 9 O. And --
- 10 A. But I -- no, I'm not -- I'm not
- 11 saying that. I'm saying that he -- he used
- 12 the seals, the stamps, the certificates of
- incorporation, and the other document -- that
- 14 whole paragraph that I read to you, along with
- 15 a group of coconspirators to commitment the
- 16 fraud.
- 17 Q. Did you tell the U.S. Attorney's
- 18 Office that Mr. Karpov had offered to return
- 19 the seals and other documents?
- 20 A. Well, since I'm not acutely aware
- 21 of it, I didn't.
- 22 Q. Somebody else told you -- you said
- 23 that somebody told you that Mr. Karpov offered
- 24 to return the seals and your colleagues turned
- 25 them down.

```
Page 146
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                MR. KIM: Objection.
           Characterization.
 3
                Did you tell the U.S. Attorney's
 5
     Office that Mr. Kaffov -- Karpov had offered
 6
     to return the seals?
 7
           Α.
               No.
 8
           Q. All right.
 9
                (Whereupon, an off-the-record
10
           discussion was held.)
                MR. CYMROT: All right. So --
11
12
           yes. Okay. I'm marking as Exhibit 12
13
           the record of evidence, June 5, 2008,
           of Mr. Magnitsky. And it says Bates
14
           number -- it starts at 721.
15
16
                (Browder Exhibit 12, document
17
           Bates stamped BrowderDepo0000721
18
           through '742 was marked for
           identification, as of this date.)
19
20
      BY MR. CYMROT:
21
                All right. I'm going to direct
22
     your attention to page '727.
23
                First of all, Mr. Browder, have you
     ever seen this document before?
24
25
           Α.
                No.
```

- WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. I'm going to direct your attention
- 3 to page '727. It's the Bates number on the
- 4 right -- lower right-hand side.
- 5 So I'm going to direct your
- 6 attention specifically to what I think is the
- 7 fourth paragraph. Starts "in November and
- 8 December 2007" and says the following:
- 9 "In November and December 2007, a
- 10 representative of Firestone Duncan Limited,
- 11 V.Y. Yelin, visited the investigator,
- 12 P.A. Karpov, a few times to retrieve from
- 13 Karpov documents and seals impounded during
- 14 the search on the 4th of June 2007."
- 15 "From what V.Y. Yelin said, I'm
- 16 aware that P.A. Karpov was also ready to give
- 17 out documents and seals of Parfenion Limited
- 18 Liability Company, Rilend Limited Liability
- 19 Company, and Makhaon Limited Liability
- 20 Company; however, V.G. Kleiner and
- 21 I.S. Cherkasov requested the seals from Karpov
- 22 be not retrieved unless criminal proceedings
- 23 are instituted to investigate into illegal
- 24 re-registration of the companies and lawsuits
- 25 based on forged evidence and powers of

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 attorney, and the documents be received solely
- 3 in accordance with the detailed inventory
- 4 spef- -- specifying each document transfer.
- 5 "As a result, those seals and
- 6 documents would stay with P.A. Karpov."
- 7 Do you see that? It goes on.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is this the first time -- it's not
- 10 the first time you've heard this, right?
- 11 You've told us somebody told you
- 12 that.
- 13 A. It's the first time I've read this
- 14 document.
- 15 Q. It's not the first time you've
- 16 heard that Karpov offered to return the seals
- and your colleagues turned him down, right?
- 18 A. No. Correct.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you never told the U.S.
- 20 Attorney's Office. We've established that,
- 21 right?
- 22 A. I never told the U.S. Attorney's
- 23 Office, correct.
- Q. Let me go back to a couple points
- 25 we handled before.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 You said you worked with reporters.
- 3 Did you give out information to reporters?
- 4 A. Could you be more specific?
- 5 Q. Well, for instance, the information
- 6 you got that the Swiss prosecutor said you
- 7 could give to the United States. Did you give
- 8 it to reporters?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. You knew you shouldn't give it to
- 11 reporters?
- 12 A. I don't know whether I should or
- 13 shouldn't have, but I didn't.
- Q. Did you post any of it on your
- 15 website?
- 16 A. No. We posted our Complaint on the
- 17 website.
- 18 Q. Did you -- is any of the
- 19 information in Exhibit 1, which was the letter
- 20 to the Manhattan D.A. -- was that from the
- 21 Swiss prosecutor?
- A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. So the Cyprus companies that own
- 24 Satin -- Saturn and Dalnaya Step were Kone and
- 25 Glendora. You're not aware of that?

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. I don't know the names of the
- 3 companies.
- 4 Q. All right. So who controlled those
- 5 companies, The Cyprus Companies?
- 6 A. The manager of the fund, HSBC
- 7 Management Guernsey.
- 8 Q. And who gave the manager of the
- 9 fund instructions?
- 10 A. They took decisions on their own.
- 11 Q. Investment decisions?
- 12 A. They took investment decisions and
- 13 structural decisions.
- 14 Q. So it wasn't Hermitage Fund that
- 15 set up Saturn and Dalnaya Step to take
- 16 advantage of the tax regime in Kalmykia, it
- 17 was HSBC on their own?
- 18 A. No, HSBC was the manager of the
- 19 Hermitage Fund. The Hermitage Fund didn't
- 20 take a decision. It's a -- it's a
- 21 corporate --
- 22 Q. I understand. And you're the
- 23 advisor?
- 24 A. Right. So the advice probab- --
- 25 most likely came from -- I don't know exactly,

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 but it probably came from professional
- 3 accountants and lawyers.
- 4 Q. That you directed to HSBC Guernsey?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. So your role as advisor didn't
- 7 include the tax structure in Kalmykia?
- 8 A. My role as advisor -- as advisor
- 9 was focused on picking stocks, doing company
- 10 analysis and doing corporate governance
- 11 activism. I wasn't a specialist in taxes and
- 12 other such things.
- Q. All right. So that HSBC Guernsey
- 14 didn't decide what stocks that these companies
- 15 would be investing in, right?
- 16 A. So I provided the investment advice
- 17 to the manager.
- 18 Q. Right. And they followed your
- 19 advice?
- 20 A. Sometimes, mostly.
- 21 Q. So HSBC Guernsey is a trustee,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. No, HSBC Trust Guer- -- HSBC Trust
- 24 Company is the trustee. HSBC Management
- 25 Guernsey is the investment manager.

Page 152 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. So HSBC Trust Guernsey -- is that Q. 3 what you said it was? Α. Is the trustee. 4 5 "Is the trustee." 6 And they -- and the trustee 7 actually has the ownership of the two Cyprus 8 companies, which are Glendora and Kone? 9 The unit trust of which they're the 10 trustee has the --11 They're the trust entity? 12 A. No, they're the trustee of a unit 13 trust. 14 Yes. Q. 15 Α. The unit trust owns various assets directly and through other vehicles. 16 17 Okay. So the unit trust owned 18 Glendora and Kone, Cyprus companies? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Q. And they owned Saturn and Dalnaya 21 Step? 22 Yes. I believe so, I'm not sure if those were the two owners, I don't have that 23 in front of me. 24 25 Q. And HSBC Guernsey Trust set up a

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 153 of 386 Page 153 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. trust that also owned Parfenion, Rilend 3 Makhaon? 4 A. No, HSBC didn't set up a trust. 5 HSBC --6 Was the trustee of a trust? 7 -- was the trustee that owned --8 owned Cyprus Companies. And I can't remember 9 who the holding companies were who -- who set 10 up those companies. 11 0. Okay. So the trust owns Cyprus 12 Companies, which then owned the Russian companies? 13 14 Α. Correct. 15 Q. All right. And Parfenion, and 16 Rilend and Makhaon were the Russian companies? 17 Α. Correct. 18 And at the time of the \$230 million 19 fraud, they had no stock in them? 20 Α. Who had no?

- 21 Q. Parfenion, Rilend and Makhaon?
- A. Correct.
- 23 Q. All right. And so the Cyprus
- 24 Companies, when these companies were
- 25 reregistered, lost their money because there

Page 154 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 no assets in the three Russian companies, 3 correct? Α. 4 No. 5 They lost no value, the net worth of Parfenion, Rilend and Makhaon was zero, 6 7 they had no assets, correct? 8 Α. No. They had assets? 9 0. 10 Correct. Α. 11 0. What assets did they have? 12 They had bank accounts. Α. 13 Which had no money in them? Q. Is that a question? 14 Α. 15 Q. Yes. 16 No, they did have money in them. Α. 17 How much money did they have? 0. Α. I can't be sure, but I think 10-, 18 19 \$15,000. 20 Ο. Do you have bank records that show 21 that? 22 I'm not sure. 23 Have you given any bank records of Parfenion, Rilend and Makhaon to the U.S. 24 25 attorney?

```
Page 155
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
                I'm not -- I don't know.
 2.
           Α.
 3
           Q.
                So when the companies were
 4
     reregistered, was there money removed from the
     bank accounts?
 5
 6
           Α.
                I'm not sure.
 7
                They wanted the companies; they
 8
     weren't interested in 10- or $15,000, right?
 9
     They opened new bank accounts, correct?
10
           Α.
                Yes.
11
                With the reregistered companies?
12
           A. Correct.
13
           Q. So the 10- or $15,000 was still
     there in the bank accounts, as far as you
14
     know?
15
16
                I don't know where that -- what
17
     the -- what the status of that 10- or $15,000
18
     was.
19
               As far as you know, that money was
20
     still there and was not taken when the money
21
     was -- when the banks -- when the companies
22
     were reregistered, correct?
23
           Α.
                No.
24
           Q. What? Am I wrong or am I right?
25
           A. You're wrong.
```

```
Page 156
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                You just told me you don't know?
           Q.
                I don't know.
 3
           Α.
           Q.
                Okay. So the answer is you don't
 5
     know?
6
           Α.
                I don't know.
 7
                All right. So the money might very
8
     well have been -- still been there, correct?
                "Very well" suggests that it's
 9
10
     still there. I don't -- I don't know.
11
           Q. You don't know either way?
12
           A. I don't know either way.
13
                As far as you know, that money
           0.
     wasn't stolen? You don't know?
14
           Α.
                I don't know.
15
                Okay. So we've established that;
16
17
     as far as you know, the money wasn't stolen.
18
                In which case The Cyprus Companies
19
    didn't lose anything of value?
20
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
           A. I don't know.
21
22
           Ο.
                Okay. We'll leave it at that.
23
                Just to complete the chain, as far
     as you know, the trust didn't lose anything,
24
25
     right?
```

Page 157 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. No, I don't know that. Α. 3 Q. Right. And the money belonged to the trust; it didn't belong to HSBC Guernsey, 5 correct? 6 A. It belonged to HSBC Trust Company. 7 Q. A trust that the trust company oversee as trustee owns -- owned those assets, 8 9 the companies and whatever bank accounts they 10 have? 11 A. The trustee owned them as the 12 trustee. 13 Q. The trustee was the trustee of a 14 trust, I think you told me that at the beginning? 15 16 Right, but the trustee was the formal owner of the -- of the assets. 17 18 Q. All right. 19 MR. CYMROT: Why don't we take 20 lunch. Is this a good time? 21 MR. KIM: Sure, if you want to. 22 THE WITNESS: Can we go until 1:00 23 just to --24 MR. KIM: If you want. It's 25 12:40, if you want to go ten,

```
Page 158
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           15 minutes s and then we can break.
                MR. CYMROT: Sure, I'll do it.
 3
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 4
 5
                So going back to Exhibit 1, if you
 6
     look at Tab 7, there's a chart, correct?
 7
           Α.
               Correct.
 8
           Q. You've seen this chart before,
     right?
 9
10
           Α.
               Yes.
11
           O. Who created this chart?
12
           A. Vadim Kleiner.
13
           Q. And do you know what from
     documents -- from what documents he created
14
     this chart?
15
16
           Α.
                No.
17
                And you don't know where he got the
     documents that he used to create the chart,
18
19
     right?
20
           Α.
               Right.
21
           Q.
               Right?
22
           Α.
               Right.
23
           Q. And you don't know whether in
     Russia -- there are a number of Russian banks
24
25
     here, correct?
```

```
Page 159
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
           Α.
                Correct.
 3
           Q.
                Intercommerz, USB, right?
           Α.
                Correct.
 4
 5
                Sberbank? You don't know whether
     it's legal for Mr. Kleiner to have information
 6
 7
     about these accounts from those banks, right?
 8
           Α.
                I don't know.
 9
                And then you don't know how he did
     the tracing?
10
               No, I don't know.
11
           Α.
12
              But you've relied upon it?
           Q.
13
           Α.
                I have.
              And you've given it to the U.S.
14
           Q.
     Attorney's Office, right?
15
16
           Α.
                I have.
17
                And so, for instance --
           0.
                Actually, no, that's incorrect. I
18
19
     gave it to the New York -- the New York
20
     District Attorney's office.
21
                All right. And they gave it to --
     as far as you know, they gave it to the U.S.
22
     Attorney's Office?
23
           A. That's correct.
24
25
           Q. Let me go back.
```

```
Page 160
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                How many times did you meet
 3
     Todd Hyman?
           Α.
                Several. I can't remember.
 4
 5
           Ο.
                More than five?
 6
           Α.
                I don't recall.
 7
           Q. Is it more than ten?
 8
           Α.
               No.
                Did you talk to him on the
 9
           0.
     telephone?
10
11
           Α.
                Yes.
12
                He had your telephone number?
                I'm not sure how -- how the
13
           Α.
     telephone connection was made.
14
                Did you call him or he call you?
15
           Q.
               I don't remember.
16
           Α.
17
                He had your e-mail address?
           0.
18
           Α.
                I don't know.
                Do you have his e-mail address?
19
           Q.
20
           Α.
              I don't know.
                Do you have the e-mail address of
21
           Q.
22
     anybody in the U.S. Attorney's Office?
                I know for sure I have
23
           Α.
     Paul Monteleoni's and Sharon Levin's.
24
25
           Q. And you've exchanged e-mails with
```

```
Page 161
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
     them?
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
                On -- approximately how many?
 4
           Q.
 5
           Α.
                I don't recall.
 6
           Q. Hundred?
 7
           Α.
             I doubt it.
 8
           Q. Fifty?
 9
           Α.
                No.
                But you haven't given those -- you
10
           Q.
     have those, right, you have access to those
11
     e-mails?
12
13
           Α.
               Yes.
              You haven't given them to us, so I
14
     can't question you about them today.
15
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
16
17
                Isn't there a --
           Α.
                Just yes or no for now. If they're
18
19
     going to claim the privilege, they can --
20
                I need to get some advice on -- on
     whether there's a privilege issue here.
21
22
                MR. MONTELEONI: Let's take a few
23
           moments to discuss.
24
                MR. CYMROT: All I'm asking is yes
25
           or no whether he has the e-mails. I
```

```
Page 162
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
           mean, the fact that he hasn't produced
 3
           them we can get into a fight later
 4
           about whether you're going to claim
 5
           privilege.
 6
                And we haven't gotten to privilege
 7
           logs, we haven't gotten any of that.
 8
                MR. MONTELEONI: Fair enough, but
 9
           the witness says that he has a concern
10
           that he wants to discuss whether or
11
           not there's something privileged, and
12
           we request an opportunity to discuss
13
           whether or not there's a privilege.
                MR. KIM: So if you want to take a
14
15
           break now, I guess --
16
                MR. CYMROT: No, that's -- I mean,
17
           why don't you guys do it over lunch.
18
           I'll -- I'll hold the question so that
19
           we can keep going.
20
                MR. KIM: Okay.
21
      BY MR. CYMROT:
22
                All right. So I'm looking at this
     chart, which is Exhibit 1, Tab 7, and I see
23
     that between the ZhK account at Sberbank and
24
25
     the Univers account at Mosstroieconomybank,
```

```
1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
```

- 2 the amount of money that you're tracing
- 3 increases from, in dollars, 33 million to
- 4 53 million; do you see that?
- 5 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 6 Q. So you've got No. 11, 12 million;
- 7 No. 12 -- I'm sorry, No. 11 is in roubles,
- 8 525, but in dollars the conversion's here,
- 9 it's 21 million. Number 12 is 12 million.
- 10 And then you get down to the next
- 11 level, Krainiy Sever Bank, and you've got
- 12 26 million, 27 million coming out of Krainiy
- 13 Sever Bank; that's 53 million, right?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. Can you explain to us how you would
- 16 trace and know that it was the Russian
- 17 Treasury money that was going through Krainiy
- 18 Sever to Bunicon and Elenast and not some
- 19 unassociated, as far as we know clean money,
- 20 \$20 million of clean money; can you explain
- 21 that?
- MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 23 Q. So you have no information about
- 24 that?
- 25 A. I didn't do the tracing.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 164 of 386 Page 164 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. So I have to go to Mr. Kleiner for Q. 3 that? 4 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 5 Right. I have to ask the question 6 of Mr. Kleiner? 7 Α. I don't know who -- I don't know 8 who can explain this to you, but I can't. 9 Well, Mr. Kleiner did it, right? 10 He did the analysis, correct. 11 Ο. So if I want to understand this 12 chart, shouldn't I be asking Mr. Kleiner? 13 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 14 I don't know. You have to decide Α. 15 in your own team how you want to give your own 16 explanations. I'm asking for the name of the 17 person who can explain it me; how you went to 18 19 the U.S. Attorney's Office with a case where there's \$20 million of clean money going into 20 accounts and you say it's dirty money that 21 22 went to our clients.

Is there a question?

use of "you."

Α.

23

24

25

MR. KIM: Objection to form; the

```
Page 165
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Yes.
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                What's the question?
 4
           Q.
                How do you explain the $20 million
 5
     in apparently clean money going to Bunicon and
 6
     Elenast and the $800,000 coming out and you
 7
     say it had to be dirty money that went to
 8
     Prevezon?
 9
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
10
                Do you have an explanation?
           Q.
                I didn't do the tracing.
11
           Α.
12
                But you had the meeting, you've
13
     been the one going around writing books,
     touting it, trying to get our client on the
14
15
     Magnitsky list, haven't you?
16
           Α.
                No.
17
           Q. You've never tried to put
18
     Mr. Katsyv on the Magnitsky list?
19
           Α.
                No.
20
                Never talked to anybody about that?
21
           Α.
               Not that I'm aware of.
22
           0.
                Did you talk to the U.S. attorney
     about that?
23
24
           Α.
                No.
25
                But you did file a lawsuit in
           Q.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 New York against Prevezon owned by Mr. Katsyv,
- 3 right?
- 4 A. I filed a -- I provided to the
- 5 New York District Attorney's office a
- 6 Complaint about suspected money laundering in
- 7 New York in which there was -- I asked U.S.
- 8 Government to investigate.
- 9 Q. So you had no idea what the logic
- 10 was for saying that where there's \$20 million
- of identified clean money going through the
- 12 accounts and only \$800,000 coming out, that we
- 13 had to say it was dirty money and Mr. Katsyv
- 14 got dirty money; you have -- you can't give me
- 15 any explanation for that at all?
- MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 17 A. I didn't do the tracing.
- 18 Q. So you have no explanation? Yes or
- 19 no, you have an explanation or you don't?
- 20 A. I didn't do the tracing.
- 21 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- Q. Do you have an explanation or not?
- A. Do I have an explanation?
- 24 MR. KIM: Objection to form. I'm
- not sure what we're talking about.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. We're talking about on this chart
- 3 showing \$33 million of supposedly Russian
- 4 Treasury money going to Krainiy Sever, the
- 5 corresponding account, and coming out
- 6 \$20 million of -- at least \$20 million of
- 7 clean money, at least, and only \$800,000 going
- 8 to Prevezon. If there's \$20 million of clean
- 9 money going into those accounts, normally
- 10 \$800,000 coming out, you have no explanation
- 11 for why that had to be Russian Treasury money
- 12 that went to Prevezon?
- 13 A. This is not my area of expertise.
- 14 Q. I'm asking whether you have any
- 15 explanation from anybody?
- 16 A. I -- I -- I don't have an
- 17 explanation.
- 18 Q. Then who would have the explanation
- 19 in your organization?
- 20 A. I think our entire legal team would
- 21 have an explanation.
- Q. Mr. Kleiner is the one who did
- 23 this?
- A. He was the one who produced the
- 25 piece of paper.

```
Page 168
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Oh, he didn't do the work?
           Q.
                I think he did it as a member of a
 3
           Α.
 4
     team.
 5
                I see. So you have the same
 6
     disaffection for testifying that you have?
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
 7
 8
           Q.
                Is that why you're not naming him?
 9
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
10
           Α.
                No.
11
                He's willing to come to New York
     and testify?
12
13
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
                I have no idea what he's willing to
14
           Α.
     do or not do.
15
           Q. He works for you, right?
16
17
           Α.
                No.
                He works for Hermitage Fund of
18
19
     which you are the chief executive officer,
20
     right?
21
           Α.
               No.
22
           0.
                Who does he work for?
                He works for Hermitage Capital LLP.
23
24
                Of course. Okay, I keep getting
     the entities wrong.
25
```

Page 169 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. And you are the CEO of that 3 company? 4 Let me correct myself. He is a 5 partner at Hermitage Capital LLP. 6 0. And you're the CEO, right? 7 Α. No. 8 O. Who's the CEO? 9 There's a partnership, there's no 10 CEO of the partnership. 11 I see. Are you willing to advise Mr. Kleiner he should come to New York and 12 13 testify about this? 14 Objection to form. MR. KIM: 15 It's not my place to advise him on what to do or not do. The lawyers will advise 16 him on what to do or not do. 17 18 But you wouldn't tell him to come, 19 would you? 20 MR. KIM: Objection to form. I wouldn't tell him to come or not 21 22 It's not my place to tell him. lawyers will advise him on what the -- what 23

24

the right --

Page 170 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 say, Mr. Kleiner, I put my reputation on the fact that \$20 million came, went into Bunicon 3 and Elenast and \$800,000 came out and we're 4 5 saying it's dirty money, Hermitage has its 6 reputation on this, I recommend you go to 7 New York and explain this to these people; you 8 wouldn't say that? 9 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 10 I would go to our lawyers and ask 11 them to advise him on what the right legal position is to take in this case. 12 13 So the answer is no, I wouldn't 14 give him that advice myself? 15 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 16 I would go to our lawyers. Α. 17 MR. CYMROT: I think we better 18 stop. 19 MR. KIM: Okay. 20 MR. CYMROT: All right. 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 22 12:50 p.m. We're off the record. 23 (Whereupon, at this time, a lunch 24 break was taken.) 25

```
Page 171
 1
        WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
    AFTERNOON SESSION
 3
           (Time noted: 1:50 p.m.)
 5
 6
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 7
     1:50 p.m. We are back on the record.
 8
          MR. KIM: Mr. Cymrot, before you
 9
     begin your questioning again -- this
10
     is Michael Kim -- over the break, as
11
     we agreed with the Defendants, we
12
     obtained from the Defendants' counsel
13
     a copy of the sealed document that
14
    Mr. Cymrot referred to earlier in the
     examination, we've shown it to the
15
16
     witness.
17
          And my understanding is, it does
     not refresh the witness's recollection
18
19
     on any of the answers he gave.
20
          But since I'm not the witness,
21
     I'll just ask Mr. Browder to confirm
22
     that for the record?
23
          THE WITNESS: I confirm that for
24
     the record.
25
         MR. KIM: Thank you. Go ahead,
```

```
Page 172
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
          Mr. Cymrot.
 3
     WILLIAM F. BROWDER, resumed
     and testified as follows:
 5
     EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.)
6
     MR. CYMROT:
 7
           Q.
               Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Browder.
 8
                You're still under oath, do you
 9
     know that?
10
           Α.
             I do.
11
           Q. All right. So I'm going to show
     you what we're going to mark as Browder
12
    Exhibit 13.
13
                (Browder Exhibit 13, Document
14
15
          Entitled "Plaintiff's Responses to
          Defendants' First Set of
16
17
           Interrogatories" was marked for
           identification, as of this date.)
18
19
     BY MR. CYMROT:
20
                This is "Plaintiff's Responses to
    Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories."
21
22
    Basically what this is, is a list of questions
23
     we gave the Government and they responded.
24
                And I want to direct your attention
     to page 4, which is the response to
25
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Interrogatory 1.
- And to summarize it, it says that
- 4 tell us what persons have knowledge or
- 5 information on certain subjects. And you see
- 6 your name there, right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. So it says you have
- 9 knowledge of the \$230 million fraud,
- 10 Defendants' knowledge of the \$230 million
- 11 fraud, which would be the Prevezon Defendants
- 12 referring to that, membership and operation of
- 13 the organization, Defendants' contacts with
- 14 the organization and Defendants' knowledge of
- 15 the organization and the Defendants' intent to
- 16 knowledge for the purpose of the money
- 17 laundering statutes, the transfers described
- in the Verified Complaint, additional
- 19 transfers that support the allegations in the
- 20 Verified Complaint, the tracing methodology,
- 21 tracing funds from the Russian Treasury to the
- 22 Defendants, nature of the businesses operated
- 23 by the Defendants, and other information
- 24 including information relevant to the gravity
- 25 and magnitude of the offense. Do you see

```
Page 174
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
     that?
                I do.
 3
           Α.
 4
                All right. So did you talk to the
 5
     Government before -- about this answer before
 6
     it was given? And it was given in March --
     24th of March, 2014. Did you talk to the
 7
 8
     Government about this answer before it was
     given?
 9
10
                No.
           Α.
                Did they show it to you?
11
12
           Α.
                No.
13
                Did they tell you that -- did they
           Q.
     tell you in February of 2014 the judge had set
14
     a trial date of March 30th or 31st of 2014;
15
     did they tell you that?
16
17
           Α.
              Yes.
18
                Who told you that?
19
                I don't recall who, but somebody in
20
     the Government.
21
           Q.
              Well, somebody in the U.S.
22
     Attorney's Office?
23
           Α.
              Yes.
           Q. Was it Mr. Monteleoni?
24
25
           A. Could have been, but it might have
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 also been one of the other people in his
- 3 office.
- 4 Q. Okay. And was this a telephone
- 5 conversation or an e-mail?
- 6 A. Telephone conversation.
- 7 Q. All right. What else was said in
- 8 that conversation about the trial date?
- 9 A. Just that there was a trial date
- 10 coming up.
- 11 Q. Did they ask whether you would
- 12 appear as a witness?
- 13 A. I believe so.
- Q. And what did you tell them?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And did they tell you that they
- were informing the judge that you were their
- 18 chief witness?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So did you talk about what you
- 21 would testify to?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Just you would show up and you
- 24 would testify?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 176 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. And that was -- how long was the conversation? 3 Α. Ten minutes. 5 Approximately when was it? 6 Sometime around the time period you 7 specified. 8 0. February of 2014? 9 Yes, I guess. I mean, I don't remember the dates, so... 10 Did they express concern about the 11 12 trial date? 13 MR. MONTELEONI: To the extent that this question is just calling for 14 the witness's impressions of the 15 16 opinions and mental impressions of 17 counsel for the Government I'm going 18 to request that the witness not answer 19 on the grounds of work product 20 protection. 21 Q. Are you going to answer? 22 I'm going to respect the 23 Government's request. 24 MR. CYMROT: Are you instructing 25 him not to answer?

```
Page 177
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                MR. KIM: Since a party has
 3
           claimed privilege we have no position
           on the matter, but I'll instruct the
 5
           witness not to answer until the
 6
           privilege is resolved.
 7
                MR. CYMROT: Okay.
 8
      BY MR. CYMROT:
                So what information did you tell
 9
     the Government that you had about the
10
11
     Defendants' knowledge of the $230 million
     fraud scheme?
12
13
           Α.
                None.
                What information did you tell the
14
     Government about Defendants' contacts with the
15
     organization?
16
17
                I don't remember.
                Do you have any information about
18
19
     Defendants' contacts with this so-called
20
     organization?
21
                Not -- no specific information.
22
                Okay. So to be clear, the
23
     organization is the organization that is
24
     described in the Complaint, the criminal
25
     organization that committed the $230 million
```

```
Page 178
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
     fraud, right?
 3
           Α.
               Right.
           O. You understood that?
 5
           Α.
              Yes.
 6
           Q. Okay. So you have no specific
 7
     information; do you have any general
     information about Defendants' contacts with
 8
 9
     the organization?
10
                There was some theories that -- I
11
     can't remember the details, but there were
     some theories about their contact with the
12
13
     organization.
               Who had these theories?
14
           Q.
15
           Α.
               People on my legal team.
16
              And who are you referring to now?
           0.
17
           Α.
               Lawyers.
18
           Q. Yeah, what lawyers?
19
                THE WITNESS: Can I ask a
20
           privilege -- is this a privilege?
                MR. KIM: You can say the names of
21
22
           the lawyers.
23
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
24
                MR. KIM: And I think you've
25
           previously testified.
```

```
Page 179
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
                THE WITNESS: Right.
 3
           Α.
                So -- so the -- Edward Hardinov,
     Vladimir Pastukhov.
 4
 5
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 6
                So they have theories; do they have
 7
     any facts to support their theories?
 8
                MR. MONTELEONI: I'm going to
 9
           request that the witness not answer to
10
           the extent that any answer might
           reveal communications pertaining to
11
12
           ongoing criminal investigations.
13
                MR. KIM: And on a separate note,
14
           I'm going to instruct the witness not
15
           to answer as to the contents of any of
16
           his discussions with lawyers, which
17
           are attorney-client privileged, which
           I believe your last question would
18
19
           invade that territory.
20
                So I would instruct the witness
21
           not to reveal the contents of the
22
           communications, including as to
23
           what -- what, if any, facts they have.
24
                MR. CYMROT: Well, Mr. - -- well,
25
           that raises a whole series of
```

```
Page 180
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
           questions.
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 3
 4
           Q.
                What information do you have about
 5
     ongoing criminal investigations relating to
 6
     the $230 million fraud?
 7
                MR. MONTELEONI: I'm going to
 8
           request that the witness not answer
 9
           any questions about the witness's
           knowledge of ongoing criminal
10
11
           investigations related to the
12
           $230 million fraud scheme except to
           the extent that that information is
13
14
           already public. So as to that, he can
15
           answer.
16
                MR. KIM: So we have no position
17
           on the matter, but since a party is
18
           claiming a privilege, I will give the
19
           same instruction to the witness.
20
                MR. CYMROT: Okay, just so our
21
           position's clear, that if these
22
           privilege claims don't stand up in
23
           court, then Mr. Browder will have to
24
           come back and testify to these things.
25
                MR. KIM: Well, there's no sense
```

```
Page 181
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           debating that now. I think there's
           another form to debate that, so
 3
           continue your questioning.
 5
                MR. CYMROT: I'm just reserving
 6
           our position.
 7
                MR. KIM: I understand.
 8
                MR. CYMROT: But I agree with you,
 9
           there's no point in debating that.
10
      BY MR. CYMROT:
11
               All right. What facts do you have
12
     about Defendants' contacts with the
13
     organization?
14
           Α.
                None.
15
           Q.
                What facts do you have about
     Defendants' knowledge of the organization?
16
17
           Α.
                No.
18
               Do you have anybody in the
19
     organization with a theory about Defendants'
20
     knowledge of the organization; yes or no you
21
     can answer that?
22
           A. Yes.
23
           O. And who's that?
24
           A. Lawyers.
25
           Q. And who are they, just the names?
```

Page 182 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)Edward Hardinov and 2. Α. Vladimir Pastukhov. 3 4 And have they told you what their 5 theories are? 6 MR. KIM: Same objection about 7 privilege, the content of 8 communications. 9 MR. CYMROT: So you're 10 instructing -- to be clear, you're 11 instructing him not to answer? 12 MR. KIM: Correct, I'm instructing 13 him not to answer this or any other questions that call for the contents 14 15 of his conversations with his lawyers. 16 MR. CYMROT: Okay. So we might 17 speed this up so I don't have to ask a 18 whole series of questions, if you'll 19 agree that anything on the subject 20 matter you'll give the same 21 instruction. 22 MR. KIM: No. My objection is 23 simply to the attorney-client 24 privilege nature of the contents of 25 the communications, not to the subject

```
Page 183
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           matter of what information he
 3
           possesses.
 4
                MR. CYMROT: Then I'll keep asking
 5
           questions.
 6
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 7
           Q.
                So it says the Defendants' intent
 8
     or knowledge of the purpose -- for the purpose
     of money laundering; what do you know about
 9
     the Defendants', being the Prevezon companies,
10
11
     intent or knowledge of the $230 million fraud?
12
                So are you asking me based on this
           Α.
     sentence here or the $230 million fraud?
13
                Well, for the purpose of -- let me
14
15
     interpret that because that's legalese.
                So the Defendants' intent or
16
17
     knowledge for hiding the proceeds of the
     $230 million fraud?
18
19
                I'm sorry, could you repeat the
           Α.
20
     question?
21
           Ο.
                Sure.
                       I said what facts do you
     have related to the Defendants' intent or
22
     knowledge to cover up the proceeds or hide the
23
24
     proceeds of the $230 million fraud?
25
                What I've -- what I've read in the
           Α.
```

```
Page 184
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
     Government's Amended Complaint.
                Well, we've all read that. What do
 3
           0.
     you have independent of that?
 5
                I don't have personally anything
6
     independent of that.
 7
           Q.
                All right. Do people in your
 8
     organization have theories about the
 9
     Defendants' intent or knowledge for the
     purpose of covering up the $230 million fraud?
10
11
           Α.
               I believe so.
12
             And who's that?
           0.
13
           Α.
               Lawyers.
14
           Q. Same lawyers?
15
           Α.
                Same lawyers.
16
                MR. CYMROT: Are you instructing
17
           him not to answer as to the substance
18
           of those conversations?
19
                MR. KIM: There's no question
20
           about it pending, but to --
21
                MR. CYMROT: I understand.
22
                MR. KIM: -- the extent you ask
23
           it, I'll make the same objection.
24
                MR. CYMROT: Okay.
25
      BY MR. CYMROT:
```

```
Page 185
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                So what did they tell you?
           Q.
                MR. KIM: I object on the
 3
 4
           attorney-client privilege is.
 5
                MR. CYMROT: All right, but you're
6
           instructing him not to answer?
 7
                MR. KIM: I'm instructing him not
 8
           to answer that specific question, but
 9
           I'm not objecting generally to the
10
           subject matter, you're free to ask
11
           more questions.
12
                MR. CYMROT: Understood.
13
     BY MR. CYMROT:
                So did you have conversations with
14
     the Government about the Defendants' knowledge
15
     of the organization?
16
17
           A. Yes.
               All right. When were those
18
19
     conversations?
20
                They were -- they are and were
           Α.
21
     ongoing.
22
                I see. Are you still collecting
23
     information related to this lawsuit?
24
           A. If it -- as it becomes available,
25
     sure.
```

Page 186 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. So you are still collecting Q. 3 information? Any information that becomes 4 5 available, yes. 6 Ο. Comes available how? 7 Through any or -- every and any 8 means. So do you have people out asking 9 0. questions for information? 10 11 Α. There are investigations going on in other countries. 12 That --13 Ο. That involve the Defendants or 14 information, more information becomes -- is 15 becoming available. 16 17 Q. All right. Which countries? 18 MR. MONTELEONI: Again, I'm going 19 to request that the witness not answer 20 to the extent that that information is 21 not already public. 22 MR. CYMROT: I'm asking -- to be 23 clear, I'm asking what investigations is he aware of, of the Defendants in 24 25 other countries.

```
Page 187
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
                MR. MONTELEONI: Right. And to
           the extent that the existence of those
 3
           investigations is public he can
 5
           answer. And to the extent that it's
           not, we're requesting that he not
 6
 7
           answer.
 8
                MR. KIM: So on this, this is --
 9
           we have no position on it, but since a
           party has claimed privilege over that
10
11
           potential response to that question,
12
           we will -- I will instruct the witness
13
           not to answer until that's resolved.
14
                MR. CYMROT: All right.
15
      BY MR. CYMROT:
                So what information do you have
16
17
     about other investigations of Prevezon or
     Mr. Katsyv?
18
19
                MR. KIM: Isn't that what you just
20
           asked?
21
                MR. CYMROT: Same question, yeah.
22
                MR. MONTELEONI: To the extent
23
           it's public, you can answer.
24
                MR. KIM: The public part of it,
25
           sorry. Go ahead.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. So could you just repeat the
- 3 question so I know what I'm answering?
- 4 BY MR. CYMROT:
- 5 Q. Yes. What information do you have
- 6 about investigations in countries other than
- 7 the United States about Prevezon or
- 8 Mr. Katsyv?
- 9 MR. KIM: That is public.
- 10 THE WITNESS: That's public.
- 11 MR. CYMROT: That is public.
- 12 BY MR. CYMROT:
- Q. Well, that's the instruction you've
- 14 been given.
- 15 A. These two people have been -- or
- 16 the Prevezon and Katsyv and Litvak are, I
- 17 believe, subjects to criminal investigation in
- 18 Switzerland.
- 19 Q. Have they been named as the subject
- 20 of that investigation?
- 21 A. I don't know the formalities of the
- 22 Swiss proceeding.
- 23 Q. Haven't they been denied a role as
- 24 interested parties in that proceeding?
- A. I don't know.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. Well, so what makes you believe
- 3 that they are under criminal investigation in
- 4 Switzerland as I will tell you they've been
- 5 denied access to that investigation as
- 6 interested parties?
- 7 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 8 A. Could you rephrase the question,
- 9 please?
- 10 Q. Yes. What makes you believe
- 11 they're under criminal investigation in
- 12 Switzerland?
- 13 A. Because their names are on
- 14 documents in the court where they're
- 15 requesting that Hermitage didn't have access
- 16 to the file.
- 17 O. Their names are on documents in the
- 18 court where Hermitage does not have access to
- 19 the file?
- 20 A. No. Let's just start again.
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. So they -- they made a
- 23 filing with the Swiss criminal tribunal to
- 24 deny Hermitage access to the criminal case
- 25 file, both Mr. Katsyv and Mr. Litvak.

Page 190 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. And from there you conclude they Q. are under criminal investigation? 3 Why would two people unrelated to 4 Α. 5 this -- so my assumption --6 MR. KIM: Mr. Browder, you should 7 answer a question, not ask a question. MR. CYMROT: Yeah, because I'm not 8 9 going to answer your questions. 10 MR. KIM: He's not obligated to 11 answer. 12 THE WITNESS: Sorry. My mistake. 13 BY MR. CYMROT: That's the basis for your 14 15 conclusion that they're under criminal investigation in Switzerland? 16 And I -- I'm trying to remember 17 what other reasons. Off the top of my head I 18 19 can't remember any other reasons. 20 0. All right. What other countries 21 have them under criminal investigation 22 according to you? 23 MR. MONTELEONI: To the extent 24 that it's public. 25 They were under criminal Α.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 investigation in Israel for money laundering.
- 3 Q. And what happened with that?
- 4 A. Their money was seized and they
- 5 walked away.
- 6 Q. They worked out a settlement and
- 7 then the Court found there was no money
- 8 laundering?
- 9 A. I'm not a legal expert, but that's
- 10 not how I read it.
- 11 Q. So what did you read?
- 12 A. That they had to give the money to
- 13 the Israeli government as a penalty for money
- 14 laundering.
- 15 Q. What did you read?
- 16 A. I read the Israeli money laundering
- 17 document.
- 18 Q. Did you read the document that said
- 19 the banker who supposedly was the one money
- 20 laundering was acquitted because there was no
- 21 money laundering?
- 22 A. That's not how I read it, but I'm
- 23 not a lawyer.
- Q. I see. Were you the one that gave
- 25 that document to the U.S. attorney?

```
Page 192
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
 3
           Q.
                Who gave that document to the U.S.
 4
     attorney?
 5
           Α.
                Someone on my team.
 6
                Who?
                      Somebody on your team
 7
     provided it?
 8
           Α.
                Yes.
 9
           0.
                And who provided it to your team?
10
                I don't know.
           Α.
11
                Who on your team had that document?
           0.
               I don't know.
12
           Α.
                Who did you see -- who gave it to
13
           0.
14
     you?
                I don't remember.
15
           Α.
16
                When did they give it to you?
           0.
17
                I don't remember.
           Α.
                You know, we read your book and you
18
19
     have all sorts of details going back, I don't
20
     know, 15 years about what people were wearing
21
     and what people did and you had a great memory
22
     where it came to things you wanted to talk
     about, but you can't even tell me who gave you
23
     a document just, what, last year, this year?
24
25
                Is there a question?
           Α.
```

Page 193 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Yeah. You're telling me you can't Q. remember who gave you that document this year? 3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 It was somebody within your 6 organization? 7 Α. Yes. 8 All right. Are you aware of any 9 other criminal investigations of the Defendants? 10 11 MR. MONTELEONI: To the extent 12 they're public. 13 Or Mr. Katsyv or Mr. Litvak? There's no other information that's 14 Α. 15 not public that I can share. 16 And the information that you got, 0. you got from the U.S. attorney? In other 17 words, where did you get the information about 18 19 other criminal investigations of the 20 Defendants, Mr. Katsyv and Mr. Litvak? 21 MR. MONTELEONI: To the extent 22 that that question is asking about 23 whether he got information about some 24 type of nonpublic investigation, if 25 any, I'm going to request that the

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 194 of 386 Page 194 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. witness not answer that question. 3 MR. KIM: So because a party is 4 claiming privilege over the ans- --5 potential answer to that particular 6 question, I'm going to ask the witness or instruct the witness not to answer 7 8 to the extent it reveals the 9 information that the U.S. Attorney's Office just mentioned. 10 11 MR. CYMROT: So to be clear, if he 12 didn't get it from you but he got it 13 from somebody else, you're saying we can't have that information; you're 14 15 asserting what privilege? MR. MONTELEONI: The -- the law 16 17 enforcement privilege with respect to ongoing investigation -- ongoing 18 criminal investigations that are not 19 20 public. 21 MR. CYMROT: And he got the 22 information from a third party?

- 23 MR. MONTELEONI: We -- any
- 24 information about an ongoing law
- 25 enforcement investigation that's not

```
1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
```

- 2 public is something that's covered by
- 3 the law enforcement privilege. And
- 4 the interaction that he had with that
- 5 information and he came into that
- 6 investigation any knowledge he may of
- 7 that investigation, to the extent that
- 8 it's about something that's ongoing,
- 9 it's criminal, it's not public under
- 10 the law enforcement privilege.
- 11 MR. CYMROT: Worldwide?
- MR. MONTELEONI: Well, I quess,
- 13 yes, including with respect to --
- 14 to -- I mean, if you're asking for a
- 15 list of -- of countries, then yes. If
- 16 you wanted to ask country by country,
- 17 I suppose he could answer on that --
- 18 on that basis, but...
- 19 MR. CYMROT: I'm asking are you
- 20 asserting this privilege worldwide,
- 21 any law enforcement agency anywhere,
- 22 information coming from a third party,
- 23 you're claiming the law enforcement
- 24 privilege?
- MR. MONTELEONI: Based on the

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 information that we have, we think
- 3 that the answer to questions about the
- 4 witness's knowledge of ongoing
- 5 nonpublic criminal investigations
- 6 conducted by other countries would
- 7 implicate the United States's law
- 8 enforcement privilege.
- 9 The United States I can say has
- 10 been working with other countries in
- 11 conducting investigations and has law
- 12 enforcement interests in nonpublic
- 13 criminal investigations conducted by
- 14 other countries not being jeopardized
- 15 by premature disclosure.
- MR. CYMROT: Okay. And you're
- instructing him not to answer on that
- 18 basis, right?
- 19 MR. KIM: This is not my privilege
- 20 call, I'm not here to adjudicate.
- 21 MR. CYMROT: I understand.
- 22 MR. KIM: I'm just going to
- 23 respond to specific questions. I
- 24 understand that the last question
- 25 elicited a privilege objection, and

```
Page 197
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           it's our position and I'm instructing
           the witness not to answer while a
 3
           party claims privilege until it has
 4
 5
           been adjudicated.
 6
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 7
           Q.
                Okay. So let me ask you this: Are
 8
     you familiar with a Mutual Legal Assistance
 9
     Treaty request from the United States to
10
     Russia?
11
                I've read about it in -- in either
12
     the Complaint or some of the docket filings.
13
              Okay. And do you have any
     knowledge about how the Russian Federation
14
15
     responded to the United States with respect to
16
     that MLAT request?
17
           A. I don't.
18
               Have you ever seen that MLAT
19
     request?
20
           Α.
                No.
21
                Have you seen the United States'
22
     request to the Netherlands?
23
           Α.
                No.
24
                Are you aware of any United States'
     request under mutual legal assistance treaties
25
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 to any other country related to this case?
- 3 A. Only what I've read in the public
- 4 documents on the docket.
- 5 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any other
- 6 informal requests from the United States to
- 7 other countries in connection with this case?
- 8 A. No. No.
- 9 Q. Do you know whether a Grand Jury
- 10 has been paneled to investigate the
- 11 \$230 million fraud?
- 12 A. Only what I've read on the public
- 13 docket.
- 14 Q. Have you testified before a Grand
- 15 Jury?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Is this a privilege?
- 17 MR. CYMROT: No. The witness
- doesn't have a privilege.
- 19 MR. KIM: I think the witness just
- 20 asked the question. We're not
- 21 asserting a privilege.
- MR. CYMROT: No, but...
- MR. MONTELEONI: No, the witness
- can answer.
- 25 A. Okay, no.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 199 of 386 Page 199 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. BY MR. CYMROT: 3 Q. Do you know anybody who's testified before a Grand Jury in connection with the 4 \$230 million fraud? 5 6 Α. No. 7 So according -- going back to 8 Exhibit 13, the United States represents that 9 you have information concerning the transfers 10 described in to the Verified Complaint; do you 11 see that? 12 Could you just further me to which 13 subject matter this is? Q. It's No. 7. 14 15 Α. Yes, I see that. 16 Do you have information about the transfers? Do you know what transfers that 17 that refers to? 18 19 I'm not sure, no. Α. 20 All right. So generally, it's the 21 transfers from the Russian Treasury through

- 22 the various accounts, the chart that we were
- 23 looking at getting to allegedly the Prevezon
- 24 accounts with...
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. Do you have any information about
- 3 those transfers?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you ever tell the United States
- 6 you had information about those transfers?
- 7 A. We provided a Complaint or I should
- 8 say actually I -- I submitted a Complaint to
- 9 the New York District Attorney's office with
- 10 all that stuff attached, so maybe they --
- 11 Q. But you have no -- you have no
- 12 understanding about what those transfers are
- or what they mean?
- 14 A. No. No, I don't.
- 15 Q. And it says "Additional transfers
- 16 that support the allegations in the Verified
- 17 Complaint."
- Now this time I can't help you, I
- 19 don't know what additional transfers, but do
- 20 you know what additional transfers you're
- 21 referring to?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Do you have information about
- 24 additional transfers of funds that would
- 25 support the allegations in the Verified

Page 201 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 Complaint? 3 Α. No. Do you know anything about the 4 5 tracing methodology -- methodology tracing 6 funds from the Russian Treasury to the Defendants? 7 8 Just what I've read in our Complaint. 9 10 In the Exhibit 1 that we looked at Ο. 11 at the beginning? 12 I read the text of the Complaint. 13 Of the Verified Complaint? 14 I read the text or at least what we 15 provided to the Govern- -- to the New York USDA's office and I read the Verified 16 Complaint. 17 18 Okay. When you say "our 19 Complaint," you're talking about the one that 20 went to the D.A.? 21 Α. Correct. The Hermitage Capital 22 Complaint. 23 All right. You read it, but you 24 don't really understand it; is that accurate? 25 I read it, but I can't explain the Α.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 documents in the back.
- 3 Q. So information about the nature of
- 4 businesses operated by the Defendants, do you
- 5 have knowledge about that?
- A. I have a little bit of knowledge
- 7 about that.
- 8 Q. What do you know about the nature
- 9 of businesses operated by the Defendants?
- 10 A. That the Defendants operate a -- or
- 11 I should say the Peter -- or Denis Katsyv
- 12 operates a transportation company in Moscow.
- 13 O. And what else?
- 14 A. I think he has a hotel in Kozelsk
- 15 or someplace like that.
- 16 Q. That's in Russia?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What else?
- 19 A. Off the top of my head that's what
- 20 I remember.
- 21 Q. Have you given that information to
- the U.S. Attorney's Office?
- 23 A. I don't remember if I did or
- 24 didn't.
- 25 Q. And how do you know that

```
Page 203
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2.
     information?
 3
           Α.
                I read it somewhere.
               Read it where?
           Ο.
 5
           Α.
              It's online.
           O. What website?
6
 7
           A. I don't know.
 8
           Q.
               Did you ever meet Denis Katsyv?
 9
           Α.
               No.
10
           Q. Have you --
                (Whereupon, an off-the-record
11
           discussion was held.)
12
                MR. CYMROT: I'm told there's a
13
           problem with the audio. We're being
14
           told there's a problem with the audio.
15
16
                (Whereupon, at this time, a short
17
           break was taken.)
      BY MR. CYMROT:
18
19
             All right. Have you conducted any
20
     investigation of the businesses operated by
     Defendants?
21
22
           Α.
                No.
23
               Has anybody in your organization?
           Q.
24
           A. Yes.
25
           Q. Who is that?
```

```
Page 204
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
           Α.
 2.
                My legal team.
                And what's the nature of the
 3
           0.
     investigation?
 4
 5
                MR. KIM: I give the same
 6
           objection, that I believe that
 7
           question would call for the contents
 8
           of Mr. Browder's conversations with
 9
           his legal team and subject to
10
           attorney-client privilege, so I
11
           instruct the witness not to answer
           that particular question.
12
13
                Did you give information about the
     nature of the businesses operated by
14
     Defendants to the Government?
15
16
           Α.
                Yes.
17
           O. And documents?
18
           A. Yes.
19
           Q. And what documents are those?
20
           Α.
                Information about their
21
     transportation company, et cetera.
22
                And where -- where -- and you got
     all this information online; is that what
23
     you're saying?
24
25
           Α.
                Yes.
```

```
Page 205
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Did you get any information from
           Q.
     Dmitry Baranovsky?
 3
           Α.
                No.
 4
 5
                You produced documents in response
 6
     to a document request for information from
 7
     Dmitry Baranovsky and it included all the
 8
     property records of Prevezon. Did you get
 9
     that from Mr. Baranovsky?
10
           Α.
                No.
11
           0.
                Did you get it from Mr. Stolbunov?
12
                No.
           Α.
13
                Do you know Mr. Stolbunov?
           0.
14
           Α.
                No.
               Andrei Stolbunov?
15
           Q.
           A. I don't know him.
16
17
                Do you know Mr. Baranovsky?
           Ο.
18
           Α.
                No.
19
           Q. You called him in one of your
20
     pleadings a human rights campaigner; do you
     remember doing that?
21
22
           Α.
                Vaguely.
23
              And what's the basis for doing
24
     that?
25
                The -- his background story.
           Α.
```

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 206 of 386 Page 206 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. His background story being he's in Q. prison for trying to extort \$20 million in 3 4 property from Mr. Katsyv; is that the 5 background story you're talking about? 6 Α. No. You're aware that he's been 7 8 convicted of extortion, right? 9 Α. I am. 10 Not just from Mr. Katsyv on several 11 occasions at least more than one you're aware of that? 12 13 Α. No. Have you had any communications 14 with Mr. Bern move ski? 15 16 Α. No. Have you had any communications 17 with Andre stove move? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Have you had any communications 21 with Mr. Pavlov who's in the Complaint? 22 Α. No. 23 What made you think about that?

25 A. I'm trying to give you a correct

24

You may have?

Page 207 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. answer. 3 Do you know whether members of your team have had communications with 4 5 Mr. Baranovsky? 6 Α. I'm not aware of them having 7 communications with him. 8 0. Do you know whether members of your team had communications with Mr. Stolbunov? 9 I'm not aware. 10 11 Do you know whether Brown Rudnick has had communications with Mr. Stolbunov? 12 I'm not aware. 13 Α. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Stolbunov 14 has met with Brown Rudnick? 15 A. I'm not aware. 16 17 Do you get reports on the investigation going on by your team in 18 19 connection with this case? 20 A. Sometimes. 21 Q. Are they in writing? 22 A. No. 23 0. Oral? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. So you intentionally keep them from

Page 208 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 being written down? 3 Α. No. 4 So why are they only giving you --5 you don't exchange e-mails about this case? We exchange e-mails about lots of 6 7 things. 8 0. And about this case? 9 About -- sometimes we do, yes. 10 So there are writings about this 11 case? 12 That wasn't your -- that wasn't Α. 13 your question before. 14 All right. Are there any writings 15 that your team has given you about this case? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And they're in e-mails? 0. 18 A. I believe so. 19 Q. All right. 20 MR. CYMROT: All right. So let's 21 go to the Amended Complaint, which 22 we're going to mark as a new exhibit. 23 (Browder Exhibit 14, Verified 24 Amended Complaint was marked for 25 identification, as of this date.)

Page 209 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. BY MR. CYMROT: 3 So this is Exhibit 14, the Verified 0. Amended Complaint, which was filed on 4 November 5, 2014. All right. 5 6 You're familiar with that document, 7 right? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. You read it more than once, I 10 imagine? 11 Α. What's the question? 12 Q. Have you read it more than once? You've read it? 13 14 I read the document. 15 Q. Okay. Did you discuss it with anybody in the U.S. Attorney's Office? 16 17 Α. I don't recall. 18 Did you review it before it was 19 filed? 20 Α. No. Did anybody on your team review it 21 22 before it was filed? A. Not that I'm aware of. 23 24 Q. Let's look at paragraph 39. Okay. 25 Okay. If you look at the last

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 sentence in paragraph 39, it says "During the
- 3 relevant period, the head of Moscow, Tax
- 4 Office No. 25 was Yelena Khimina, who on
- 5 information and belief is a member of the
- 6 organization, and the head of the Moscow Tax
- 7 Office No. 28 was Stepanova, who had traveled
- 8 to Larnaca in May of 2007 and on information
- 9 and belief met with Klyuev to plan the
- 10 \$230 million fraud scheme."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 A. I do.
- Q. Do you know where the -- do you see
- 14 that Ms. Khimina is described on information
- and belief as a member of the organization; do
- 16 you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. But Ms. Stepanova is not; do you
- 19 see that?
- 20 A. Um-hum.
- Q. Did you discuss that with the U.S.
- 22 Attorney's Office?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. So as far as you're concerned,
- 25 Ms. Stepanova is not a member of the

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 211 of 386 Page 211 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 organization? Α. 3 No. To be clear, I am correct that in 5 your view, Ms. Stepanova's not a member of the 6 organization? 7 Α. You're incorrect. 8 0. I am incorrect. 9 So in your view, Ms. Stepanova is a 10 member of the organization? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And what facts lead you to believe 13 Ms. Stepanova is a member of the organization? 14 Well, there's a number of facts. Α. 15 Q. Go ahead. What are they? First of all, she was one of the 16 Α. people who approved the tax refund in one day, 17 on November or December 24, 2007. The lar- --18 19 lion's share of the tax refund. 20 0. Anything else? 21 That her husband or ex-husband, 22 depending on whose version you believe, received around -- somewhere between 7 and 23

24

\$10 million in his account at Credit Suisse

Page 212 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Okay. Anything else? Q. 3 That the two of them, husband and Α. wife, purchased real estate in Dubai. 4 5 Anything else? 6 Α. And the travel records of the 7 Stepanova's -- Stepanova, Stepanova. 8 0. To Larnaca. The ones we referred to before? 9 Also the travel records to Dubai 10 11 and various other places. 12 Q. What other places? A. I don't remember off the top of my 13 14 head. Anything else? 15 Q. That's all I can recall at the 16 17 moment. 18 Okay. So, first of all, you said 19 this is the Klyuev organization; is that 20 right? 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. And who's in the Klyuev 23 organization? 24 A. Olga Stepanova, Yelena Khimina.

Q. Well, these are just the

25

```
Page 213
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2
     functionaries. I mean, who -- isn't that
     true? These aren't the planning people?
3
                Is -- is -- what's the question?
 4
           Α.
 5
                Who is -- let me amend the
     question.
6
 7
                Who's at the top of the Klyuev
 8
     organization?
                Dmitry Klyuev.
 9
           Α.
10
             And who are his deputies?
           Q.
11
           Α.
               Andrey Pavlov.
12
             Anybody else?
           Q.
13
           Α.
               Yes.
           Q. Who else?
14
15
           Α.
               Artem Kuznetsov.
             All right. Anybody else?
16
           Q.
17
           Α.
               Oleg Urzhumstev.
18
           Q.
               Who is that?
19
               Oleg Urzhumstev.
           Α.
20
           Q. How do you spell that?
           A. I don't know.
21
           Q. Urzhumstev?
22
           A. You can ask your clients. They'll
23
24
    know.
25
           Q. Why would my clients know?
```

Page 214 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Seems like they would know how to Α. 3 spell a Russian name like that. That's just a crack, right? You 4 Q. 5 were just being sarcastic? Just -- just... 6 Α. Q. You think it's funny being here, 7 8 them having all their property attached in the 9 United States? It's worth being sarcastic 10 about? Is that what you think? 11 What's the question? 12 Whether you think it's appropriate to be sarcastic in a situation like this? 13 14 Do you have an answer for my 15 question? 16 Α. I'm thinking. 17 O. Of another sarcastic remark? 18 A. No. 19 Q. You're not suggesting 20 Mr. Urzhumstev has any relationship to Mr. Katsyv, do you? 21 22 A. I don't know. 23 What's his profession? Q. 24 A. He's in the Interior Ministry -was in the Interior Ministry. 25

```
Page 215
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Anybody else in the Klyuev
           Q.
     lieutenant circle?
 3
 4
           Α.
                Yes. Pavel Karpov.
 5
           Ο.
               Yes?
 6
           Α.
                Viktor Markelov.
 7
           Q.
                The sawmill operator?
 8
           Α.
                Yes.
               Who else?
 9
           0.
10
               Vyacheslav Khlebnikov.
           Α.
11
           0.
              The other person who was convicted?
                He was convicted, yes.
12
           Α.
                Who else?
13
           Ο.
                The deputies of Stepanova and
14
           Α.
15
     Khimina, whose names escape me at the moment.
16
           0.
                Anybody else?
17
                There are probably others, but I
     don't have them on top of my hand.
18
19
                Have you traced any of the
           0.
20
     $230 million to Mr. Klyuev?
21
           Α.
                It went through Universal Savings
22
     Bank, which was his bank.
23
                Any of it stay there and he
24
     pocketed it?
25
                I don't know.
           Α.
```

Page 216 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. You have no information to suggest Ο. 3 that happened, right? He was involved in the 4 Α. 5 money-laundering operations. 6 0. How was he involved? 7 By providing the bank. 8 0. Did he actually order money moved? I don't know what his -- I don't --9 10 I wasn't a witness to his actions. 11 So you don't have any information 12 about him receiving money or ordering the 13 movement of money? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Mr. Pavlov, have you traced any money to the \$230 million fraud to Mr. Pavlov? 16 17 Actually, let me correct my previous statement. I believe there was some 18 19 money that was traced to -- to Klyuev and 20 Pavlov in site -- into companies they were 21 involved in in Cyprus. 22 0. Traced by who? 23 Α. By my team. 24 Did you give that information to 25 the U.S. Attorney's Office?

```
Page 217
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                I don't remember.
                Is it anywhere in Exhibit 1?
 3
           Q.
           Α.
               I don't know.
 5
                Did you trace any -- well, if it's
     not in Exhibit 1, where would it have been
 6
 7
     given?
 8
           A. I don't know.
 9
              Well, if you look at the tracing
     which is exhibit -- Tab 8 -- and take your
10
11
     time, but it makes no reference, that I'm
     aware, to companies being controlled by Klyuev
12
13
     or Pavlov.
                Which -- which section should I be
14
15
     looking at?
16
                Any section you want, but the
17
     tracing -- the bank transactions are in Tab 8.
              (Whereupon, an off-the-record
18
19
      discussion was held.)
20
                No, you -- not in this -- the
21
     document.
22
                THE WITNESS: Could I ask for a
           break?
23
24
                MR. CYMROT: Sure.
25
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
```

```
Page 218
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           2:38 p.m. We are off the record.
 3
              (Whereupon, at this time, a short
      break was taken.)
 4
 5
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 6
           2:42 p.m. We are back on the record.
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 7
 8
           Q.
                All right. So, Mr. Browder,
 9
     there's nothing in Exhibit 1 about any tracing
10
     to Mr. Klyuev or Mr. Pavlov to Cyprus
11
     Companies. So is there somewhere else that
12
     you provided this to the U.S. government?
13
                No, not -- I don't remember what we
     provided in -- in relation to this issue.
14
15
           Q.
                Do you re -- are you sure there --
16
                I think I -- let me -- let me
17
     rephrase.
                I didn't provide anything to the
     U.S. government on this issue.
18
19
                Did anybody on your team provide
20
     information to the U.S. government on this
     issue?
21
22
               Not that I'm aware of.
23
           Q. Are you sure that that tracing
24
     occurred to Cyprus Companies for Pavlov and
25
     Klyuev?
```

```
Page 219
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
           Α.
                Yes.
           Q.
             You're sure?
 3
           Α.
               Yes.
 5
              And So you have information of that
6
    nature?
              I don't personally. My team has
 7
           Α.
 8
     it.
 9
           O. I see.
                And you haven't produced it to us
10
11
     one more time; is that right?
                Was that intentional?
12
13
           Α.
                No.
             How did you get the information?
14
           Q.
           A. I don't know.
15
              Who got the information?
16
           Q.
17
           Α.
                My team.
                Who on your team got this
18
19
     information?
20
              Lawyers and my staff.
           Α.
21
           Q. What lawyers and what staff?
22
                Vladimir Pastukhov and Vadim
23
    Kleiner and other lawyers.
24
               How much money went to Mr. Klyuev
25
     and Mr. Pavlov?
```

Page 220 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. I don't know. 3 And let me -- let me -- well, yes. I don't know. Let's stick with that answer. 4 5 Have you traced any money to 6 Mr. Kuznetsov? 7 Α. Not to my personal knowledge. 8 Q. Can you speak up, please. 9 Not to my personal knowledge. Have you traced any money from the 10 Q. 11 \$230 million fraud to Mr. Urzhumstsev? 12 Α. Who? 13 Q. This fellow we had this little go around about how you spell list name. 14 Urzhumstsev? Urzhumstsev? 15 Oh, you mean -- you mean Urzh- --16 17 Urzhumstsev. Urzhumstsev. I won't be able to 18 Ο. 19 spell it in. 20 Α. Right. I --21 Q. Did you trace any money to him? 22 Not in my personal knowledge. 23 Trace any money from the fraud to Mr. Karpov? 24

Not to my personal knowledge.

25

Α.

Page 221 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. And Mr. Markelov? Ο. Yes. He was the -- he was the 3 Α. 4 owner of the stolen companies. 5 Did you trace any money into a bank 6 account from Mr. Markelov --7 Α. He was --8 0. -- from the \$230 million fraud? 9 Α. Yes. 10 How much money? Q. 11 Α. About two-thirds of the \$230 million went to his accounts at USB. 12 13 Okay. So he was the account holder Ο. 14 at USB for the money that came through USB? 15 Α. No. He was the -- he was the owner 16 of the company -- I believe a director, but I'm not sure about that -- of -- of the -- he 17 was the owner of the three stolen Hermitage 18 19 companies and director of one of them, I 20 believe. 21 Q. And did any of the money stay in 22 bank accounts that he had access to? 23 Α. Not to my personal knowledge. 24 Mr. Khlebnikov, the other fellow who was convicted, did you trace any money to 25

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 him?
- 3 A. He was the director of one of the
- 4 companies that received part of the
- 5 \$230 million.
- 6 Q. And did any money stay in that
- 7 account for his use?
- 8 A. Not to my personal knowledge.
- 9 Q. Did you trace any money to
- 10 Ms. Khimina, the head of Tax Office No. 25?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. How much money did you trace to
- 13 Ms. Khimina?
- 14 A. I don't remember the exact amount,
- 15 but she used money to buy apartments in Dubai
- 16 that was wired by Credit Suisse.
- 17 Q. And how do you trace that back to
- 18 the Russian \$230 million fraud?
- 19 A. I didn't do the tracing.
- 20 Q. You don't have any idea?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. And Mr. -- Ms. Stepanova you say
- 23 got money because Mr. Stepanov, her husband,
- 24 got money, according to you.
- 25 A. That's correct.

```
Page 223
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Right?
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
 4
           Q.
                Right. You say husband or
 5
     ex-husband, there's a dispute about that.
 6
           Α.
                Correct.
 7
           Q.
                What's the dispute?
 8
           Α.
                Whether they're divorced or not.
 9
           0.
                Whether they've ever been divorced?
                Whether they're divorced or not
10
11
     or -- or legitimately divorced or whether it
12
     means anything.
13
                I see. So Mr. Step -- Stepanov
     claims they were divorced in the 1990s, right?
14
                I don't remember when -- when they
15
           Α.
     were supposed to have been divorced, no. I
16
     don't know the details.
17
                I see. You traced any money into
18
19
     her name?
20
           Α.
                No.
21
           Q. So it's all to Mr. Stepanov?
22
                Again, so the -- so you have the
     Olga Stepanova, who is the head of Tax Office
23
     No. 28, approving the tax refunds.
24
25
           Q.
                Right.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. And then that money going to
- 3 accounts in her husband's name at Credit
- 4 Suisse.
- 5 Q. According to you.
- 6 A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
- 7 Ex-husband's name. Excuse me.
- 8 Q. Husband or ex-husband, depending on
- 9 how you resolve the dispute.
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. So if you look at the
- 12 Amended Complaint, paragraph 69. This relates
- 13 to the conviction of Mr. Markelov and
- 14 Mr. Khlebnikov. And if you look at the
- 15 second-to-last sentence, it says, "The verdict
- 16 announcing Markelov sentence claim that the
- 17 tax authorities were deceived by Markelov and
- 18 not complicit."
- 19 Do you see that?
- A. Um-hum.
- 21 Q. That would be refer- --
- You have to give me a yes for that.
- 23 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So that would be referring
- 25 to Ms. Stepanova and Ms. -- Ms. Khimina,

Page 225 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 All right. Are you saying that the 5 judge was corrupt? 6 MR. KIM: Objection to form. You 7 talking about the Complaint or him? 8 MR. CYMROT: No. I'm talking 9 about whether he's saying the judge 10 that made that finding. 11 Q. Are you saying that judge was 12 corrupt? 13 Could you just rephrase. Α. 14 Are you saying that the judge that made that finding that the tax authorities 15 16 were deceived by Markelov and not complicit -that's contrary to your theory, right? Your 17 18 theory is they were complicit? 19 Α. Yes. They were complicit. 20 Right. So are you saying that the 0. 21 judge was corrupt? 22 I don't know whether he was corrupt 23 or whether he was threatened or whether he was stupid, but he --24 25 Q. Do you know?

Page 226 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)I don't -- I don't know. 2. Α. 3 Q. Do you know whether he was corrupt? I -- I don't know whether he was Α. 5 corrupt. 6 Do you know whether he was 7 threatened? 8 I don't know whether he was threatened. 9 10 Do you know whether he was stupid? 11 I don't know whether he was stupid, 12 but I do know one of the three. 13 Q. Because you want to believe that, 14 right? 15 You don't have any facts that would support that, right? 16 17 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 18 it the last question you want him to 19 answer, or the --20 So -- so do you have any facts that 21 would support that the judge who made that 22 finding was corrupt, threatened, or stupid? The information available on this 23 case is clear and overwhelming that the tax 24 authorities were complicit. And for a judge 25

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 to ignore that information, he would have had
- 3 to be either corrupt, threatened, or stupid.
- 4 Q. So let me -- so the information
- 5 available on the Markelov case makes it clear
- 6 that the tax authorities were complicit?
- 7 A. No. The information available on
- 8 the -- yes. The information that's
- 9 available -- the information that's in -- in
- 10 the case file makes it pretty clear to me that
- 11 the tax authorities were complicit.
- 12 Q. And you're talking about the
- 13 Markelov case file?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you reviewed the Markelov case
- 16 file?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. But you made the conclusion even
- 19 though you didn't review the file?
- 20 A. I have information from the file
- 21 that was presented to me.
- 22 Q. By whom?
- A. By my team.
- Q. The lawyers that you're referring
- 25 to?

Page 228 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. Yes. 3 Q. And how did they get access to the criminal file of Mr. Markelov? 4 5 I don't know. 6 Is it legal for them to have that 7 information? 8 Α. They -- I would assume, yes. You're sure about that? 9 0. 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. And what information are you 12 referring to? I'm referring to checks that took 13 place -- there's actually docu- -- I can -- I 14 can read you from the Complaint, if you want. 15 16 Ο. Sure. 17 Would that -- would that be helpful 18 to you? 19 If there's something in the 20 Complaint you want to refer to, be my guest. 21 It might -- might take me a few 22 minutes to find it, but just bear with me. 23 Well, if you tell me what it is, I 24 might help you. 25 A. Okay. I want to -- hold on. No,

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 I'll find it.
- 3 Paragraph 42. Should I read it out
- 4 loud to you?
- 5 Q. If you want. It talks about how
- 6 the tax authority said they verified.
- 7 A. Let me read it out loud to you:
- 8 "In sub- -- in subsequent
- 9 investigation, officials of Tax Offices No. 25
- 10 and 28 made witness statements claiming that
- 11 amended tax returns were submitted in or about
- 12 November of 2007 and claim to have taken
- 13 certain steps to verify the legitimacy of
- 14 claimed losses.
- 15 "These statements do not appear to
- 16 be fully accurate. One official claimed,
- among other things, to have checked with the
- 18 corresponding tax authorities whether the
- 19 Plaintiff's in the sham lawsuits had reported
- 20 receivables corresponding to the fraudulently
- 21 obtained judgments and have found positive
- 22 receivables reported. However, the forms in
- 23 Star and grant acts you've actually filed with
- 24 the tax authority show zero receivables over
- 25 all relevant time periods.

```
Page 230
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                "One of the judgments on which the
 3
     refund applications were based, by its own
 4
     terms, did not go into legal effect until
 5
     January 11, 2008. Nevertheless, on
 6
     December 24, 2007, the same day that the most
 7
     of -- of the refund applications were filed
 8
     and one business day after the others were
 9
     filed, Khimina and Stepanova, as head of
     Moscow Tax Office 25 and 28, approved the
10
11
     $230 million in refunds which, on information
     and belief, amounted to the largest known tax
12
     refund in Russian history."
13
                Is that what you're referring to?
14
           Q.
15
           Α.
                Yes.
                And this is information your team
16
           0.
17
     got from the tax authorities?
                (No verbal response.)
18
           Α.
19
                Where did you get this information?
           Q.
20
           A. I don't know.
21
               Is it legal for you to have tax
22
     information from taxpayers other than your
23
     own?
24
           A. Yes.
25
           Q. It's legal?
```

Page 231 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. Yes. 3 Q. Anybody can have access to tax information in Russia? 4 5 Α. No. 6 0. So why is it legal for you to have 7 it? 8 Α. Because we got it legally. How did you get it legally? 9 0. A. I don't know. 10 11 You're sure you got it legally, but you don't know how you got it? 12 13 Α. Yes. And what makes you so sure you got 14 Q. it legally? 15 16 Because I work with reliable people who do things by the law. 17 18 Now, Mr. Kleiner, according to your 19 book, went into Moscow. He has sources, you 20 say, and he got information. So why wouldn't he tell you who the 21 22 sources are if he has information that's 23 legally obtained? 24 A. What's the question? 25 Q. Why wouldn't he tell you who the

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 sources are if he has information that's
- 3 legally obtained?
- 4 A. The question -- you're making an
- 5 assumption with a question.
- Q. I'm quoting your book which says,
- 7 "Mr. Kleiner said, 'I have sources in -- in
- 8 Moscow, and he came back with information, I
- 9 think, from the Central Bank.'"
- 10 Why would he need sources if it was
- 11 legal to have the information?
- 12 A. Are you asking about this
- information or are you asking about different
- 14 information?
- 15 Q. I'm asking about the information in
- 16 the book.
- 17 A. Which -- which information?
- 18 Q. That Mr. Kleiner got from the
- 19 Central Bank --
- 20 A. Okay --
- 21 Q. -- from sources?
- 22 A. Okay. So you're -- you're not --
- 23 you're asking about the Central Bank, not --
- 24 not about the tax records now.
- Q. That's right.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. Okay. So what is the question
- 3 about the Central Bank records?
- 4 Q. How -- why would he need sources to
- 5 get legal information?
- A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you have no idea how the
- 8 tax information came in possession of your
- 9 team because they never do anything wrong,
- 10 right?
- 11 A. I -- I don't know how my team got
- 12 this information, and I'm -- I assume and I'm
- 13 confident that it was obtained legally.
- Q. Because that's what you want to
- 15 believe. But you can't give us any facts.
- 16 Give me a fact. Give me a fact.
- 17 Rather than your belief, your general belief,
- 18 give me a fact that would tell me this stuff
- 19 was obtained legally.
- 20 A. Is that a question?
- 21 Q. Yes. Give me a fact.
- 22 A. I have -- I am -- I have no facts
- 23 to give you.
- Q. So the best thing to say was, "I
- 25 believe it was obtained legally, " right?

Page 234 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. MR. KIM: Objection to the form. What's the question? 3 Α. I'll withdraw it. 4 Ο. 5 So you claim that Ms. Stepanova got 6 a lot of money, right? I claim that she and her husband 7 Α. 8 profited from this crime in large amount, yes. 9 Right. And you make the conclusion that she and her husband profited from the 10 11 fact that -- you say -- he got money in his 12 name? 13 That's one of the -- one of the --Α. 14 one of the assumptions about her wealth. 15 Q. Is -- one of the assumptions is that he got money from the \$230 million fraud? 16 17 Α. Correct. And the other assumption is they're 18 19 still married? 20 Another assumption is they live in Α. a -- in a \$20 million house in Moscow on a 21 22 salary of \$38,000 a year. 23 I see. And it shouldn't be very 24 hard, if she lives there, for somebody to 25 knock at that -- knock on the door and say,

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 235 of 386 Page 235 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 "Hello, Ms. Stepanova. We want to ask you some questions," but nobody's ever done that, 3 right? 4 5 I'm not sure who has or hasn't 6 knocked on her door. 7 I see. Has anybody on your team 8 ever spoken to Ms. Stepanova? 9 Α. No. 10 Has anybody on your team ever 11 observed her at that \$20 million house? 12 Nobody on my team has, but I --Α. 13 I've some -- received information from people who have --14 Q. Okay. 15 -- observed her. 16 "People." Can you give me some 17 18 names? 19 Alexander Perepilichny. Α. 20 O. Who is deceased? 21 Α. Correct.

- 22 Q. Did he give you the information in
- 23 writing?
- A. He gave us a bunch of bank
- 25 documents about Ms. -- or Ms. Stepanova's

Page 236 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. husband's account. Right. About the husband's 3 Q. accounts. But none of these documents 4 5 mentioned Ms. Stepanova, correct? 6 Α. I'm not sure. 7 Well, why don't we take a look at 8 them. You sent the documents to the Swiss 9 authorities, right? 10 Α. Correct. MR. CYMROT: Let me mark an 11 12 exhibit. This is Exhibit 15. It's a 13 letter dated 28 January 2011 to the attorney general of Switzerland and 14 others. It's signed by Neil 15 Micklethwaite. 16 17 (Browder Exhibit 15, document 18 Bates stamped PREV\_000002326 was 19 marked for identification, as of this 20 date.) 21 BY MR. CYMROT: 22 Can you identify that document? 23 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was held.) 24 25 A. Yes.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 BY MR. CYMROT:
- 3 Q. All right. Do you recognize it.
- 4 What is it?
- 5 A. This is the Complaint that was
- 6 filed by Brown Rudnick on behalf of Hermitage
- 7 Capital Management to the Swiss attorney
- 8 general in January 2011 in relation to the --
- 9 part of the --
- 10 Q. Speak up a little.
- 11 A. You want me to repeat what I said
- 12 or just --
- 13 Q. No, no. Keep going.
- 14 A. -- in relation to the money that
- 15 showed up in Switzerland from the \$230 million
- 16 tax-rebate fraud.
- 17 Q. Okay. So let's go to the Verified
- 18 Complaint first and then go -- did you provide
- 19 this Swiss document to the U.S. government?
- 20 A. I don't remember.
- 21 Q. Do you know what the -- well, let's
- go to the Amended Complaint, paragraph 97.
- So you say -- you didn't say -- the
- 24 U.S. government said. And actually starts in
- 25 96 and it's...

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 All right. So in 96 it says, "For
- 3 example, Arivust Holdings is a Cyprus-based
- 4 company with a bank account at Swiss bank
- 5 Credit Suisse." Right?
- A. Um-hum. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you say -- and, well, the
- 8 government says that it was beneficially owned
- 9 by Stepanov, that then-husband of Stepanova,
- 10 right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And then 97 says
- 13 there -- "On February 5, 2008, there was a
- 14 wire transfer route -- routed through the
- 15 Southern District of New York, the Banca
- 16 de Economii account transferred 726,000 to an
- 17 account at a Latvian bank in the name of
- 18 Nomirex Trading Limited, right?
- 19 A. Um-hum.
- Q. And then in two transfers in
- 21 February 2008 the Nomirex account transferred
- 22 almost 4 million euros to an account in the
- 23 name of the British Virgin Island Company
- 24 Quartell Trading Limited, which promptly
- 25 transferred over 150,000 of that money to

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Baikonur Worldwide Limited, also a Virgin
- 3 Island company.
- 4 And in five transfers from on or
- 5 about May 26, 2- -- 2008, through on or about
- 6 June 17, 2008, Baikonur, which on information
- 7 and belief was shared ownership with Quartell,
- 8 sent 7.1 million euros to Arivust.
- 9 And then it concludes that Stepanov
- 10 received the 7.1 because, according to the
- 11 Complaint, he owned Arivust right?
- 12 A. That's what it says.
- 13 Q. Okay. And that information -- does
- 14 that information come from this letter -- what
- 15 -- what is this? -- which is -- been marked
- 16 as Exhibit 15.
- 17 A. I -- I'm not able to
- 18 cross-reference these two documents to -- to
- 19 certify that everything there came from one
- 20 place or another.
- 21 Q. And you don't know whether this
- 22 information in Exhibit 15 was given to the
- 23 U.S. government, right?
- 24 A. I don't know if it was or wasn't.
- 25 Well -- yeah.

```
Page 240
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                And this information came from
           Q.
     Mr. Pere- -- Perepilichny?
 3
 4
           Α.
               Perepilichny.
 5
           0.
               Perepilich --
 6
           Α.
               Perepilichny.
                I'm sorry. I'll have trouble
 7
           Q.
 8
     saying it.
 9
                And who was he?
                He was a whistle-blower from
10
11
     Russia.
12
           O. So he was a whistle-blower. That's
     your description of him?
13
14
           Α.
               Yes.
               What's his first name?
15
           Q.
16
           A. Alexander.
               Right. And what job did he have in
17
           Ο.
18
     Russia?
19
               He was some type of finan- --
20
     financier.
21
           Q.
               And he got the information from
22
     somebody else, right?
23
                I believe he got the -- was
24
     managing the accounts of Stepanova.
25
           Q. And so was it -- you were going to
```

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 241 of 386 Page 241 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 tell me it's legal for him to be managing accounts of a private client and turn 3 information over to your organization and that's legal in Russia? 5 6 Α. I don't know. 7 Are you -- would you be concerned 8 if it wasn't legal? 9 Α. Yes. Q. But you didn't explore whether it 10 11 was legal. 12 Is that true? You didn't explore 13 whether it was legal? 14 This had nothing to do with Russia. 15 Q. Well, he was managing the accounts with --16 17 He was managing the accounts of -in Switzerland. 18 19 Q. I see. Well, we certainly know 20 that Swiss banking information is secret, right? 21 22 Α. Right.

- 23 Q. And he gave you information, right,
- 24 banking information?
- 25 A. Correct.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 242 of 386 Page 242 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. So you know it's illegal when you Q. 3 got it. Isn't that true? Α. 4 No. 5 Swiss banking information is private and subject to privacy laws. He gives 6 you the information. But what makes it legal 7 8 then? For who? 9 Α. 10 For you to possess it. 11 Α. We didn't disclose any confidential 12 secrets. 13 Q. You didn't disclose any confidential secrets? What's this letter all 14 about? 15 16 A. This is a letter of complaint to 17 the Swiss -- to the Swiss government. 18 He disclosed it to you. Isn't that 19 illegal? 20 Α. I don't know. 21 Q. And you didn't explore whether it 22 was legal or not?

- 23 I assumed it was legal.
- 24 Q. You assumed it was legal. Even
- 25 though it was Swiss -- you're in the finance

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 243 of 386 Page 243 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 business. You can just give up client information and it's legal to anybody in the 3 world? 4 5 If it's not your client. 6 Q. How many -- but this was his 7 client. 8 Α. He didn't -- are you a- -- so 9 could -- could you rephrase the question, please. 10 11 You're in finance business. You've been in it for how many years? 12 Since 1992. 13 Α. Q. So a lot of years. 14 15 If you have client information, you can just give it up to anybody and it's legal? 16 17 A. I didn't say that. 18 Q. You know it's illegal? 19 A. For who? 20 Q. For you to give up client information. 21

- 22 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 23 A. I wasn't giving any client
- 24 information.
- 25 Q. You know the law because you

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 participated in the industry for years. Your
- 3 client information is confidential. Isn't
- 4 that true?
- 5 A. My client information is.
- 6 Q. And his client information,
- 7 Mr. Perepilichny's client information was
- 8 private to him and his client. Isn't that
- 9 true?
- 10 A. I don't know what his arrangements
- 11 were with his client.
- 12 Q. You think his arrangement was that
- 13 he could just give up what you're claiming is
- 14 information about a fraud to you and it's
- 15 legal for him to do that?
- 16 A. I didn't do a legal -- I didn't do
- 17 a legal analysis.
- 18 Q. Are you really serious about this?
- 19 Come one. Do we have to fence about this?
- 20 You knew it was illegal to receive -- for him
- 21 to give up the information, and maybe you had
- 22 a little thought about whether it was legal
- 23 for you to receive the information, but you
- 24 didn't do anything to find out.
- MR. KIM: Objection to form.

```
Page 245
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Q.
                Isn't that the situation?
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
 3
           Α.
                Could you rephrase the question,
 5
     please.
6
           0.
                No.
 7
                MR. KIM: Okay.
 8
           Q.
                You knew it was illegal for him to
     give up the information.
 9
10
                Is that a question?
           Α.
11
           0.
               Yes.
              It sounded look a statement.
12
           Α.
13
               Did you know it was illegal for him
           Q.
     to give up the information?
14
           Α.
15
                No.
16
                All right. And that's your --
     you're under oath and you're sticking with
17
     that answer.
18
19
           A. I didn't know -- I didn't even
20
     know -- yes -- that that was --
21
           Q. You didn't even think about it,
22
     right? You were thrilled to get it. Private
     information from a private citizen, and you
23
24
     were thrilled to get it. Isn't that true?
25
           A. What's the question?
```

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 246 of 386 Page 246 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. You were thrilled to get it, Q. weren't you? 3 4 The question was I -- was I 5 thrilled to get it? 6 0. Yes. 7 I was -- it was a breakthrough in 8 our investigation to get this information. 9 Right. And you knew it was illegal for him to give it up, and it was illegal for 10 11 you to receive it, but you didn't care because 12 he's a whistle-blower and that makes it all 13 okay. Right? 14 MR. KIM: Objection to form to the 15 multiple questions. 16 Could you rephrase the question and Α. break them down into simple questions. 17 Because, according to you, he's a 18 19 whistle-blower, you were happy to get 20 illegally obtained information, right? 21 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 22 Could you rephrase the question, 23 please.

25 A. I don't understand the question.

Page 247 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. I'll do it one more time, but Ο. 3 you're just fencing and we both know that you know the answer to this. 4 5 It was illegal for Mr. Perepilichny 6 to give up that information from a private client to you. 7 True? 8 A. I don't know. 9 And you knew it was illegal for you 10 to obtain the information. Isn't that true? 11 Α. No. 12 But you did nothing to find out 13 whether it was legal or illegal, correct? 14 We assumed it was legal. Α. 15 Q. How could it possibly be legal in your industry? 16 17 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 18 How could it possibly be legal in 19 your industry for Mr. Perepilichny to give up 20 client information? 21 Α. Is there another way you can 22 rephrase that question? 23 Ο. No.

- A. The answer is many ways.
- 25 Q. Okay. So you pass the in --

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. information along to the Swiss authorities, right? And you made -- your lawyers made an 3 4 argument on your behalf about why this showed 5 it was money from the \$230 million fraud, 6 right? 7 Α. We've -- the -- the lawyers 8 representing Hermitage filed a complaint with 9 the Swiss attorney general on the 28th of 10 January, 2011, alleging money laundering in 11 relation to the \$230 million. 12 All right. So let's go to page 3 of the letter. 13 14 MR. KIM: Talking about 15 Exhibit 15? That letter?
- MR. KIM: Okay.
- MR. CYMROT: Yes.
- 19 BY MR. CYMROT:

16

- 20 Q. So you describe -- your lawyers
- 21 describe red flags: Aikate was established as

MR. CYMROT: Yes, that's --

- 22 a shell company in the British Virgin Islands
- 23 on 29 January 2007. BVI is a jurisdiction
- 24 that's considered to be a high risk for money
- 25 laundering due it's minimal due diligence

Page 249 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. requirements in connection with corporate 3 registrations." You see where I'm reading? 4 5 Α. I do. 6 0. You registered companies in the 7 BVI? 8 Α. I have. For the purpose of money 9 10 laundering? 11 Α. No. 12 So not everybody who registers 13 companies in the BVI are for -- engaged in money laundering, right? 14 15 Α. Yes. So how did you establish that 16 17 Mr. Stepanov had an interest in Aikate? 18 I'd have to go back to the back of 19 the Complaint to find the documents. Would 20 you like me to do that? 21 Q. Yes. 22 I'm on page 2326 of 2- -- I'm 23 sorry. I don't know how to refer you to

- 24 pages. Is it on the right-hand side or the
- 25 left-hand side?

Page 250 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. MR. LEVINE: The left-hand side. The left-hand side. 3 Q. On the left-hand side would be 4 Α. 5 0002438. 6 2438. Okay. Thank you. 0. 7 All right. And how does this 8 establish that? This is a document from Aikate 9 Properties signed by the director saying, 10 11 "Interior service. I herewith confirm in --12 in confidence and on the understanding that this statement is made on the basis of 13 information data which I have with respect to 14 15 the underwritten company. As on date of this letter, that name and citizenship, Mr. Vladlen 16 17 Stepanov, Russian Federation, passport details 51 No. 2034225 DD 16122003, date of birth 17 18 19 July 2 -- 1962, is the sole and ultimate 20 beneficial owner of Aikate Properties Inc., a 21 company incorporated in the British Virgin 22 Islands, and that the above-referenced company 23 isn't involved in any money-laundering 24 activities to the best of our knowledge. No 25 action has been taken to wind up the affairs

Page 251 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 of this company." So where did you get this document? 3 Q. Alexander Perepilichny. Α. 5 Did you pay him anything for that? 0. 6 Α. No. 7 Anybody pay him anything for that? 8 Α. No. And how do you know he didn't 9 create this document? 10 11 Α. We don't know for sure whether he 12 did or didn't. 13 Okay. And the signatures, you don't know whether they are real people or 14 real signatures? 15 A. I -- I don't know. 16 17 So he gives you a document out of the blue, and you're going to rely upon that, 18 19 right? 20 Α. No. 21 All right. So then how did you 22 determine whether it was an authentic document? 23 We tried to test different 24 Α. 25 documents that he gave to us that could be

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 tested, to see whether they were authentic, to
- 3 make a generalization about everything he
- 4 provided to us.
- 5 Q. All right. So what did you test?
- 6 A. We were given information by
- 7 Alexander Perepilichny about the real estate
- 8 in Dubai that belongs to Vladlen Stepanov, and
- 9 we were able to cross-reference that with
- 10 Dubai property databases.
- 11 Q. Anything else?
- 12 A. We were given information about the
- 13 property that Vladlen Stepanov and his wife,
- 14 Olga Stepanova, owned in Moscow, and we were
- able to cross-reference that with property
- 16 databases.
- 17 Q. And the Moscow property databases,
- 18 that's nowhere in this exhibit, right?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. You want to check? I mean, I
- 21 don't -- I haven't seen it. I've seen Dubai
- 22 records that are towards the back here in the
- 23 name Mr. Stepanov, but I haven't seen anything
- 24 related to Moscow.
- 25 A. Would you like me to go through the

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 253 of 386 Page 253 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. document? 3 Q. No. I don't want you to take the time. 4 5 You have, you claim, records from Moscow databases showing Ms. Stepanova --6 7 Stepanova owned property in Moscow. Is that 8 what you're saying? 9 Α. No. 10 That Mr. Stepanov owned property in 11 Moscow? 12 We've -- we have records that show Α. 13 his properties registered to the name of his 14 mother. His mother. And there's no record 15 Q. of Ms. Stepanova having any property in 16 Moscow, correct? 17 18 Α. No. 19 The assumption is that they're 20 married, that they share assets, they get 21 along, and that somehow she will someday 22 benefit from this property. That's the

- 24 A. Is that a question?
- 25 Q. Yes.

assumption?

```
Page 254
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                What's the question?
                What's your assumption?
 3
           Q.
                Our assumption is that the
 4
           Α.
 5
     property -- the properties in Dubai, the
     properties in Moscow, and the bank accounts
 6
 7
     are all collectively owned by Olga Stepanova
 8
     and her husband Vladlen.
                And there's no fact that would
 9
     support that assumption? That's just your
10
11
     assumption?
12
           Α.
                Yes.
13
                Okay. So there's another -- going
     back to page 4, there's another reference
14
     to -- there's a reference to Arivust.
15
16
                "Cyprus is another jurisdiction
17
     that's considered to be high risk for money
     laundering due to it's low due diligence
18
19
     requirements in connection with corporate
20
     registrations."
21
                Could you just alert me to where
22
     you reading from.
23
                Paragraph 2.
           Ο.
24
           Α.
                Okay.
25
           Q. No. 2.
```

Page 255 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. Okay. 3 Q. So you use Cyprus, correct, as a 4 jurisdiction for corporations, right? 5 Α. Correct. 6 0. And you've told us that you don't 7 use it for money laundering, right? 8 Α. Correct. And So people who use Cyprus don't 9 necessarily use it for money laundering, 10 11 correct? 12 Correct. Α. 13 All right. So Arivust -- there's a similar document in the back for Arivust that 14 you got from Mr. Perepilichny? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 And your assumption is that it's a legitimate document even though you can't do 18 19 anything to confirm it other than what you've 20 described already, right? It's -- when we filed the 21 Α. 22 Complaint; that's correct. 23 Is there anything you can do now? 24 MR. MONTELEONI: A moment to 25 discuss regarding privilege, please.

```
Page 256
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
                MR. CYMROT: Take a break.
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 3
 4
           3:26 p.m. We are off the record.
 5
                 (Whereupon, at this time, a short
 6
           break was taken.)
 7
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 8
           3:39 p.m. We are back on the record.
 9
      BY MR. CYMROT:
                Mr. Browder, I want you to refer to
10
11
     Exhibit 15, page 4. That's where we were.
12
     The paragraph -- at the end of paragraph 3.
13
     Paragraph 3 refers to two companies, Quartell
     and Baikonur. All right?
14
15
                And you're saying -- it says here,
     "Our clients" -- that's Hermitage, right? --
16
     "have discovered that both of these accounts
17
     are controlled by the same entities."
18
19
                You see that?
20
                Could you just give me a better --
           Α.
                Yeah. It said --
21
           Q.
22
           Α.
               Which paragraph is it.
23
           Q.
               Paragraph No. 3.
24
           Α.
                Okay.
25
                It says, "Both of these accounts
           Q.
```

```
Page 257
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
     are controlled by the same entities."
                Wow, wait a minute. We had a
 3
     question pending and a consideration of --
 4
 5
                MR. CYMROT: Could you read back
6
           my last question before the break.
 7
                (Whereupon, the referred to
 8
           question was read back by the
 9
           Reporter.)
10
                MR. CYMROT: Is there anything
           that -- (inaudible.)
11
12
                Well, I asked him the source of
13
           information and you were going to
14
           consider --
15
                MR. MONTELEONI: It may make sense
16
           to just re-ask the question. I don't
17
           know that I remember the specifics.
18
                MR. CYMROT: What was it? Oh.
19
           Okay. Yes.
20
      BY MR. CYMROT:
21
                We were talking about Arivust, and
22
     the question is: Do you have any recent
     information that would show that Mr. Stepanov
23
     controls Arivust?
24
25
           A. Not to my personal knowledge.
```

Page 258 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Does your team have information? Q. I'm not -- I'm not aware. 3 Α. 4 Q. Did you provide any information 5 beyond the information in this letter to the 6 U.S. attorney? 7 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 8 Q. Could you speak up. Not -- not that I'm aware of. 9 10 All right. Okay. So we go to 11 paragraph 3. Then it says, "Our client had -our clients have discovered that both of these 12 13 accounts are controlled by the same entities." We're referring to Quartell and 14 I don't see any information in this 15 Baikonur. letter or the attachments that would show that 16 17 both of these accounts are controlled by the same entities. 18 19 Do you have any facts that would 20 demonstrate that Quartell and Baikonur are 21 controlled by the same entity? 22 No, not to my personal knowledge. 23 Does your team have any information? 24 25 I'm not sure. Α.

Page 259 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Did you dis -- ever discuss this Q. 3 with U.S. Attorney's Office? Α. 4 No. 5 All right. So then we go down to 6 what is described here as Step 1: "The elicit 7 proceeds were first transferred to Quartell's 8 account. A payment of 2 million euros was 9 made on January 23rd from a company 10 incorporated New Zealand Bristoll Export 11 Limited." 12 Do you see that? 13 Α. Yes. And I think you can find that 14 15 information in the exhibits on page 75. So what's the Bates number? 2478. 16 17 Would you take a look at that? 18 Α. Sure. 19 230108. Do you see that? Q. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. So what is this document? 22 says -- just let me read what it says. 23 There's -- appears to be the letterhead of 24 Credit Suisse. There's a current account

number, but a name or something above the

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 260 of 386 Page 260 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. current account number -- below the current account number is crossed out. And it says 3 "Extract of account 01.01.2008 to 31.01.2008." 5 So what is this document? It's a Credit Suisse statement. 6 Α. 7 Q. And who typed in "extract of account"? 8 I don't know. 9 Α. 10 And who crossed out the 11 information? 12 Perepilichny. Α. 13 Do you know why he crossed it out? Did he tell you why? 14 Α. 15 No. 16 All right. So this supposedly is money that came to Quartell from the 17 \$230 million fraud, right? 18 19 Α. I -- I assume so. I'm not -- I'm 20 not a specialist. 21 Q. No, but isn't that what that says?

- 22 Isn't that what the letter says?
- The whole point is that Stepanov
- 24 got money from the \$230 million fraud, right?
- 25 A. Um-hum. Yes.

Page 261 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. All right. So Bristoll Export Q. 3 Limited is not anywhere on your other charts. So what company is that? Who owns that? 5 I'm not sure. 6 And how did that company get the \$2 million --7 8 A. I don't know. -- 2 million euros? 9 10 A. I don't know. 11 So you don't know what the path of the money went to demonstrate that this came 12 from the \$230 million theft of the Russian 13 Treasury right? 14 15 Α. Right. 16 So is this another assumption that, because there's \$2 million that came in on 17 January 28th it must be from the Russian 18 19 Treasury? 20 Α. No. 21 So then how do you say this is the 22 elicit proceeds? 23 I didn't do the tracing. Α. 24 Q. And you have no understanding of

the tracing conducted by your team?

Page 262 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. No. 3 So you have no explanation for how, somewhere, 2 million euros shows up, 4 5 transferred from Bristoll Export to a company called Quartell, and you have no way, as far 6 7 as you know, of tying it to the \$230 million? 8 Α. I'm sorry. What's the question? 9 You have no way of tying this transaction, shown on this page, which is 10 Bates No. 2478, to the \$230 million? 11 12 Personally, I don't. Α. 13 Do you know whether members of your Ο. team have it? 14 A. I would assume so. 15 16 Q. Have you given it to U.S. attorney? 17 What? Α. The information tying this to the 18 19 \$230 million? 20 Α. I'm -- I'm confused. What --21 what -- what -- what are we tying to the \$230 million? 22 23 The payment from Bristoll Export Limited to Quar- -- what you are claiming is 24 25 the Quartell account.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. I don't know.
- 3 Q. And you haven't given us any
- 4 documents that would show that, right?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. So then tracing through this or
- 7 following this letter, there are two more
- 8 transfers that are shown on page 79, which is
- 9 Bates No. 2482. This is from Nomirex. It's
- 10 1.999 million. I assume, although there's no
- 11 denomination here, from the letter it says
- 12 it's euros.
- So how did Nomirex get money from
- 14 the \$230 million?
- 15 A. I have no personal knowledge.
- 16 Q. And, of course, if you can't trace
- 17 this back to the \$230 million, the whole idea
- 18 that Mr. Stepanov got money from the
- 19 \$230 million falls apart, doesn't it?
- 20 A. I'm sorry. What's the question?
- 21 Q. Isn't it true that, if you can't
- 22 trace money into Bristoll Trading and Nomirex
- 23 Trading from the \$230 million, that the whole
- 24 idea that Mr. Stepanov got money from the
- 25 \$230 million falls apart? Nothing on these

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 documents that shows it comes from the
- 3 230 million.
- A. Is that a question or a statement?
- 5 Q. Ask it again: Is there -- if you
- 6 don't have any evidence that Bristoll Trading
- 7 and Nomirex Trading received money from the
- 8 \$230 million, there's no basis for -- to say
- 9 that Mr. Stepanov received money from the
- 10 230 million?
- 11 A. That's incorrect.
- 12 Q. What's the basis?
- 13 A. I don't have a basis, but other
- 14 people do.
- 15 O. You're the one that took this to
- 16 the U.S. Attorney's Office. You've taken this
- 17 public. You've talked about it all over the
- 18 world. You have no idea how this works?
- 19 Is that true?
- 20 A. Could you rephrase the question.
- O. No. You have no idea how it works?
- MR. KIM: Object to the form.
- What's the "this"?
- 24 MR. CYMROT: How this tracing
- works.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. I'm not a specialist on money
- 3 tracing.
- 4 Q. So you have no idea how it works?
- 5 A. I didn't say that.
- Q. Well, explain to me how it works.
- 7 Let's not use the letter of the documents or
- 8 whatever. Explain how you get money from
- 9 \$230 million into an account that you say is
- 10 controlled by Mr. Stepanov.
- 11 A. I have a team of people who work
- 12 for me who are specialists in this area, who I
- 13 trust, who are credible, who put this
- 14 information together.
- 15 Q. And you have no idea how they
- 16 bridged the gap between the 230 and Bristoll
- 17 and Nomirex?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And none of them are accountants by
- 20 the way, right?
- 21 A. There are no accountants on our
- 22 team.
- 23 O. And none of them ever testified in
- 24 a U.S. proceeding about tracing money, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

```
Page 266
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Nobody -- none of them have
           Q.
     testified anywhere in the world about tracing
 3
    money, right?
 4
 5
           Α.
                That's incorrect.
 6
           Ο.
               Who's testified about tracing
 7
    money?
               Vadim Kleiner has testified.
 8
           Α.
              And where has he testified?
 9
           0.
10
               In Switzerland.
           Α.
11
           Q. Do you have that testimony?
                It's -- no. I don't believe we're
12
           Α.
13
     allowed to keep it.
                Did you give it to the U.S.
14
           Q.
    Attorney's Office?
15
16
           Α.
                No.
17
           Q. And he's testified about tracing
     what money?
18
19
           Α.
                The money you're referring to here,
20
     I believe.
21
           Q.
                This -- this exact money?
22
           Α.
              I don't know.
23
                Have you seen his testimony?
           Q.
24
           Α.
               No.
25
                Have the Swiss gone forward with a
           Q.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 criminal case against anybody trying a case --
- 3 charges against anybody based upon
- 4 Mr. Kleiner's testimony?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. Well, okay. So you get money
- 7 from -- your team gets money from Bristoll and
- 8 Nomirex into an account with a name crossed
- 9 out that they tell us is the Quartell account,
- 10 but they don't have any money going to
- 11 Mr. Stepanov based upon that. Instead,
- 12 there's another series of account statements
- that start on page 81, which is deposition
- 14 exhibit -- I'm sorry -- Bates No. 2484 and
- 15 No. 15, Exhibit 15, and these accounts -- do
- 16 you know what these accounts are?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What are they? Starting on 2484
- 19 and going over the next subsequent pages, what
- 20 are they?
- 21 A. 2484, 2485, and 2486 are bank
- 22 details that come from Citibank.
- 23 Q. So they're bank details of -- of
- 24 what? I -- I read here Raiffeisen
- 25 Zentralbank, with a Z, account name. So where

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 did you get -- I mean, what -- first, what is
- 3 it, if you know?
- 4 A. These are account statements.
- 5 Q. For Raiffeisen Zentralbank?
- 6 A. Held at Citibank New York.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what does Raiffeisen
- 8 Zentralbank have to do with this case?
- 9 A. I'm not sure. I think they were --
- 10 they were -- they were the correspondent bank.
- 11 They were the correspondent bank for Universal
- 12 Savings Bank, Intercommerz Bank. I can't
- 13 remember which one.
- Q. Okay. Well, we got 6 million euros
- into Quartell, and I don't see any transfers
- 16 from Quartell into this account, at least
- 17 nothing of substance. Am I missing something?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Well, take a look. Do you see any
- 20 transfers from Quartell?
- 21 A. I'm not sure what -- what to be
- 22 looking for here.
- Q. Well, your team is saying that the
- 24 money went from the Russian Treasury, somehow
- 25 got to Bristoll and Nomirex and into what they

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 say is a Quartell account, and then the next
- 3 thing they say, if we look at the letter, is
- 4 that "wire transfer statistics obtained as a
- 5 result of the court's ruling show that the
- 6 following payments were made to Bristoll and
- 7 Nomirex immediately prior to the payments to
- 8 Ouartell."
- 9 A. Could you just alert me to what
- 10 page your on.
- 11 Q. Yes. Page 5 of the letter, Bates
- 12 No. 2397.
- 13 A. And which paragraph?
- 14 Q. It's the one with the three
- 15 bullets.
- 16 Have you read it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. So they say, "Bristoll and
- 19 Quartell, immediately prior to the payments to
- 20 Quartell" -- "Bristoll and Nom- -- Nomirex,
- 21 immediately prior to the payments to Quartell,
- 22 got \$498,955 U.S. transferred to Bristoll from
- 23 Roland Management Limited."
- Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
Page 270
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                And that's a U.K. company.
           Q.
 3
                What does Roland Management Limited
     have to do with this case?
 4
 5
           Α.
                I don't know.
 6
                And why is it relevant that
 7
     Bristoll got money from Roland Management
     Limited?
 8
               I don't know.
 9
10
           Q. And then on February 5th the amount
11
     of 491,585 was transferred to Bristoll from
12
     Bunicon.
13
                Do you see that?
14
           Α.
                Yes.
15
           Q.
                Why is it relevant that, prior to
     Bristoll and Nomirex making payments to
16
     Quartell, it got money, less than $500,000
17
18
     from Bris- -- from Bunicon?
19
           A. I don't know.
20
              And on the 5th, the amount of
21
     $726,000 was transferred to Nomirex from
22
     Bunicon.
23
                Why is that relevant?
           A. I don't know.
24
25
           Q. And who would know -- be able to
```

```
Page 271
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2
     answer these questions?
 3
           Α.
                My team.
           Ο.
                Who on your team?
 5
           Α.
               Lawyers and Vadim.
 6
               So if we go back to the account
 7
     statements.
 8
           Α.
              Which page?
 9
              Well, wait a minute. It goes
10
     "Step 2" -- the same letter, page 5 -- "Four
11
    months after the funds were transferred to
    Quartell, sim- -- simmer -- similar amounts
12
     were transferred from Baikonur account to
13
14
     Arivust."
15
                So how do you get the money from
     Ouartell to Baikonur?
16
17
              I don't know.
           Α.
18
                All right. And I think we've had
19
     enough. You're not going to be able to help
20
     us with any of this? Fill the gaps that
    don't and aren't explained in these documents,
21
22
    right?
23
                MR. KIM: Objection to form.
24
                MR. CYMROT: That's editorial.
25
           I'll withdraw it.
```

```
Page 272
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2
           Q. So if you can go to Bates
     No. 2489 -- 2488.
 3
 4
                Do you know what this document is?
 5
           Α.
               Bank statement.
6
           0.
               From what account?
 7
           Α.
             Credit Suisse.
 8
           0.
               What account? Who's the account
    holder?
 9
10
             I don't know.
11
           Q. It's crossed out here, right?
12
    Correct?
13
           Α.
             Correct.
             Where did you get this document?
14
           Q.
15
           Α.
             Alexander Perepilichny.
           Q. So there's a payment made from
16
    whatever account this is to Arivust, but you
17
    don't know how the money got into this
18
19
    account, right?
20
                I don't know.
21
           Q. You don't know. And you can't
22
    trace this -- you don't know how to trace this
     to the $230 million fraud, right?
23
          A. I don't know.
24
25
           Q. So they're payments here to
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Quartell and payments to Arivust, right?
- A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. Well, I'm just looking at the
- 5 document.
- 6 A. I see two payments on the 26th of
- 7 May, 2008, for 1.5 million to Arivust, and it
- 8 looks like a payment from -- I'm sorry -- a
- 9 payment to Ar--- and a payment to and payment
- 10 from Quartell on the 26th of May.
- 11 Q. 130,000?
- 12 A. 130,000.
- 13 Q. All right. And the 1.5 million
- 14 that appears to cover the payment from Arivust
- is a foreign exchange transaction outright
- 16 short, meaning it's a loan from the bank,
- 17 right? Is that how you interpret that?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. "Foreign exchange outright short."
- 20 How would you interpret that?
- 21 A. I would -- I'm not an expert on
- 22 bank state -- Credit Suisse bank statements.
- 23 So I'd rather not try to interpret it.
- 24 O. But whatever that is, that seems to
- 25 cover the 1.5 that was made to Arivust?

Page 274 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. I don't know. So we don't know where that came 3 0. 4 from then, right? 5 Α. I don't know. 6 There's nothing showing this money 7 coming from Quartell and then being 8 transferred from whatever account this is to 9 Arivust, right? I don't know. 10 Α. 11 So there's no chain here that you 12 can perceive, right? I don't know. 13 Α. O. So the next series of documents --14 you wouldn't -- you don't have any idea what 15 any of these transactions are, right? 16 17 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 18 Which transactions? 19 MR. CYMROT: The ones that are on 20 the Credit Suisse bank statements for 21 the account where the name has been 22 crossed out. 23 Which transaction are you referring Α. 24 to? 25 Q. 2488, 2489.

Page 275 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Which -- which ones are you asking Α. me about? 3 4 Q. Can you give me any or -- anymore 5 information about where the money came, for 6 instance, on the --7 MR. CYMROT: No. Keep that. 8 2491, where did the money come from 9 that went to Aikate Properties? 250,000-something? 10 11 Which payment are we looking at Α. 12 now? 13 0. 2491. A. And which one on -- on 2491? 14 15 Q. The first transaction at the top. 16 So payment order, electronic Α. 17 banking, Aikate Properties, debit \$250,000. 18 Right. That's --19 It would have been a -- been a Α. 20 to -- this looks like a payment to Aikate 21 Properties on the first of July 2009. 22 Right. Do you know where that 23 money came from? A. I don't know. 24

Q. Okay. So the next series of

Page 276 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)documents starts on Credit Sui- -- Suisse 2 documents that look like transfer -- wire 3 transfers, right? 5 What page? Α. 6 2493. So that's a transfer of 7 629,000 to something called Emerald Palace 8 Group Limited, right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And the payment is for the benefit 11 of Mr. Stepanov, right? That's correct. 12 Α. 13 Makes no mention of Stepanova, Ο. right? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 And the next payment on March 6th, that's for the benefit of Mr. Stepanov, right? 17 18 Α. March 26th. 19 Q. Next page. 20 A. March 26th. You said "March 6th." Q. I meant March 26th. 21 22 Α. Okay. It's for the benefit of 23 0. 24 Mr. Stepanov, correct?

That's correct.

Α.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 277 of 386 Page 277 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. June 8th, for the benefit -- keep Q. going -- benefit of Mr. Stepanov. No mention 3 of Stepanova, right? 5 Α. Correct. 6 September, same thing. Investment of building. It says "for the benefit of 7 8 Mr. Stepanov, " correct? 9 Α. Correct. 10 Q. Appears to be a unit in the 11 building. 12 Then you get to the next one, Sunny 13 Beach Properties, 25th of April 2007, right? 14 Correct. Α. So that's before the \$230 million 15 0. fraud, right? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 Mr. Stepanov -- and this doesn't 19 mention Mr. Stepanov. Sunny Beach Properties, 20 right? 21 A. Yes.

- 22 O. There's one in October of '07.
- 23 Doesn't mention anybody other than Sunny Beach
- 24 Properties.
- Do you know who owns Sunny Beach

Page 278 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 Properties? 3 Α. I'm not sure. 4 Well, if you go to the next page, 5 Sunny Beach Properties, details of payment, 6 payment for real estate for Mr. Stepanov, 7 right? 8 Α. Yes. 9 So you have a couple of 10 transactions where he's buying substantial apartments -- \$252,000, \$277,000 -- before the 11 12 \$230 million fraud occurred, right? 13 Α. No. 14 And he continues that afterwards? Q. On page 90 -- 2499. This was 15 Α. April 15, 2008. 16 17 0. Right. 18 The fraud occurred in December of 19 2007. 20 0. Yes. But the two prior ones were March -- October 18, 2007, and April 25, 2007, 21 22 and at that point he's spending a substantial 23 amount of money for Sunny Beach Properties. Looks like he's buying properties, unit --24

Unit 424. The other one doesn't have a unit

Page 279 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. attached to it. So before the fraud, he's spending 3 substantial amount of money buying real 5 estate, right? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And none of this ever mentions 8 Ms. Stepanova, right? 9 Α. So far, no. So far. Is there any that mess --10 11 mention Ms. Stepanova? 12 Not that I'm aware of. Α. 13 The next one --14 Q. Keep going. The next one mentions Elena 15 Α. Anisimova, who is the deputy of Ms. Stepanova 16 in the Tax Office No. 28. 17 Okay. But there's nothing there 18 19 that mentions Ms. Stepanova either, right? 20 Α. This woman was also involved in the 21 tax refunds. 22 So have you gone to the real estate records in Dubai? 23 24 A. Yes.

Q. Did you find any evidence of

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 ownership of this property in real estate --
- 3 of the properties that Mr. Stepanov bought?
- 4 A. There's an ownership of a property
- 5 in Dubai that he's bought.
- Q. And is there any indication that
- 7 Ms. Stepanova has an interest in that
- 8 property?
- 9 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 10 Q. So be to be clear, there's not a
- 11 single document that you've been able to come
- 12 up with anywhere where Ms. Stepanova owns a
- 13 bank account that received money from the
- 14 \$230 million fraud or owns real estate from
- 15 the \$230 million fraud or has any other asset
- 16 that she's received from the \$230 million
- 17 fraud, right?
- 18 A. She's not a direct recipient in any
- 19 of the documents that we have.
- 20 Q. And she's not -- and there are no
- 21 documents indicating she's an indirect
- 22 recipient either; is that correct?
- 23 A. There's no documents, but we
- 24 believe that the assets that belong to her
- 25 husband are jointly owned by her.

Page 281 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. And you have no facts that would Q. support that belief, correct? 3 Α. Common sense. 4 5 Your common sense? 6 And a lot of other people's. 7 Q. There are no documents, there are 8 no facts, other than this assumption, that 9 Ms. Stepanova received anything from the \$230 million fraud; isn't that true? 10 There's no documents with her name 11 Α. 12 on them. 13 And Mr. Stepanov and Ms. Stepanova Ο. are divorced, correct? 14 Α. I'm not sure. 15 16 Have you checked the records in 17 Moscow? There's some question about those 18 19 records. 20 0. Are there records that indicate 21 they are divorced? 22 Α. There are. 23 And what is the question about

those records other than the fact that you

24

25

don't like them?

```
1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
```

- 2 A. The timing of the records and the
- 3 voracity of the records, but I'm not an expert
- 4 on these records.
- 5 Q. Is there something on the records
- 6 that give somebody -- strike that.
- 7 Do you have the records?
- A. I don't.
- 9 Q. Does your team have the records?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Don't you think if you're going to
- 12 accuse somebody of a huge fraud, that you
- ought to collect the relevant documents?
- 14 A. What's the question?
- 15 Q. Don't you think that if you're
- 16 going to accuse somebody, as you have, of
- 17 enormous fraud, you ought to collect the
- 18 relevant documents?
- 19 A. My team has collected the relevant
- 20 documents, which were submitted to the Swiss
- 21 prosecutor and submitted to the New York
- 22 District Attorney's office about this fraud.
- 23 Q. But you haven't gotten the divorce
- 24 records?
- 25 A. I'm not -- not to my knowledge.

Page 283 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 So anything that might upset your Q. theory, you decide you don't need? 3 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 4 5 Is that right? 0. 6 Α. Could you rephrase the question? 7 Q. Yes. Isn't it true that any 8 document that might upset your theory, you don't go and get it? 9 10 Α. No. 11 Well, you didn't get the divorce records, right? 12 A. I didn't. 13 Q. And your team didn't, as far as you 14 know? 15 16 I just said that not to my Α. knowledge do they have them. I didn't say 17 they didn't. 18 19 Q. Well, you don't know they have 20 them, right? 21 A. I don't know. Not to my knowledge. 22 Right. Mr. Stepanov has challenged 23 you, sir, in court, hasn't he? A. I've never been to court with

25 Mr. Stepanov.

```
Page 284
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
               He's sued a reporter who
           Q.
     republished the information on your website;
 3
     isn't that true?
 4
 5
           Α.
                No.
 6
           Ο.
               No?
 7
           Α.
              No.
 8
           Q. You're not aware of that?
 9
           A. He didn't sue a reporter.
10
           Q. Who did he sue?
11
           A. He sued an activist.
12
           Q. I see. This is like a
13
    whistleblower, another label you give people.
14
                Who's this activist?
15
           Α.
               Aleksei Navalny.
16
           Q. And Mr. Stepanov sued
    Aleksei Navalny, right?
17
18
           Α.
                Yes.
19
           Q. And it was Mr. Stepanov who -- who
20
     won, right?
21
             That's correct.
           Α.
22
             Got a judgment for defamation
23
     against Mr. Navalny, right?
24
           A. Correct.
25
           Q. For republishing the information on
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 your website?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Are you aware of any information
- 5 that the U.S. Attorney's Office has collected
- 6 beyond the information in Exhibit 46 related
- 7 to the Stepanov -- Stepanov payment?
- 8 A. Could you show me Exhibit 46.
- 9 Q. That's the one we've been looking
- 10 at. Oh, I'm sorry, my mistake. It's my
- 11 Tab 46, but it's Exhibit 15.
- 12 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 13 Q. Yes, thank you. Are you aware of
- 14 any information other than the information in
- 15 Exhibit 15 that the U.S. Attorney's Office has
- 16 corrected with respect to whether Mr. Stepanov
- 17 received funds from the \$230 million fraud?
- 18 A. I'm not aware.
- 19 Q. Is it your belief that the
- 20 allegations in the Complaint were based upon
- 21 the information in Exhibit 15?
- A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. In your conversations with Agent
- 24 Hyman, did he ever talk to you about how you
- 25 trace money to Mr. Stepanov?

Page 286 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. No. 3 Q. Anybody in the U.S. Attorney's 4 Office have a conversation with you on that 5 subject? 6 Α. Not to my recollection. 7 According to paragraph 100 of the 8 Amended Complaint -- and you've already 9 referred to this -- Mr. Stepanov tax returns 10 show income of \$38,000 basically, right? 11 Α. I'm sorry, which paragraph is that? 12 100. Q. 13 Α. Yes. So Mr. Kleiner got the tax returns 14 Q. 15 for Mr. Stepanov? 16 I'm not sure where they came from. 17 I believe your book says Mr. Kleiner got them, but you don't know? 18 19 Α. I'm not sure. 20 Let's look at in Exhibit 15, Bates 21 Nos. '2451 through '2460. 22 Do you know what these documents 23 are? 24 No. Α. 25 Do you know whether it would be Q.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 287 of 386 Page 287 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 legal in Russia for Mr. Kleiner to have income tax returns from of Mr. Stepanov? 3 A. I'm not sure. 5 You're not sure? 6 A. I don't know. 7 Q. Are income tax returns public in 8 Russia? I don't know. 9 Did you ask Mr. Kleiner where he 10 11 got income tax returns? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Because you didn't want to know? 14 Α. No. Q. Aren't you curious? You got 15 \$38,000 from income tax returns; that's an 16 unusual fact, isn't it? It's unusual to have 17 that kind of information, isn't it? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Do you have income tax returns for 21 other people?

- 22 Lots of people have income tax
- 23 returns.
- 24 Q. Lots of people have other people's
- 25 income tax returns?

Page 288 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Sure. Politicians, et cetera. Α. Politicians have other people's 3 income tax returns? 4 5 No, politicians' income tax 6 returns. 7 Lots of people have politicians' 8 income tax returns; Mr. Stepanov is not a 9 politician, is he? Α. 10 No. 11 Q. What business is he in? 12 A. I'm not sure. 13 Did you investigate what business Q. he was -- he was in? 14 15 Α. My team did. Q. And what did they discover? 16 17 He was a smalltime businessman. Α. 18 Q. "Smalltime" being what? 19 Having average earnings of -- with 20 his wife of \$38,000 a year. Q. Do income tax returns in Russia 21 22 require reporting of foreign income? I'm not a Russian accountant. 23 Α. 24 Q. Do you file income tax returns in 25 Russia?

```
Page 289
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                My firm did on my behalf.
           Α.
                Did they report foreign income?
 3
           Q.
           Α.
                I don't know.
 4
 5
                In the United States, you know we
 6
     have to report foreign income, right?
 7
           Α.
                I don't know.
 8
           Q. You gave up your U.S. citizenship
     in 1998, right?
 9
10
           Α.
                Correct.
11
               Just as the laws about reporting
     foreign income came into effect; is that
12
     right?
13
14
                I don't know.
                Why did you give up your U.S.
15
           Q.
     citizenship in 1998?
16
17
              I immigrated to the U.K. ten years
     earlier.
18
19
               So the U.K. required you to give up
20
     your U.S. citizenship?
21
           Α.
                No.
22
                So why did you give up your U.S.
     citizenship?
23
           A. Personal reasons.
24
25
           Q. And what are those personal
```

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 290 of 386 Page 290 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. reasons? 3 My family was persecuted during the 4 McCarthy era. 5 And your father is the head of the 6 economics department where, what university? 7 Α. He's not --8 O. Where was he? 9 My father was a professor of mathematics at the University of Chicago. 10 11 Q. Was the head of the department at some point? 12 13 A. Yes. And your uncle, what position did 14 Q. he have? 15 He was a mathematician at 16 Α. 17 Princeton. Head of the department at one 18 19 point? 20 Α. Yes. 21 But your concern that your family 22 was persecuted, but they made it to the head

- 23 of the department of two prestigious
- 24 universities and that's why you gave up your
- 25 U.S. citizenship?

Page 291 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. Yes. 3 Q. What kind of persecution did you face? 4 5 My grandmother was sick with cancer 6 and the U.S. Government tried to deport her to 7 Russia when she was dying. 8 0. What year was that? 9 In 1950 something. I see. And so 1998, this all came 10 11 back as a rush of emotion and you decided to give up your U.S. citizenship? 12 13 Α. No. So as far as you're concerned, it 14 was fine for Mr. Kleiner to have 15 Mr. Stepanova's -- Mr. Stepanov's tax returns, 16 17 right, nothing illegal about that, as far as you know? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Let me ask you this: Money comes 21 out of the treasury, Russian Treasury, and 22 goes to USB and Intercommerz, right? 23 Yes. Α. 24 Who controlled the money at that 25 point?

Page 292 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. I don't know. At what point -- but once it's in 3 USB and it's in Intercommerz, the fraud is 4 5 accomplished, as far as you're concerned, 6 right? I don't know. 7 Α. 8 Q. Wasn't it the organization that 9 transferred the money to those two banks? 10 Α. Yes. 11 So at that point they have control over the money, right? 12 I don't know. 13 Α. Well, under your theory at what 14 point does the organization get control over 15 16 the money? 17 A. I don't know. 18 And you don't know who's directing 19 the money? 20 Α. I don't know. 21 Well, do you know why the transfers 22 were made further down the line to other 23 accounts? A. I don't know. 24 25 To your knowledge, were there any

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 U.S. citizens or residents involved in the
- 3 theft?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. You're not aware of any?
- A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. You're not aware of any -- you are
- 8 not aware of any U.S. citizens or residents
- 9 involved in the theft, are you?
- 10 A. I just don't know.
- 11 Q. Well, do you have theories about
- 12 U.S. citizens or residents who are involved in
- 13 the theft?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And there are no events to steal
- 16 the money that occurred in the United States,
- 17 right?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. You're not aware of any, right?
- A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. And as far as you know, the people
- 22 who stole the money were Russians? As far as
- 23 you know, the people you named were all
- 24 Russian, right?
- 25 A. Yes. Yes.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. And the acts to steal the money all
- 3 occurred in Russia, as far as you know, right?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. To steal the money? In other
- 6 words, to get the money out of the treasury,
- 7 there are acts that occurred outside of
- 8 Russia?
- 9 A. In the Amended Complaint and the
- 10 original Complaint there's a whole series of
- 11 arguments about planning of the -- of the
- 12 fraud in Cyprus.
- 13 O. I see. Okay. There are no
- 14 planning events described in the United States
- 15 though?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. None that you know of?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. You received a Subpoena from the
- 20 United States in February of 2014?
- 21 A. I did, yes.
- Q. We're pulling it out. Well, while
- 23 we're waiting, trial was scheduled by the
- judge on February 4, 2014, for the end of
- 25 March 2014; do you remember that?

```
Page 295
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                T do.
           O. You were at The White House that
 3
     day, February 4, 2014; do you remember that?
 5
           Α.
                No.
 6
           0.
               You were at The White House at the
 7
    beginning of 2014?
           A. I don't remember.
 8
 9
               How many times have you been to The
10
     White House?
11
           A. Once.
12
           Q. When was that?
13
           A. 2000, 2002, something like that.
           Q. Okay. We'll have to pull out some
14
     records. All right. We'll go back to the
15
16
     Subpoena.
17
                (Browder Exhibit 16, Subpoena was
18
           marked for identification, as of this
19
           date.)
20
      BY MR. CYMROT:
                So can you identify -- what number
21
22
     are we up to? What number was that?
23
                MR. LEVINE: Sixteen.
24
           O. Sixteen.
25
                It is for the record what appears
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 to be a Subpoena to William Browder, Hermitage
- 3 Capital Management, Grafton House in London.
- 4 And it calls for appearance in New York on
- 5 31st of March 2014 at 10:00 a.m., Bates
- 6 Nos. '266 to '268.
- 7 Sir, do you recognize this
- 8 document?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you receive this?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 O. By e-mail?
- 13 A. I don't remember.
- 14 Q. How did you get it?
- 15 A. I don't remember.
- 16 Q. Did you have a conversation with
- 17 U.S. Attorney's Office before you received it?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Q. You don't remember. Would you
- 20 speak up, please?
- 21 A. I don't remember.
- 22 Q. It calls for "Please provide any
- 23 and all records in your possession relating to
- 24 any USB [sic] Bank account held in the name of
- 25 Prevezon Holding Ltd."

```
Page 297
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                I'm sorry, where it does it say
           Α.
 3
     that?
 4
           Ο.
                UBS?
 5
           Α.
                Where -- where's that?
 6
                On the second page, rider.
           0.
 7
                 "Related to UBS Bank account held
 8
     in the name of Prevezon Holding."
 9
           Α.
                Yes.
                Did you provide records to the
10
11
     United States in response to the Subpoena?
12
           Α.
                No.
13
           Ο.
                No?
14
           Α.
              No.
15
           Q.
                Do you ever provide records of UBS
     Bank accounts held by Prevezon Holdings, its
16
     affiliates, parents, directors or employees to
17
     the United States?
18
19
              Not to my knowledge.
           Α.
20
                This is the -- did you receive such
     records in connection with the Swiss
21
22
     proceeding?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
                And didn't you turn over records
     from the Swiss proceeding to the U.S.
25
```

Page 298 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)Attorney's Office? 2 3 Α. We did. And didn't they include these bank 5 records? 6 Α. Not to my knowledge. Q. What did they include? 7 Different records. 8 Α. 9 O. What records? 10 Records in connection with Stepanov 11 and Stepanova. 12 So you never disclosed any UBS Bank 13 account records for Prevezon Holding to the United States? 14 Not to my knowledge. 15 Α. 16 Well, would somebody else within your team have done it? 17 18 Α. I don't know. Wouldn't they tell you before they 19 Q. 20 did it? 21 Α. No. 22 Wouldn't that be a significant 23 thing for you to know as the head of the company? 24 25 A. No.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. You mean people can just turn over
- 3 records from one court proceeding to another
- 4 on a matter in which you have been personally
- 5 involved for years and not tell you?
- 6 A. Could you rephrase the question?
- 7 Q. Yes. It's possible that your team
- 8 would turn over records from the Swiss
- 9 proceeding to the United States without
- 10 telling you?
- 11 A. I kind of doubt it, but I don't
- 12 know.
- 13 Q. So you don't think it happened?
- 14 A. I don't -- I just don't know.
- 15 Q. Do you see the next sentence, "Due
- 16 to this ongo-" -- "Due to the ongoing nature
- of the investigation, we request that you do
- 18 not disclose any information related to this
- 19 Grand Jury Subpoena request or to any third
- 20 party"; do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Did you understand that you were
- 23 going to appear in front of a Grand Jury?
- A. I made no assumptions.
- Q. Did you agree to appear?

Page 300 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. No. 3 Q. Did you have conversations with U.S. Attorney's Office about whether you would 5 appear? 6 Α. No. 7 Did anybody have conversations on 8 your behalf about whether you would appear? 9 Α. No. 10 Well, there were a series of 11 letters, first U.S. described you as their key 12 witness; you're aware of that, right? 13 Α. Yes. And then there were a series of 14 15 letters where they cut back on what it would be you might testify about; you're aware of 16 17 those, correct? 18 Yes, I am. Α. 19 And how did those letters come 0. 20 about? 21 Α. They followed one another. 22 Who had the conversations that led 23 to those letters? 24 A. Lots of people. 25 Who were the lots of people? Q.

```
Page 301
1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
               Lawyers.
           Α.
 3
           Q.
             What lawyers?
 4
               I can't remember which lawyers,
 5
     I've had a lot of lawyers.
6
              Did you talk to Brown Rudnick about
 7
    the Subpoena?
 8
           A. I've talked to Brown Rudnick about
    this.
 9
10
               Did they have conversations with
11
    the United States about whether you would
     appear or not?
12
13
           A. I would imagine they did.
14
             Weren't you informed?
           Q.
               I've been informed.
           Α.
15
16
             What did they tell you?
           Q.
17
           Α.
               I don't remember.
18
           Q. You have no idea?
19
          Α.
              No idea.
20
           O. Not a clue?
               No, a clue.
21
          Α.
           Q. You have a clue?
22
23
           A. I have a clue.
24
           Q. All right. So what's your clue
25
     about what you were told?
```

```
Page 302
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                MR. KIM: Are you -- I'm sorry.
 3
           Are you now referring to a
           conversation between the D.A.'s office
 4
 5
           and Mr. Browder or Mr. Browder and his
 6
           lawyers?
                MR. CYMROT: Mr. Browder and his
 7
 8
           lawyers.
 9
                MR. KIM: Okay. I object on the
10
           basis of attorney-client privilege.
11
                MR. CYMROT: Are you instructing
12
           him --
13
                MR. KIM: I'm instructing him not
           to answer that particular question,
14
15
           but you can continue.
      BY MR. CYMROT:
16
17
                Did you inform the U.S. Attorney's
     Office what you were capable of testifying
18
19
     about? Let me ask a different question.
20
                You've read those letters, right?
21
           Α.
               Yes.
22
               Were you capable of testifying
     about the subjects that are set forth in those
23
     letters?
24
25
           A. We have to refer to each letter so
```

Page 303 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 I can answer that question. Well, before the letter went from 3 Q. your lawyer to the U.S. Attorney's Office, did 5 you conclude yourself that you were capable of 6 testifying about what was in the letter? I'd have to look at the letter. 7 8 O. You have no idea? I don't know which letter you're 9 10 talking about. 11 MR. CYMROT: Do we have his visit 12 to The White House? 13 (Browder Exhibit 17, Document 14 Entitled "White House Visitor Records 15 Requests" was marked for identification, as of this date.) 16 17 BY MR. CYMROT: I'm marking for identification as 18 19 Exhibit 17 the document entitled "White House 20 Visitor Records Requests." 21 Α. Yes. It says "Name: Last, Browder"? 22 Q. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. "Name: First, William"? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 304 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. "Middle initial F; appointment date Q. February 12, 2014"; you see that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 5 So does this refresh your 6 recollection that you had a meeting at The 7 White House with a Mr. Carpenter on 8 February 12, 2014? 9 Could you break down the question? 10 There's several questions there. 11 Did you have a meeting at The White 12 House on February 14, 2014? 13 No, I had a meeting at the old executive office building. 14 Q. I see. Within The White House 15 16 complex? 17 Α. Yes. 18 So when I asked you when you'd been 19 to The White House, you weren't talking about 20 The White House complex? 21 Α. Correct. 22 So I have to be very careful in asking my questions; is that right? 23

- 24 Did you meet with Mr. Carpenter?
- 25 Α. Yes.

```
Page 305
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
                Who else was present?
           Q.
                Juleanna Glover.
 3
           Α.
                Who's Juleanna Glover?
 4
           Ο.
 5
           Α.
                She was my lobbyist.
 6
                She was your lobbyist?
           0.
 7
           Α.
                Correct.
 8
                When did you discharge her from
     being your lobbyist?
 9
10
                She left her firm to join a new
11
     firm, and that's when the relationship -- the
     business relationship ended.
12
13
           Ο.
                When was that?
                I can't remember, maybe a year ago.
14
15
           Q.
                Well, she was present when you were
     served with a Subpoena just a couple months
16
17
     ago, right?
                Correct.
18
           Α.
19
                But that wasn't part of a business
20
     relationship?
21
           Α.
                No.
22
                Okay. So other than Mr. Carpenter
     and Ms. Glover, who else was present?
23
24
               Nobody.
           Α.
25
           Q. And why did you ask for this
```

Page 306 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 appointment? 3 Part of our advocacy for Α. implementing Sergei Magnitsky Rule of Law 4 Accountability Act sanctions. 5 6 What were you asking for? More people to be added to the 7 Α. 8 list. And who did you want added to the 9 0. 10 list? 11 Α. I can't remember the names. 12 Mr. Katsyv was not on your list? Q. 13 Α. No. 14 Did you ever talk to the U.S. Q. Attorney's Office whether Mr. Katsyv should be 15 added to the list? 16 17 Α. No. 18 Anybody in your team have that 19 conversation? 20 Α. No. So how long did you meet with 21 Q. 22 Mr. Carpenter? 23 Forty-five minutes. Α. 24 O. And what was the conversation? 25 A. About putting people on the

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 307 of 386 Page 307 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 Magnitsky list. And what did you say and did he say 3 during that conversation? 4 5 I said "We should put more people 6 on the Magnitsky list." 7 Q. And what did he say? 8 "We're working on it." 9 0. And who are the people you wanted 10 to add to the list? 11 Α. I can't remember. 12 Q. Did he say how he's working on it? 13 Α. No. Q. One point you learned that 14 15 John Kerry, secretary of state, stopped additions to the list, right? 16 17 What are you -- what point are you referring to? 18 19 O. In 2014. 20 I think it was The White House, but 21 I can't remember who was stopping it, somebody

- 22 was stopping it.
- 23 Q. Didn't you call Mr. Kerry the
- 24 lapdog of Mr. Putin?
- 25 A. I might have. Are you referring to

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 a specific quote or document?
- 3 Q. I'm referring to a quote. Did you
- 4 call Mr. Kerry a lapdog of Putin?
- 5 A. Can I see that document and confirm
- 6 it?
- 7 Q. No, I'm asking you whether you said
- 8 it.
- 9 A. I don't remember.
- 10 Q. If I showed you the document, you
- 11 would remember?
- 12 A. It would refresh my memory.
- 13 Q. I see. So you have no recollection
- 14 of calling Secretary of State Kerry the lapdog
- 15 of Putin?
- 16 A. Maybe your colleague can show me
- 17 the document and it will refresh my memory.
- 18 Q. But unless I show you a document,
- 19 you're not going to admit to the statement?
- 20 A. I've made a lot of statements about
- 21 a lot of people. I'd like to see the
- 22 statement.
- Q. Do you feel Mr. Kerry is the lapdog
- 24 of Putin?
- 25 A. Let me see the statement.

Page 309 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Do you think Mr. Kerry is the Q. lapdog of Putin? 3 Do I think -- yes. 4 5 0. And why? 6 Α. Because in my opinion he's following a policy of appeasement towards 7 Russia. 8 Including not adding people to the 9 10 Magnitsky list? 11 Α. Among other things. 12 Q. What other things are you referring 13 to? 14 Sanctions policy, more generally, Α. arms to Ukraine, Syria, Iran, et cetera. 15 16 An interesting subject, but not 0. part of the lawsuit, so we'll pass. 17 18 So when you heard there was a trial 19 date in this case, were you concerned by that? 20 Α. No. 21 Q. Did you talk to Mr. Carpenter about 22 it? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. Never even brought it up? 25 Α. No.

Page 310 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Did you talk to anybody within The Q. White House complex about it? 3 Α. 4 No. 5 Did you talk to anybody in the 6 justice department about it? 7 Α. No. 8 Okay. So do you have any idea 9 where the United States got records of 10 Prevezon Holdings' UBS Bank account? 11 Α. No. 12 Has -- has your team traced --13 allegedly traced \$230 million or any part of that to Prevezon Holdings? 14 Isn't this the whole basis for our 15 Α. 16 Complaint? 17 Right. So how did you do that? 18 My team conducted the 19 investigation. 20 And how did they do that? A. Gathering information from 21 22 different sources. 23 What sources? What sources? 0. 24 A. 1782 Subpoena, OCCRP. 25 Q. What's that, OCCRP?

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 311 of 386 Page 311 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. The Organized Crime and Corruption Α. 3 Reporting Project. They had records? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 Do they have records of Prevezon Holdings account and UBS? 7 8 Α. No. Now I want to focus -- and I 9 10 understand, I'm looking now at the chart, Exhibit 1, Tab 7. We talked about the part up 11 12 through Bunicon and Elenast. We're now at, 13 according to this chart, \$410,000 that went from Bunicon to Prevezon Holdings and four 14 15 \$447,000 that went from Elenast to Prevezon 16 Holdings. Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 So how did your team trace those 19 funds? 20 Α. I don't know. Are there any documents within this 21

- 22 exhibit as far as you know that trace those
- 23 funds?
- I don't know. I think these 24 Α.
- 25 numbers, these tab numbers refer to different

Page 312 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. documents, but I couldn't --Ο. What's that? 3 I think these numbers, these 1, 2, 5 3, 4, 5 refer to different documents here, but 6 I don't know how that works. 7 Q. Give me a second. All right. 8 So if we look at '151, that's the Bates number on the bottom. 9 10 I'm sorry, what are you referring 11 to? 12 Q. It's in Tab 8, lower right-hand corner, 3128-151. 13 14 Α. Okay. So it talks about a transfer of 447 15 Ο. from -- Elenast to Prevezon; do you see that? 16 17 Α. Yes. And on the opposite page, that's 18 19 the Bunicon payment, I suppose we have to turn 20 the page. 21 I don't know, do you see the 22 447,000 because I don't? 23 So what is your question? O. Where is the basis for the 24

statement on page '151 that there was a

25

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 \$447,000 payment from Elenast to Prevezon?
- 3 A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. And you have no idea what these
- 5 little boxes are that are on the opposite
- 6 page?
- 7 A. I have no idea.
- 8 Q. And you have no idea where they
- 9 came from?
- 10 A. No idea.
- 11 Q. And who on your team should I ask
- 12 about the transfers from UBS and Switzerland
- into the UBS account in Switzerland?
- MR. KIM: Objection to the form.
- 15 Q. Let me start again.
- 16 Who on your team should I ask about
- 17 the transfers from Bunicon and Elenast to
- 18 Prevezon?
- 19 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 20 A. Could you rephrase the question?
- 21 O. Yes. There's a statement here that
- 22 Elenast transferred \$447,000 to Prevezon. Who
- 23 on your team would know how that transfer
- 24 occurred?
- 25 A. I imagine either lawyers or Vadim.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 314 of 386 Page 314 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. The lawyers, the same lawyers Q. you've been talking about? 3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 And you don't know where they got 6 the records? 7 Α. No. 8 Do they have any other source of 9 the records other than the Swiss proceeding? 10 Α. I don't know. 11 Did somebody steal the records? 12 Α. No. 13 O. You're sure about that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you have a whistleblower for this, for these records? 16 17 A. I don't know where these records came from. 18 19 What do you know about the company 20 called AFI Europe? I read something in the Complaint 21 22 about them joint venturing with your clients on German real estate. 23

Q. Do you know anything else about

24

25

them?

Page 315 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. It's a large Israeli real estate Α. investment company listed on the Tel Aviv 3 4 Stock Exchange. 5 Has it ever been subject of a 6 criminal investigation, to your knowledge? 7 Α. I don't know. 8 Q. Not to your knowledge? 9 Α. I just don't know. 10 Do you know Mr. Loviev? Q. I know of him. 11 Α. 12 Never met him? Q. 13 Α. I have. Q. You met him how many times? 14 Α. 15 Twice. 16 When was that? Q. 17 Α. In 1996 and sometime in the early 2000s. 18 19 What were the circumstances of 20 meeting Mr. Loviev? 21 Α. Social. He was at a party. 22 Ο. Both occasions? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Never did any business with him? 25 Α. No.

```
Page 316
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Q. Do you know people who did business
 3
     with him?
           Α.
               No.
 4
 5
           Q. Do you know Mr. Guidimac?
 6
           Α.
               No.
 7
           Q. Know anything about a structure for
 8
     holding real estate in Europe called "the
     Dutch sandwich"?
 9
10
           Α.
                No.
11
           Q. You don't own any real estate in
12
     Europe?
13
             I'm sorry?
           Α.
14
           Q. Do you own any real estate in
15
     Europe?
16
           Α.
                No.
17
                MR. CYMROT: Why don't we take a
18
           short break.
19
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
20
           4:52 p.m. We're off the record.
21
                (Whereupon, at this time, a short
22
           break was taken.)
23
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
24
           5:12 p.m. We're back on the record.
25
      BY MR. CYMROT:
```

```
Page 317
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
           Q. Okay. So Mr. Browder, you're the
     head of the Global Magnitsky Justice Campaign;
 3
     is that right?
 4
 5
           Α.
               Yes.
 6
           0.
               And what's that devoted to?
 7
           Α.
               Getting justice for
 8
     Sergei Magnitsky.
                And where does it get its funding?
 9
10
                It's a loose cooperation of
11
     individuals, it's mostly self-funded.
                "Self-funded"; you pay for most of
12
           Q.
13
     it?
                Most people contribute their time
14
           Α.
     and effort for free.
15
16
              And the expenses?
           0.
17
                They're -- the expenses aren't
     significant, but I pay some of them.
18
19
               Do you know something called The
           Q.
     Journalism Development Network?
20
           Α.
21
                No.
22
           0.
               Operates OCCRP; you're aware of
23
     that?
24
           Α.
                No.
25
               Do you make contributions to the
           Q.
```

Page 318 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 Journalism Development Network? Α. No. 3 O. Never? 5 A. Never. 6 O. You've never made contributions directly or indirectly to OCCRP? 7 8 Α. No. 9 Q. How did you come to know OCCRP? 10 We met them -- I met them, my colleagues met them in the course of our work. 11 12 MR. CYMROT: So I'm going to mark 13 as Exhibit 18 a return of organization exempt from income tax Journalism 14 15 Development Network, Inc. (Browder Exhibit 18, document 16 17 Bates stamped BrowderDepo0000548 18 through '581 was marked for 19 identification, as of this date.) 20 BY MR. CYMROT: 21 And this is for the year 2012, and 22 it shows in 2011 it received contributions of \$19,000. And in 2013, I'm looking at line 8 23 24 on the first page, received contributions of \$1,015,542. 25

```
Page 319
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
                Do you have any idea how its
     contributions jump so significantly in 2012?
 3
           Α.
                No.
 4
 5
                And that's not due to any
 6
     contributions you made?
 7
           Α.
                I made no contributions.
 8
           Q. And Hermitage?
               No contributions.
 9
               And nobody on your team that you
10
           Q.
11
     know of?
12
               No.
           Α.
13
                So you have no explanation for that
     jump in contributions?
14
           Α.
15
                No.
                So in 2012, the OCCRP approached
16
     Denis Katsyv; you're aware of that?
17
18
           Α.
                No.
19
           Q. You weren't aware of that?
20
           A. No.
                So according to your letter, which
21
           Q.
     is Exhibit 1 to the New York D.A., there were
22
     transactions by Prevezon, and you list 13 of
23
24
            I think I misspoke just a second.
25
                It was 13 transactions and the last
```

Page 320 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. two were the ones to Prevezon. 3 Α. Could you just alert me to the right page here? 5 0. Yes, page 5. 6 Page 4 or page 5. 7 Page 5. Well, it starts on page 4, 8 there are a list of transactions, but the last 9 two are Transaction 15 and 16; do you see 10 that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And where did you get that information? 13 I don't know. 14 Α. So then it goes "Acquisition of 15 Q. Defendants' assets" further down the page. 16 "Prevezon acquired the Defendant assets on 17 November 30, 2009." 18 What do you mean by "Defendant 19 20 assets"? 21 Α. Where is the -- what are we 22 referencing? 23 Q. Paragraph numbered 16. A. I don't know. 24 25 So you don't know how you came into Q.

Page 321 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2. information about Prevezon? 3 Α. No. Unit 2009 was acquired by Prevezon 5 on November 30, 2009 for 1,231,148. Do you 6 see that? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Where did you get that information? That information came from 9 Bill Alpert at Barron's magazine. 10 11 Q. Do you know where he got it? 12 Α. No. 13 Unit 1810 was acquired on Ο. November 30th for 829; did that come from 14 Bill Alpert also? 15 I believe so. 16 Α. 17 How did Bill Alpert become interested in Denis Katsyv? 18 19 He's a part of the OCCRP network. Α. 20 Well, but how did Denis Katsyv come to the attention of the OCCRP? 21 22 I don't know. How did they come to your 23 attention? 24 25 A. I don't know.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. Do you know whether this
- 3 information is accurate in 17 and 18?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- 5 Q. Look, this is a letter that you
- 6 delivered to New York D.A. personally and you
- 7 did no due diligence to see whether it was
- 8 accurate?
- 9 A. I trusted my team.
- 10 Q. That's totally -- you trusted your
- 11 team, but you did nothing yourself to
- 12 understand the information and to check
- 13 whether it was accurate; is that true?
- 14 A. What's the question?
- 15 Q. You did nothing yourself in the way
- 16 of due diligence to determine whether this
- information that you're presenting to the
- 18 New York D.A. is accurate?
- 19 A. My team did the due diligence and I
- 20 trusted their work.
- 21 Q. Did you ever find -- so it sets
- 22 conclusion, "As set forth, Prevezon, its major
- 23 shareholder, Denis Katsyv, the son of Piotr
- 24 Katsyv, Russian senior official, has acquired
- 25 the vast corporate and personal wealth in

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 323 of 386 Page 323 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2 excess of \$857,000 through corrupt schemes"; that's what it says, right, paragraph 19? 3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 So what were the corrupt schemes 6 referred to right here, corrupt schemes? 7 Α. I don't know. 8 O. You have no idea? 9 Α. No. "Prevezon and Denis Katsyv have 10 Q. also taken significant steps to conceal the 11 source and ownership of their funds and 12 assets." 13 What significant steps did they 14 15 take? I don't know. 16 Α. 17 So you go out there and you tell the world that Denis Katsyv is a crook and you 18 19 don't have any idea how he's a crook; is that 20 what you're telling us? 21 MR. KIM: Objection to form.

Q. You go out and you tell the world

22

23

please?

25 that Denis Katsyv is a crook and you have no

Could you rephrase the question,

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 idea what acts he committed that were crooked;
- 3 is that your testimony?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And what did he do that was crooked
- 6 in your view, corrupt?
- 7 A. My team did an analysis which led
- 8 to apartments being purchased using funds from
- 9 the \$230 million tax rebate fraud that
- 10 Sergei Magnitsky uncovered. The rigor and
- 11 quality of the work that my team did gave me
- 12 confidence to supply our suspicions to the
- 13 New York District Attorney's office to
- 14 investigate and they chose to investigate.
- 15 Q. "Rigor and quality."
- What rigor did they use?
- 17 A. The same rigor they've used all
- 18 throughout my experience with them.
- 19 Q. So you have no idea what due
- 20 diligence they do to make sure their
- 21 information is accurate?
- 22 A. They've been doing this work for a
- 23 long time, and the work that they do is high
- 24 quality and I have confidence in it.
- 25 Q. And nobody has been prosecuted,

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 although you've been at this for years, other
- 3 than Mr. Katsyv based on the rigor and quality
- 4 of your information; is that right?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. Who's been prosecuted?
- 7 A. You had five different questions in
- 8 your question, so can you --
- 9 Q. No. Nobody has been prosecuted
- 10 based upon the rigger and quality of the work
- of your team, five years of doing work?
- 12 A. Is your question whether anyone has
- 13 been prosecuted?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. If your question is has anyone been
- 16 prosecuted --
- 17 Q. As a result of your work?
- 18 A. As a result of our work; not yet.
- 19 Q. And it's been how many years you've
- 20 been at this?
- 21 A. Since Sergei Magnitsky was killed.
- O. When was that?
- 23 A. November 16, 2009.
- Q. So that's six years now?
- 25 A. Five and a half.

```
Page 326
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
                And you presented to how many
           Q.
 3
     different prosecutors?
 4
                MR. MONTELEONI: To the extent
 5
           that that question calls for
           communications with government
 6
 7
           agencies that are engaged in ongoing
 8
           criminal investigations that aren't
 9
           public, I'm going to again request
           that the witness not answer it.
10
11
                But obviously to the extent that
12
           its existence of investigations that
13
           are public, we have no objection.
14
                MR. CYMROT: Are you instructing
15
           him not to answer? To be clear, I
16
           asked for the number. I didn't ask
17
           for names. So I don't know how the
           number could be privileged.
18
19
                MR. MONTELEONI: Yes, that's fine.
20
           If it's just the number, you can
21
           answer.
22
                MR. KIM: Yes, Mr. Cymrot, I
23
           understood you to be asking for the
           number. And if the witness knows the
24
25
           number, I do not have an independent
```

```
Page 327
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
           objection other than what the
           Government stated, which I understand
 3
           they're withdrawing their objection to
 5
           a question about the number if the
 6
           witness knows.
 7
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 8
           Q.
                How many prosecutors have you
     submitted information to?
 9
10
                I don't know the exact number, more
11
     than ten.
12
           Q. And when your rigorous and
13
     high-quality information has been challenged
     in court, you've lost; isn't that true?
14
           Α.
15
                No.
                Well, Mr. Stepanov won in court,
16
17
     you already testified to that; and weren't you
     sued by Mr. Karpov?
18
19
           A. Yes.
20
           O. In London?
21
           A. Yes.
22
           Q.
                The judge there was highly critical
     of your analysis, wasn't he?
23
24
           Α.
                No.
25
           Q. Really?
```

```
Page 328
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Yes.
 3
           Q.
                Okay. I wouldn't want a judge
 4
     saying this about me, so I'll show it to you.
 5
                 (Browder Exhibit 19, document
 6
           Bates stamped BrowderDepo0001643
 7
           through '670 was marked for
 8
           identification, as of this date.)
 9
                MR. CYMROT: I'm marking as
10
           Exhibit 19 an opinion, approved
11
           judgment in the High Court of Justice
12
           Queens Bench Division, Royal Court of
13
           Justice, Strand, London before
14
           Mr. Justice Simon between Pavel Karpov
15
           and -- as Claimant and
           William Felix Browder as Defendants,
16
17
           Hermitage Capital Management Ltd.,
18
           Hermitage Capital Management U.K.
19
           Ltd., Jamison Reed Firestone.
20
      BY MR. CYMROT:
21
           Q.
                Do you know what this document is?
22
           Α.
               Yes.
23
           O. What is it?
24
           A. This is the judgment on the libel
25
     suit.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- Q. What did Mr. Karpov accuse you of
- 3 in this lawsuit?
- 4 A. Libel.
- 5 Q. For what statements?
- 6 A. Statements made in the videos that
- 7 were published on russianuntouchables.com.
- 8 Q. That says he had arrested, tortured
- 9 and murdered Mr. Magnitsky?
- 10 A. The statements didn't say that on
- 11 the website.
- 12 Q. Really? Well, let's see about
- 13 that.
- Episode 2 on page '1645, that's the
- 15 Bates number.
- 16 2008, after Sergei Magnitsky
- 17 testified against the same criminal group for
- 18 an even larger crime, the same officers
- 19 arrested, tortured and eventually killed
- 20 Sergei to hide their crime. Unless they are
- 21 stopped, the same criminal group will continue
- 22 to murder and steal. And it's time for the
- 23 Russian government to prosecute the officers
- 24 responsible for the arrest and death of
- 25 Sergei Magnitsky, Major Pavel Karpov uses his

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 position to steal, destroy lives. He's become
- 3 a very rich man and believes his uniform makes
- 4 him untouchable. It's time to prove him
- 5 wrong. Did you make that statement in your
- 6 website?
- 7 A. That statement was made on the
- 8 Russian Untouchables video, Episode 2.
- 9 Q. That was -- you were responsible
- 10 for that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You approved it?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Episode 3, top of page '1646,
- 15 "Instead of stopping Sergei Magnitsky and
- 16 recognizing him as a hero, the Government
- 17 allowed Interior Ministry Officers Kuznetsov,
- 18 Karpov...to arrest, torture and kill him."
- 19 You said that?
- 20 A. No. There's three dots in between
- 21 those -- those -- those words.
- 22 O. The Court felt that this was an
- 23 accurate statement of what was said?
- A. No. There's three dots there, so I
- 25 didn't -- the video said something more than

Page 331 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. that. 3 I see. But the Court felt that Q. this was an accurate -- this is written by a 4 5 judge, right, this opinion, correct? 6 Α. Yes. 7 The judge felt that was an accurate 8 representation of what was said, correct? 9 Α. No. Q. He didn't? 10 A. The judge is paraphrasing for 11 12 whatever purposes. 13 Q. I see. So you think the judge got 14 it wrong? 15 A. No, I just see that there's three 16 dots there. 17 Q. So did you say in words or in substance that Karpov had arrested, tortured 18 19 and killed Magnitsky? 20 Α. No. Q. Well, we just read it in Episode 2, 21 you said it? 22 No, I didn't. Should we read it 23 Α. 24 again? 25 Q. Yes. We'll read it again.

- WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. "In 2008 after Sergei Magnitsky
- 3 testified against the same criminal group for
- 4 an even larger crime, the same officers
- 5 arrested, tortured --
- 6 MR. KIM: Slow down, it's being
- 7 typed up.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 9 A. "And eventually killed Sergei to
- 10 hide their crime. Unless they are stopped,
- 11 the same criminal group will continue to
- 12 murder and steal. It's time for the Russian
- 13 government to prosecute the officers
- 14 responsible for the arrest and death of
- 15 Sergei Magnitsky. Major Pavel Karpov uses his
- 16 position to steal and destroy lives. He's
- 17 become a very rich man and believes his
- 18 uniform makes him untouchable. It's time to
- 19 prove him wrong."
- 20 Q. So you're saying the Court got it
- 21 wrong when on the next page, '1646, "Instead
- 22 of supporting Sergei Magnitsky and recognizing
- 23 him as a hero, the Government allowed Interior
- 24 Ministry Officers Kuznetsov, Karpov...to
- 25 arrest, torture and kill him"; so the Court

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 got it wrong?
- 3 A. Yes. I'm saying that there's three
- 4 dots there. No, the Court didn't get it
- 5 wrong. I'm saying that you're reading out of
- 6 context, there's three dots there and there's
- 7 a longer sentence.
- 8 Q. But this is what the Court wrote,
- 9 this is what the judge wrote, we're looking at
- 10 a judge's opinion, right? I didn't get it
- 11 wrong. You're saying the judge got it wrong
- 12 when he put three dots there because it
- 13 changed the meaning according to you?
- 14 A. I'm not sure the judge intended --
- 15 no, I disagree.
- 16 Q. You disagree. What do you disagree
- 17 with?
- 18 A. I disagree that there's three dots
- 19 there. So if you want to use this, you should
- 20 retrieve the full sentence.
- 21 Q. I'm talking about what Judge Simon
- 22 said about what you said about Mr. Karpov.
- 23 And Judge Simon said Kuznetsov Karpov -- three
- 24 dots -- to arrest, torture and kill him. "
- 25 That's what I'm reading.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. So I didn't say that. I said more
- 3 words than are listed there, which is why
- 4 there's three dots.
- 5 Q. Al righty. Okay, paragraph 128 --
- 6 starting on 127, actually.
- 7 So 127 has your case, Defendants'
- 8 case on the ill-treatment and/or torture of
- 9 Sergei Magnitsky, correct?
- 10 A. Let me read it properly. Yes,
- 11 correct.
- 12 Q. So it says that your case is for
- 13 "the avoidance of doubt, it is not alleged
- 14 that the Claimant" -- which would be
- 15 Mr. Karpov -- "personally took part in the
- 16 ill-treatment and/or torture and killing of
- 17 Mr. Magnitsky. The case against Claimant is
- 18 that he was, however, one of those culpable
- 19 and complicit in it because of his part in the
- 20 fraud and coverup."
- 21 And then it says "It would have
- 22 been reasonably foreseeable to any reasonable
- 23 or blameless official in the Claimant's
- 24 position (not least based on the high
- 25 mortality rates in Russian prisons), a person

- WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 in Mr. Magnitsky's position could well die in
- 3 prison. Notwithstanding this, the claimant
- 4 participated in the arrest and detention in
- 5 the manner alleged above, " correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And then the judge says "This is an
- 8 unsatisfactory plea of justification for at
- 9 least two reasons. First, it focuses on
- 10 Claimant's motive and motive alone is not
- 11 sufficient to support a plea of torture and
- 12 murder. Second, the only overt act relied on
- is the Claimant's involvement in the arrest
- 14 and imprisonment of Sergei Magnitsky. The
- 15 link which is made between the arrest and
- 16 imprisonment on the one hand and
- 17 Sergei Magnitsky's death on the other, is that
- 18 the latter was reasonably foreseeable
- 19 consequence of the former, not least because
- 20 of high mortality rates in Russian prisons.
- 21 The causal link which one would expect from
- 22 such a serious charge is wholly lacking and
- 23 nothing is said about torture or murder";
- 24 that's what the judge said about your
- 25 statements concerning Mr. Karpov, correct?

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And yet you continue to go around
- 4 and associate people with the death of
- 5 Sergei Magnitsky who were not directly
- 6 involved in his death, don't you?
- 7 A. I believe that people I make
- 8 statements about being involved in his death
- 9 were involved in his death.
- 10 Q. And you think Mr. Karpov -- despite
- 11 the disagreement the judge had with you, you
- 12 think Mr. Karpov is responsible?
- 13 A. I believe that Mr. Karpov was a
- 14 member of a criminal enterprise that was
- 15 responsible.
- 16 Q. I'm asking you whether you disagree
- 17 with the judge, that because he arrested him
- 18 that necessarily Magnitsky -- Mr. Magnitsky
- 19 was going to die; that's what you've said?
- 20 A. Why don't we just read a little
- 21 further in the same document. If you go to --
- Q. Why don't we answer my question.
- 23 "The causal link which one would
- 24 expect from the serious charges wholly lacking
- 25 and nothing is said about torture or murder."

Page 337 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. He doesn't agree that because he 3 supposedly was the arresting officer that he 4 was responsible for his death, correct? 5 Α. No. 6 0. The judge doesn't agree? 7 Α. No, that's incorrect. 8 0. Oh, the judge agrees with you? 9 No, the judge doesn't -- repeat your question and I'll tell you --10 11 You said the judge thinks "the 12 causal link which one would expect from such a 13 serious charge" -- the charge being that he was involved in the arrest and therefore he 14 15 was involved in the death -- "is wholly lacking and nothing is said about torture or 16 murder." That's what the judge said. Do you 17 disagree with the judge? 18 19 Α. No. 20 Okay. So in this Complaint, in 0. 21 this case, there's a reference to the death of 22 Mr. Magnitsky and you've associated Mr. Katsyv with the death of Mr. Magnitsky, haven't you? 23 24 Α. No. 25 MR. CYMROT: I'm going to mark as

```
Page 338
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
           Exhibit 20 a press release, which I
           believe is from Hermitage on
 3
           September 11, 2013, regarding the
           Complaint.
 5
6
                (Browder Exhibit 20, Press Release
 7
           was marked for identification, as of
 8
           this date.)
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 9
                So can you identify Exhibit 20?
10
           Q.
11
           Α.
               Yes.
           Q. What is it?
12
13
           Α.
               Press release.
           Q. By whom?
14
               Website called Law and Order in
15
           Α.
    Russia.
16
17
               And who issued the press release?
                The Justice Campaign for
18
19
     Sergei Magnitsky.
20
           0.
                Which is your organization?
               Which is my organization.
21
           Α.
22
           Ο.
             So you approved this press release?
23
           A. I did.
24
           Q. It states, middle of the first
    paragraph, "This is the first action in the
25
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 U.S. to seize assets connected to the
- 3 Magnitsky case, said a Hermitage capital
- 4 representative. It's a significant
- 5 escalation, the campaign, to bring justice for
- 6 Sergei Magnitsky and his family. Sergei's
- 7 family, colleagues and friends" -- "colleagues
- 8 and family will continue to pursue all of the
- 9 recipients of the blood money to make sure
- 10 they are parted with the elicit proceeds
- 11 whoever and wherever they are."
- 12 And the Complaint you're referring
- 13 to is against Prevezon Holdings, and you refer
- 14 to Mr. Katsyv on the second page.
- So you're saying Mr. Katsyv
- 16 received blood money from the death of
- 17 Sergei Magnitsky; is that what you're saying?
- 18 A. According to the press release,
- 19 it's "Sergei's friends, colleagues and family
- 20 will continue to pursue all of the recipients
- of the blood money to make sure they're parted
- 22 with the elicit proceeds whenever and wherever
- 23 they are." That's exactly what was said.
- Q. And you think it's appropriate to
- 25 refer to blood money received by Mr. Katsyv

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 through 104 transactions over months and
- 3 months where he's denied to you that he
- 4 received it and gave you a perfectly
- 5 reasonable explanation for where it came from?
- 6 MR. KIM: Objection to form.
- 7 A. There's a lot of questions there.
- 8 Q. I'll -- I'll -- Yeah, we'll
- 9 get to all five of them.
- 10 So it's re- -- you think it's
- 11 appropriate to refer to Mr. Katsyv as having
- 12 received blood money?
- 13 A. I believe that the -- anybody who
- 14 received proceeds of the \$230 million tax
- 15 rebate fraud which led to the murder of
- 16 Sergei Magnitsky is blood money. If he
- 17 received that money, he was a recipient of
- 18 blood money.
- 19 Q. You testified earlier today that
- 20 you had no knowledge of facts that would show
- 21 that Mr. Katsyv had knowledge or intent to
- 22 participate in the scheme described in the
- 23 Complaint; do you remember that testimony?
- 24 A. I do.
- Q. And yet you're willing to describe

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 what he allegedly received as blood money,
- 3 implying he was involved in the death of
- 4 Magnitsky?
- 5 A. There's three questions there. Do
- 6 you want to break them down?
- 7 Q. Are you implying with blood money
- 8 that the person that received it, Mr. Katsyv
- 9 was involved in the death of Mr. Magnitsky?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And so what is blood money referred
- 12 to?
- 13 A. The money from the \$230 million tax
- 14 rebate fraud.
- 15 Q. The allegation in the Complaint is
- 16 Mr. Katsyv's companies, Prevezon, are
- 17 conspirators and they are responsible for the
- 18 whole scheme of the \$230 million; were you
- 19 aware of that?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. You read it, you read the
- 22 Complaint, didn't you?
- 23 A. Didn't say that they were
- 24 responsible.
- Q. Of course it did.

Page 342 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. It didn't say that. 3 0. It asked to recover the \$230 million. 4 5 Α. I'm sorry? 6 I'm not going to go back to the 7 Complaint. It's getting late in the day. 8 You're telling me you didn't have 9 any idea when you read that Complaint that 10 Mr. Katsyv's companies are being accused of 11 being responsible for the whole \$230 million scheme? 12 13 Let's go back to the document. Α. Just tell me. You don't know it? 14 Q. I'd like to see the -- I'd like to 15 Α. see what you're referring to. 16 17 I'd like to not spend time on that. 18 So you think this is an appropriate 19 press release and an appropriate Complaint 20 referring to the Magnitsky Act, it's 21 appropriate press release associating 22 Mr. Katsyv with blood money? 23 Mr. Katsyv, according to our analysis and our suspicions, received a 24 portion of the proceeds of the \$230 million 25

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 tax rebate fraud. That fraud, the discovery
- 3 of that fraud by Sergei Magnitsky led to his
- 4 false arrest, torture and murder in prison and
- 5 therefore -- so therefore --
- 6 Q. Go ahead, finish?
- 7 A. And therefore, the person that
- 8 received that, in my opinion, would be the
- 9 recipient of blood money.
- 10 Q. Based upon your suspicions, you
- 11 just said, "suspicions" was the word?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. So based upon suspicions, you
- 14 broadcast to the world that Mr. Katsyv is
- involved in the death of Mr. Magnitsky?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. That's adequate for your point of
- 18 view?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. You just said that?
- 21 A. No, I didn't.
- 22 Q. You said based upon our suspicions
- 23 he received money?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. And therefore, it's blood money,

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 and therefore he's responsible for having
- 3 blood money?
- 4 A. There's three questions there. You
- 5 want to break them down?
- 6 Q. No. You think it's perfectly
- 7 appropriate based upon suspicions to accuse
- 8 somebody of having blood money?
- 9 A. Could you rephrase the question,
- 10 please?
- 11 Q. No. I said you believe based upon
- 12 suspicions that it is a proper basis to accuse
- 13 somebody of having blood money?
- 14 A. We had suspicions, I had
- 15 suspicions, and I took those suspicions to the
- 16 New York D.A.'s office to investigate.
- 17 They investigated and believed that
- 18 my suspicions were credible. And on the back
- 19 of that, they handed the Complaint to the U.S.
- 20 Attorney's Office, who also believed that our
- 21 suspicions were credible based on their own
- 22 investigation and then seized the properties.
- Q. Mr. Hyman testified that the
- 24 information he got was from you to Mr. Kleiner
- 25 and some websites you directed him to. It was

Page 345 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 your information and you knew that, didn't 3 you? 4 MR. KIM: Objection to form. 5 Α. No. 6 Let me show you another press 7 release -- article. 8 MR. CYMROT: I'm marking as 9 Exhibit 21 a Radio Free Europe/Radio 10 Liberty article of September 18, 2013. (Browder Exhibit 21, Radio Free 11 12 Europe/Radio Liberty Article of 13 September 18, 2013 was marked for identification, as of this date.) 14 BY MR. CYMROT: 15 16 "Could U.S. Assets Seizure Lead to Expansion of Magnitsky Blacklist?" 17 18 First sentence reads "As shady 19 Russian businessmen snapped up luxury 20 apartments in New York City, lawyer 21 Sergei Magnitsky was confined to the few 22 square meters of a Moscow jail cell. He would die there under suspicious circumstances." It 23 24 refers to the lawsuit and refers to Mr. Katsyv 25 as the supposedly shady Russian businessman.

Page 346 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Do you think there is a sufficient 3 causal link between Mr. Katsyv's activities in the Complaint and the death of 4 5 Sergei Magnitsky? 6 Α. I don't know. 7 Do you think it's appropriate for a 8 U.S. government company Radio Free Europe/ 9 Radio Liberty to broadcast that around the 10 world? 11 I have no judgment on their journalistic standards one way or 12 13 another. You think that's an appropriate 14 15 association of Mr. Katsyv, with the death of Mr. Magnitsky? 16 17 It's not my place to judge somebody else's article. 18 Why not? You have an opinion, 19 Q. 20 don't you? Don't you have an opinion? 21 Α. Yeah. 22 Q. What's your opinion? 23 A. About what? 24 Q. About that statement, beginning of 25 that article.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. Looks like it's based on the
- 3 Complaint.
- 4 Q. You think it's -- that's an
- 5 appropriate way to interpret the Complaint?
- 6 A. I've got -- I make no judgment
- 7 on -- on this guy.
- 8 Q. Do you have an opinion about
- 9 whether that's an appropriate way to describe
- 10 the Complaint?
- 11 A. Without more information about
- 12 his -- how he was basing his article, it would
- 13 be -- I have no opinion.
- Q. Do you think that is an appropriate
- 15 description of the Complaint? That's what you
- 16 said. You said it's a description of the
- 17 Complaint. Do you think that's an appropriate
- 18 description of the Complaint?
- 19 A. I don't know.
- 20 Q. So is it fair to say that you
- 21 didn't learn anything from Justice Simon's
- 22 criticism that you had an inadequate causal
- 23 link between somebody who arrested, allegedly,
- 24 Mr. Magnitsky and his death?
- 25 A. In paragraph 141 --

```
Page 348
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
                I'm asking you about --
           Q.
               And I'm -- and I'm --
 3
           Α.
 4
           Q.
                -- paragraph 128.
 5
                And I'm answering you with
 6
     paragraph 141.
 7
                In paragraph 141, Justice Simon
 8
     says, "I have" -- "I have used the expression
     'presently' --
 9
10
                Why don't you start at the
           Q.
11
     beginning of the paragraph if you want to talk
     about 141.
12
13
           Α.
                Sure.
                "Thirdly, the claimant has achieved
14
     a measure of vindication as a result of" --
15
16
     "as a result of the views I've expressed in
     this application. Defendants are not in a
17
     position to justify the allegations that he
18
19
     caused or was party of the torture, death of
20
     Sergei Magnitsky, or continued to kit --
     commit" --
21
22
                Slow down and speak out loud --
23
              Or to be --
           Α.
24
               If you want to -- if you want to
     read this, make sure people can hear it.
25
```

```
WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
                Would you like me to start --
           Α.
 3
           Q.
                I would look you to start at the
 4
     beginning, slow down, and speak out loud.
 5
                "Thirdly, the claimant has achieved
     a measure of vindication as a result of the
 6
 7
     views I've expressed on his application.
 8
     Defendants are not in a position to justify
 9
     the allegations that he caused or was party to
10
     the torture and death of Sergei Magnitsky or
11
     would continue to commit or be party to
12
     covering up crimes. To use the expression in
13
     Olswang's letter of the first of August 2012,
14
     the record, at least insofar as it is
15
     presently set out in the pleadings, has been
     set straight. I recognize this will not
16
17
     prevent a repetition of the libel which, in
     order of the court, would do, at least in
18
19
     the -- in this jurisdiction; however, nothing
20
     in -- in this judgment is intended to suggest
21
     that, if the Defendants were to continue to
22
     publish unjustified defamatory material about
23
     the claimant, the Court would be powerless to
24
     act. I've used the expression 'presently' set
     out in the pleadings because I've not
25
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 overlooked the possibility of an application
- 3 to amend the particulars of the plea of
- 4 justification to rely on participation in a
- 5 broad conspiracy and/or joint enterprise."
- 6 Q. And you feel that justifies
- 7 associating Mr. Pavlov -- Mr. Karpov --
- 8 sorry -- with the death of Mr. Magnitsky which
- 9 you just read?
- 10 A. I -- I believe that -- that
- 11 Mr. Karpov was a member of a joint enterprise
- or broad conspiracy that led to the murder and
- 13 death of Sergei Magnitsky.
- Q. And you have yet to come up with a
- 15 single fact that would justify that.
- 16 A. No. Incorrect.
- 17 Q. We're not going back over the
- 18 pleadings. We're talking about this case now.
- 19 A. Which case --
- 20 Q. You're --
- 21 A. Which case are we talking about?
- 22 We're talking about the libel case or --
- Q. No. We're talking about this
- 24 lawsuit.
- 25 A. Okay.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 351 of 386 Page 351 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Against Mr. Katsyv. Q. 3 Α. Right. 4 Who's not accused of being involved 5 in the arrest, the death, the torture, or 6 anything else of Mr. Magnitsky. But you and 7 the United States have associated him with 8 that death, and you think that's appropriate? 9 I -- I think that's the -- the 10 United States Government has taken a complaint 11 that we filed, investigated it, and found a 12 link between the crimes that Sergei Magnitsky 13 uncovered and the purchase of property in New York and have ceased that property. 14 15 Q. And you think it's appropriate, based upon this link of 104 transactions, to 16 17 say that Mr. Katsyv was associated with the death of Mr. Magnitsky? 18 19 I think it's appropriate to say Α. 20 that Mr. Katsyv received proceeds. 21 Q. No. Answer my question, not your

- 22 question.
- Do you think it's appropriate,
- 24 based upon what your avest -- investigation
- 25 allegedly found, to associate Mr. Katsyv with

Page 352 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 the death of Mr. Magnitsky? Α. 3 Yes. Other people will have a judgment 4 5 on that, but I would suggest you've learned 6 nothing from Justice Simon. 7 Is that a question? 8 0. No. It's my own editorializing. 9 You tape conversations? 10 I don't permanently, no. Α. 11 O. Never? 12 A. I have in the past. 13 In the past. How far in the past? Ο. In specific instances where 14 Α. somebody is involved in something suspicious. 15 16 Have you taped any conversations in 0. connection with your investigation of the 17 \$230 million theft from the Russian Treasury? 18 19 I haven't personally. Α. 20 Do you know if anybody in your team 21 has? 22 Has specifically taped conversations? 23 24 O. Yes. 25 I don't know. Α.

```
Page 353
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2
                Do you keep a calendar?
           Q.
                Do I keep a calendar?
 3
           Α.
 4
           Q.
                Yes. Do you keep a calendar?
 5
           Α.
               Yes.
 6
           0.
               Do you keep your e-mails?
 7
           Α.
               Yes.
 8
           Q.
               Who keeps your files?
 9
           A. What do you mean?
10
                Who keeps your files? Who
           Q.
11
     maintains your files?
12
                I don't understand the question.
                You have files in connection with
13
           0.
14
     the $230 million fraud. Who maintains them?
15
                People doing the investigation.
           Α.
                Who is that?
16
           0.
17
           Α.
                My lawyers and Vadim Kleiner.
18
           Q.
                You don't keep anything yourself?
19
           Α.
               No.
20
           Q.
               Nothing?
21
           Α.
               No.
           Q. Not a shred?
22
23
           Α.
               No.
24
           Q. Except the e-mails?
25
           Α.
                Yes.
```

```
1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
```

- 2 Q. It's alleged in the Complaint and
- 3 the Amended Complaint that, when Mr. Katsyv
- 4 was approached by a reporter about the funds
- 5 that was in the Prevezon Holdings account,
- 6 that he said he had received them from an
- 7 investor. You're aware of that?
- 8 A. Could you show me the -- the line.
- 9 Q. Let's go to the Amended Complaint.
- 10 A. Is that Exhibit 14?
- 11 Q. So it's paragraph 108 and 109.
- 12 Says the money that came in
- 13 February of 2008 -- and I'm paraphrasing
- 14 here -- from Bunicon and Elenast derived from
- 15 the deal between Mr. Krit and his friend or
- 16 Mr. Petrov.
- 17 You see that?
- 18 A. I'm sorry. Say it -- could you
- 19 repeat your question.
- Q. Let me read from page 41,
- 21 paragraph 108, first full sentence.
- 22 "Representative One stated that the
- funds involved in the February 2008 Bunicon
- 24 and Elenast transfers derived from a deal
- 25 between Mr. Krit and his friend, a

```
Page 355
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
1
 2
    Mr. Petrov."
 3
                Do you see that?
 4
           Α.
                Yes.
 5
                So the 2008 Bunicon and Elenast
6
     transfers are the ones that your investigation
     claimed were from the Russian fraud, right?
 7
 8
           Α.
              I don't know.
 9
           0.
                Well, you can look at Exhibit B.
10
               Exhibit B?
           Α.
11
           Q. Yes, to this Complaint.
12
           A. Yes.
13
                Which by the way is similar to
           Q.
     Exhibit 1, the chart that you showed us.
14
                Which -- which document is that?
15
           Α.
                Well, let's go to your chart.
16
    Okay? Which is Exhibit 1, the letter to the
17
    U.S. Attorney's Office.
18
19
           Α.
              Exhibit 1.
20
             This letter to the U.S., right on
21
    the top.
22
           Α.
              This one. Which tab?
23
           O. Seven.
24
           A. Okay.
           Q. So this is your information?
25
```

```
Page 356
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                Yes.
                You have transfers of 410,000;
 3
           0.
     447,000 in February 2008, from Bunicon and
 4
 5
     Elenast, right?
 6
           Α.
                Um-hum. Yes.
 7
           Q.
                And that's what Representative One
 8
     was talking about February 2008, Bunicon
     Elenast transfers.
 9
10
           Α.
                Um-hum.
11
           O. Yes?
12
           A. I'm sorry? I --
13
                All right. So Representative One,
           Q.
     on behalf of Mr. Katsyv, says that those
14
     transfers came from a deal between Mr. Petrov
15
     and Mr. Krit, right? That's what the
16
17
     Complaint says?
18
                That's what it says, yes.
19
                Okay. And you had that information
           Q.
     before the Complaint was filed, right?
20
21
           Α.
                No.
22
                You had that information actually
23
     before you even made the Complaint to the U.S.
24
     Attorney's Office, didn't you?
25
                I don't know.
           Α.
```

Page 357 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Did you ever investigate whether it Q. was true that the 2008 transfers came from a 3 Mr. Petrov? 4 5 I didn't personally. 6 0. Did anybody? I don't know. 7 Α. 8 Q. Don't you think it's reasonable if 9 there's an explanation to investigate the explanation? 10 11 If -- if -- in general terms? Yes. 12 Okay. And so we have, according to your chart, \$20 million of clean money going 13 into Bunicon and Elenast, \$800,000 coming out. 14 15 Don't you think it's possible that that was clean money that came out? 16 17 I don't know. Α. Don't you think it's possible that 18 19 Mr. Katsyv's explanation that it came from a Mr. Petrov is a reasonable explanation when 20 21 there's \$20 million of clean money going 22 through those accounts at the same time? I don't know. 23 Α. 24 You have no opinion about that?

I just don't know.

Α.

25

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. You're willing to accuse Mr. Katsyv
- 3 of having blood money, but you don't know
- 4 whether it's reasonable to think that the
- 5 \$800,000 came from clean money? Is that your
- 6 testimony?
- 7 A. Could you repeat the question.
- 8 Q. Yes. You don't think that -- you
- 9 don't know whether you should check whether
- 10 the 410- and the \$447,000 came from Mr. Petrov
- 11 as a reasonable changes, a reasonable and
- 12 innocent explanation for the transfers from
- 13 Bunicon and Elenast?
- 14 A. I don't know when that information
- 15 came, and I don't know whether people who did
- 16 the tracing had that information.
- 17 Q. No. They had the information
- 18 before this Complaint was filed. This is in
- 19 the original complaint also.
- Should we look at the paragraph in
- 21 the original Complaint? This is before the
- 22 Complaint was filed.
- Exhibit 2, the original Complaint.
- A. Where -- where am I looking?
- Q. Looking at...

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. You're talking about the Complaint
- 3 that we filed with New York D.A.'s office?
- 4 O. No. This is the United States
- 5 Complaint against Prevezon.
- 6 A. Which exhibit number is this?
- 7 Q. I think it's 2.
- 8 A. Bear with me for a second. I need
- 9 to find this.
- 10 Would this be Exhibit 2? It
- 11 doesn't have a number -- it doesn't have a --
- 12 a sticker on it.
- 13 Q. That's it. Let's put a sticker on
- 14 it.
- So Exhibit 2, the original Verified
- 16 Complaint, in paragraph 108.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. It's the same statement in 108.
- 19 Representative on stated funds involved in the
- 20 February 2008 transfer derived from a deal
- 21 between Mr. Krit and his friend, a Mr. Petrov.
- A. So what's the question?
- 23 Q. So this information came from your
- 24 team?
- 25 A. The Petrov story?

Page 360 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Yes. Q. 3 Α. Are you sure? Yes. It came from the OCCRP. 4 Ο. 5 So I -- I don't see it in here. Is 6 it -- can you show me in here where -- where 7 that statement is? 8 O. I don't think it's in there. So how did it come from me? 9 10 It came from the OCCPR [sic] that 11 you gave to the U.S. attorney. 12 The OCCRP gave us information, Α. No. 13 not the other way around. Yes. And then you gave it to the 14 15 U.S. attorney. So you had, from the OCCRP, 16 this quote from Representative One. I'm -- I'm not sure that's the 17 Α. case. I don't believe it. Not -- not to my 18 19 knowledge. 20 0. You think it would have been 21 irresponsible to make a complaint against 22 Prevezon without checking out the explanation given by Representative One? 23 I don't know. I haven't assessed 24 Α. 25 that statement.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- Q. Well, let's assess it right now.
- 3 You have \$20 million going through an account,
- 4 \$800,000 coming out, and the person who's
- 5 accounts received the money says, "I have a
- 6 perfectly good explanation. It came from
- 7 Mr. Petrov."
- 8 And you don't think it's your
- 9 obligation, before you accuse him of having
- 10 blood money, to check out his story?
- 11 A. I'm not sure we have that
- 12 information.
- 13 Q. Obviously you had it, because it's
- 14 in the Complaint, the first -- very first
- 15 Complaint?
- 16 A. It's not in this Complaint.
- 17 Q. Mr. Hyman says that he got
- 18 information for this complaint from you and
- 19 Mr. Kleiner and some websites you directed him
- 20 to. So you had the information.
- 21 A. I -- not -- not -- not to my
- 22 knowledge.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this: Let's
- 24 assume that you're aware of this explanation.
- 25 Would it be irresponsible not to check it out

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 before making a complaint against Mr. Katsyv's
- 3 companies?
- 4 A. I can't make a judgment about some
- 5 piece of information taken out of context.
- Q. It's perfectly well in context. It
- 7 is his explanation for the \$800,000.
- It's not obvious to you that you
- 9 ought to check it out?
- 10 A. I don't believe we had that
- 11 information. Not to my knowledge.
- 12 O. Well --
- 13 A. Because I don't see it in -- I
- 14 don't see that in -- in this document.
- 15 Q. After this was filed, you had the
- 16 information because you had the Complaint. As
- 17 a matter of fact, you had the Complaint before
- 18 it was filed, so you would have read it in the
- 19 drafts.
- So you had the information. Did
- 21 you check it out?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- Q. Don't you think you had an
- 24 obligation to check it out?
- A. I don't know.

Page 363 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. It's not obvious to you, if there's an inner -- innocent explanation, you don't 3 choose -- accuse somebody of having blood 5 money? 6 The U.S. Attorney's Office have 7 kept it in two Complaints, so obviously they 8 believe that it's true. Did you ever try to reach 9 10 Mr. Petrov? 11 No, not to my knowledge. I didn't 12 personally. 13 Did anybody in your team attempt to reach Mr. Petrov? 14 15 A. I don't know. 16 Q. Who's Mark Fagan? 17 Α. He's a Russian lawyer. 18 Q. Do you know him? 19 Α. No. 20 Q. Didn't you come to the 21 United States with him when you returned with 22 Pussy Riot? 23 Α. No. 24 (Whereupon, an off-the-record 25 discussion was held.)

```
Page 364
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
      BY MR. CYMROT:
 3
           Q.
                Do you know what the Pussy Riot is?
           Α.
               Of course.
 5
           0.
              What is it?
 6
           Α.
               It's a punk rock band.
 7
               What's the significance of it in
 8
     Russia?
 9
                An anti-Putin -- they're an
     anti-Putin punk rock band.
10
11
                And do you support the Pussy Riot
12
     in any way?
13
              I took them to meetings in Capitol
14
     Hill.
15
           Q.
              And wasn't Mark Fagan there also?
16
           A. Not with us.
17
               You've never met Mark Fagan?
18
                I've shaken his hand at a event in
19
     London, public event.
20
           0.
                When was that?
                It was 2013, November 2013.
21
22
     think. I'd have to ask some people to
23
     remember.
24
           O. What event was it?
25
           A. I think it was a book-launch event
```

Page 365 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 for a book about Sergei Magnitsky. 3 Q. Not your book? Α. No. 4 5 O. Another book? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Do you have Mr. Fagan's telephone 8 number? 9 Α. No. 10 So are you familiar with a lawyer 11 by the name of Oleg Lurie? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. You've heard about -- I'm sorry. He's not a -- I said lawyer. 14 A. You did. 15 16 Too many lawyers. A reporter by the name of Oleg Lurie? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 Q. How do you know Mr. Lurie? 20 A. I don't. 21 Well, you said in a pleading in 22 this court that he was pursuing you for years. You remember saying that? 23 24 A. I remember the -- I remember saying 25 that.

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 Q. Pursuing you in what way?
- 3 A. There's a documentary that the
- 4 Russian government made about me in which Oleg
- 5 Lurie is one of their principal attracters.
- 6 O. That was in 2014?
- 7 A. I think that was last year. I
- 8 can't remember exactly when. Could have been
- 9 this year.
- 10 Q. And you say it was the Russian
- 11 government who put that out? You're just
- 12 assuming that, right?
- 13 A. I'm -- I'm assuming that, yes.
- Q. Okay. You don't know who put it
- 15 out?
- 16 A. No. But I know it was the Russian
- 17 government. Excuse me. I -- I do know.
- 18 Q. How do you know that?
- 19 A. Because it was put on the Russian
- 20 government's television station MTV.
- 21 Q. So this program was on Russian
- 22 government TV?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you know if they put together
- 25 the video?

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. I don't know how it was
- 3 constructed.
- 4 Q. Okay. And you said Mr. Lurie was
- 5 pursuing you for years. That's just recently.
- 6 How has he been pursuing you for years?
- 7 A. I'd have to go back and do a
- 8 search. I'm sure we could find something.
- 9 Q. In other words, you put in an
- 10 affidavit to Judge Griesa but you don't have
- anything to say he was pursuing you for years?
- 12 A. Not on the tip of my tongue.
- 13 Q. And you didn't at the time you
- 14 wrote that and signed the affidavit, right?
- 15 A. I'm sure I did at the time.
- 16 Q. You can't recall it now?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. It's just a month or two ago?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Do you have notes you could review
- 21 to see how Mr. Lurie's been pursuing you for
- 22 years?
- 23 A. I could do the research to come up
- 24 with them.
- 25 Q. You can go back and do research to

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 support a statement that you swore to before
- 3 and presented to Judge Griesa, right? Did you
- 4 have anything at the time?
- 5 A. I don't have any notes.
- 6 Q. So you just said it?
- 7 A. I said it based on my belief at the
- 8 time.
- 9 Q. Do you have any facts that support
- 10 your belief?
- 11 A. Not on the tip of my tongue.
- 12 Q. And you didn't have any at the
- 13 time, right?
- 14 A. Wrong.
- 15 Q. But you don't remember them now?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Did you go out and check
- 18 Mr. Lurie's accusation?
- 19 Did you do any investigation about
- 20 Mr. Lurie's accusation that somebody used your
- 21 name to attempt to bribe him and change the
- 22 storey about Sergei Magnitsky?
- A. That's complete nonsense.
- Q. You did -- did you do any
- 25 investigation?

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 A. My team did. It's complete
- 3 nonsense.
- 4 Q. What investigation did your team
- 5 do?
- 6 A. They -- they compared his
- 7 statements with the facts and came up with a
- 8 conclusion that it was nonsense.
- 9 Q. And what facts did they compare
- 10 them to?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. It's easy to say it's nonsense. Do
- 13 you have any facts to support that statement?
- A. My team does.
- 15 Q. But you have no idea, and you can't
- 16 tell us here what facts would support the idea
- 17 that Mr. Lurie's accusation is nonsense?
- 18 A. I don't remember exactly what his
- 19 accusation was, and I don't remember exactly
- 20 what their rebuttal was, but -- but I -- but
- 21 my -- I trust my team to do the analysis.
- 22 O. Mr. Lurie said that -- that
- 23 somebody using your name contacted him. He
- 24 produced recordings of those conversations.
- 25 People using your name offered him \$160,000 to

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 change his story about meeting Sergei
- 3 Magnitsky in jail.
- 4 A. That's a lie.
- 5 Q. And how do you know it's a lie?
- 6 A. Because I never gave anyone any
- 7 money to do anything of the sort.
- 8 Q. He never took the money. He turned
- 9 it down.
- 10 A. I never instructed anybody to do
- 11 anything of the sort.
- 12 Q. Did you investigate whether
- 13 Mr. Lurie was in prison at the same time as
- 14 Mr. Magnitsky?
- 15 A. He is a convicted -- he's convicted
- 16 exconvict that spent four years in jail for
- 17 extortion. And so -- and than he come up --
- 18 came and lied about this thing.
- 19 Q. I see. So you investigated whether
- 20 he had been in prison. Was he in prison at
- 21 the same time as Mr. Magnitsky?
- 22 A. I don't know the details of his
- 23 imprisonment.
- Q. Did you check whether his prison
- 25 term overlapped with Mr. Magnitsky?

```
Page 371
 1
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 2.
           Α.
                No.
 3
           Q.
                And nobody on your team did?
 4
           Α.
                My team did a full analysis.
 5
           0.
                Did they write it down?
 6
           Α.
                I don't know.
 7
           Q.
                So what was this full analysis?
 8
           Α.
                They looked at his allegations and
 9
     looked at the facts and concluded it was
10
     complete nonsense, his statements about his
11
     knowledge of Sergei Magnitsky.
12
                Can you give me any facts that
           Q.
13
     would support the very easy statement to say
14
     that it's nonsense? Do you have any facts?
15
                To -- to support which part of the
16
     statement?
17
                Any part of the statement.
           0.
18
                Well, the first fact is that I
19
     didn't -- I've never bribed or authorized
20
     anybody to bribe anybody in relation to him or
21
     anything to do with him. And I can say that
22
     with 100 percent certainty. That's a fact.
23
                Did you check whether anybody --
24
     did you check whether he was in prison at the
25
     same time, in the same prison, as
```

Page 372 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)1 2 Mr. Magnitsky? I didn't personally. 3 Α. Ο. Do you know whether anybody on your 5 team did? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And they discovered what? 8 Α. That his statements were inconsistent with the truth. 9 10 In what way? Q. 11 I'd have to ask them to get the details. I don't know. 12 Q. You didn't get briefed on the 13 details of an accusation that you had bribed a 14 witness to change his testimony. Does that 15 say you -- you never got briefed on this? 16 17 I -- I was briefed on it, but I 18 don't remember the details. 19 When you were briefed on it? Q. 20 Α. Whenever it happened. 21 Q. It happened just a few weeks ago. 22 Α. Yes. 23 O. You were briefed on it a few weeks ago, and you have no specifics to tell us? 24 25 That's correct. Α.

Page 373 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. When you met with Mr. Kasyanov, did Q. you talk to him about Mr. Lurie? 3 Α. 4 No. 5 Do you know whether Mr. Kasyov --Kasyanov is attempting to bring a claim 6 against Mr. Lurie? 7 8 Α. I read that on the internet. 9 0. Where did you read that? 10 Α. On the internet. 11 Ο. Where on the internet? 12 A. Some news article. 13 Ο. You can't tell me more than that? 14 Α. No. Did you investigate anything about 15 Q. Mr. Lurie's background? 16 17 Α. No. Did you investigate him as a 18 19 reporter? 20 Α. No. Did you investigate whether he's 21 22 won awards in the west for his reporting? 23 Α. No. 24 So you're unaware of any of that? 25 Unaware. Yes, I'm unaware. Α.

Case 1:13-cv-06326-WHP Document 281-1 Filed 05/13/15 Page 374 of 386 Page 374 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Okay. You're unaware that he has Q. 3 spent many years trying to determine how the 4 IMF money that went into Republic National 5 Bank in 1998 ended up stolen? 6 Α. I wasn't aware of that. 7 Mr. Safra was your partner, and he 8 owned the bank, right? 9 Α. Correct. You're unaware that Mr. Lurie was 10 11 looking into that? 12 That's correct. Α. 13 Has the U.S. Attorney's Office asked you about Mr. Lurie's affidavit? 14 15 Α. No. Has anybody in the government asked 16 you about Mr. Lurie's affidavit? 17 18 Α. No. 19 Mr. Lurie says that he had two Q. 20 meetings with Sergei Magnitsky while they were 21 in prison, right?

- 22 I don't know.
- 23 Didn't you read his affidavit? Q.
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Q. You didn't even read it?

Page 375 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Α. No. 3 Q. Isn't it true that Mr. Magnitsky turned down legal assistance from you? 5 Α. No. 6 He always accepted your legal 7 assistance? 8 Α. Yes. 9 So you provided him with lawyers? His firm did and we reimbursed 10 11 them. Q. Firestone and Duncan? 12 13 A. Correct. And they would periodically provide 14 15 him with statements -- provide Mr. Magnitsky with statements that he should sign? 16 17 Α. Who? Firestone Duncan or his lawyers 18 19 hired by Firestone Duncan. 20 I'm -- I'm not -- I don't know what 21 you're talking about. 22 Did you consult with Mr. Magnitsky's lawyers from time to time? 23 24 Α. No. 25 Somebody on your team do that?

Page 376 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)2. Possibly. I don't know. Α. 3 Q. People at Firestone Duncan would have, right? 4 5 Α. Surely. 6 0. Did you have Brown Rudnick 7 representing you at the time? 8 Α. No. 9 So Firestone Duncan was your lawyer 10 at the time? 11 A. Not the only ones. We had other 12 lawyers. 13 So did anybody coordinate on your behalf with Firestone Duncan about the 14 death -- the defense of Mr. Magnitsky? 15 I don't know. 16 Α. 17 O. You don't know? 18 A. I don't remember. 19 Q. You were being criminally 20 prosecuted along with Mr. Magnitsky at that time. You didn't pay attention? 21 22 I don't know. I don't remember. 23 Did you ever have somebody suggest 24 to Mr. Magnitsky that he should take

responsibility for the Saturn and Dalnaya Step

25

```
Page 377
              WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2.
     tax returns?
                I don't remember.
 3
           Α.
                MR. CYMROT: Okay. Why don't we
 4
 5
           take a break.
 6
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 7
           6:24 p.m. We're off the record.
 8
                (Whereupon, at this time, a short
 9
           break was taken.)
10
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
11
           6:34 p.m. We are back on the record.
12
      BY MR. CYMROT:
13
                I asked you about taping.
     talked about specific taping, but does
14
     Hermitage have a taping system for taping
15
     incoming and outgoing calls generally for
16
     regulatory purposes or otherwise?
17
18
           Α.
                Yes.
19
           Q. And how long do you keep those
20
     tapes?
           A. I don't know the details.
21
22
               Do you know whether those tapes
23
     have been searched for any relevant
24
     conversations?
25
           A. I don't know.
```

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 MR. CYMROT: All right. As we
- 3 discussed off the record, we have
- 4 12 minutes left or something on the
- 5 seven hours. We believe we're
- 6 entitled to many additional documents,
- 7 and we also have insufficient time to
- 8 finish another major subject.
- 9 So what we're going to do is
- 10 suspend the deposition at this point.
- 11 We're going to reserve our right to --
- 12 to ask the judge for additional time
- once we get the documents and keep the
- 14 deposition open.
- MR. KIM: Okay. Well, I disagree.
- 16 I think, for the record, we offered to
- 17 reschedule the deposition at the
- 18 Defendants' convenience if they felt
- 19 it was necessary. The Defendants
- 20 wanted to proceed, as was their right,
- 21 so we showed up here.
- I think, regardless whether you
- 23 wanted to ask more questions about
- 24 other documents, you chose to ask
- 25 approximately 6 hours and 48 minutes

- 1 WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
- 2 worth of questions about the documents
- 3 you had, and I think it's improper to
- 4 make a witness from England try to sit
- 5 for another deposition over 12
- 6 minutes.
- 7 So we're willing to sit here for
- 8 another 12 minutes if you wish, but I
- 9 think anything else is improper.
- 10 So thank you.
- 11 MR. CYMROT: Okay. All right.
- 12 All right. I understand the
- 13 government has no questions; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 MR. MONTELEONI: That's correct.
- MR. CYMROT: All right. So we're
- 17 going to reserve our rights. There's
- 18 no point in arguing. As we both know,
- 19 the judge will decide whatever he
- 20 decides.
- 21 So thank you, Mr. Browder, for
- 22 coming, and we'll expect another
- 23 session.
- 24 MR. KIM: All right. Have a good
- 25 evening.

```
Page 380
            WILLIAM F. BROWDER (4/15/15)
 1
 2
               THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 3
          6:36 p.m. We're off the record.
 4
               (Whereupon, at 6:36 p.m., the
 5
         Examination of this Witness was
 6
         adjourned, sine die.)
 7
 8
 9
                   WILLIAM F. BROWDER
10
    Subscribed and sworn to before me
11
    This _____, 2015.
12
13
14
            NOTARY PUBLIC
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

```
Page 381
 1
 2
     -----I N D E X------
    WITNESS: WILLIAM F. BROWDER
 3
    EXAMINATION BY
                                          PAGE
 5
    MR. CYMROT
                                            6
 6
 7
     ----E X H I B I T S-----
 8
     BROWDER EXHIBIT
                                      FOR I.D.
 9
     Browder Exhibit 1,
                                       10
10
     Document Bates stamped
11
     PREV_000003127_001 through '166
12
     Browder Exhibit 2,
                                       27
13
     Verified Complaint, Filed on
14
     9/10/13
                                        68
15
     Browder Exhibit 3,
16
     Document Bates stamped
17
     BrowderDepo0001471 through '477
18
     Browder Exhibit 4,
                                        72
19
     Document Bates stamped
20
     BrowderDepo0001478 through '484
                                        77
21
     Browder Exhibit 5,
22
     Spark Report for
23
     Dalnaya Step, LLC
24
25
```

		Page 382
1		
2	Browder Exhibit 6,	81
3	Document Bates stamped	
4	BrowderDepo0001518 through '521	
5	Browder Exhibit 7,	82
6	Document Bates stamped	
7	BrowderDepo0001522 through '530	
8	Browder Exhibit 8,	8 4
9	Document Bates stamped	
10	BrowderDepo0001531 through '535	
11	Browder Exhibit 9,	101
12	Document Bates stamped	
13	BrowderDepo0001485 through '517	
14	Browder Exhibit 10,	105
15	Document Bates stamped	
16	BrowderDepo0001408 through '470	
17	Browder Exhibit 11,	138
18	Document Bates stamped	
19	BrowderDepo0000685 through '690	
20	Browder Exhibit 12,	146
21	Document Bates stamped	
22	BrowderDepo0000721 through '742	
23		
24		
25		

			Page 383
1			
2	Browder Exhibit 13,	172	
3	Document Entitled "Plaintiff's		
4	Responses to Defendants' First		
5	Set of Interrogatories"		
6	Browder Exhibit 14,	208	
7	Verified Amended Complaint		
8	Browder Exhibit 15,	236	
9	Document Bates stamped		
10	PREV_000002326		
11	Browder Exhibit 16,	295	
12	Subpoena		
13	Browder Exhibit 17,	303	
14	Document Entitled "White House		
15	Visitor Records Requests"		
16	Browder Exhibit 18,	318	
17	Document Bates stamped		
18	BrowderDepo0000548 through '581		
19	Browder Exhibit 19,	328	
20	Document Bates stamped		
21	BrowderDepo0001643 through '670		
22	Browder Exhibit 20,	338	
23	Press Release		
24			
25			
l			J

```
Page 384
 1
 2
     Browder Exhibit 21,
                                            345
 3
     Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty
     Article of September 18, 2013
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 385
 1
 2
                CERTIFICATE
 3
 4
     STATE OF NEW YORK
                              SS.:
 5
     COUNTY OF RICHMOND
 6
 7
             I, AYLETTE GONZALEZ, a Notary Public
 8
     for and within the State of New York, do
 9
     hereby certify:
10
             That the witness, WILLIAM F.
     BROWDER, whose examination is hereinbefore
11
12
     set forth was duly sworn and that such
13
     examination is a true record of the testimony
14
     given by that witness.
15
             I further certify that I am not
     related to any of the parties to this action
16
17
     by blood or by marriage and that I am in no
18
     way interested in the outcome of this matter.
             IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19
20
     set my hand this 15th day of April, 2015.
21
22
                      AYLETTE GONZALEZ
23
2.4
25
```

		Page 386			
1					
2	ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:				
3	Case Name:				
4	Dep. Date: Deponent:				
5	Pg. Ln. Now Reads Should Read Reason				
6					
7					
8					
9					
10	· · ·				
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19	<del></del>				
20					
21	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME,				
22	This day of, 2015.				
23	11115 day or, 2013.				
24	Notary Public				
25	My Commission Expires:				